TABLES

Table 3-1:	Summary of Chemicals of Potential Concern in Groundwater based on BHHRA and
	NJDEP VISL

Tal	ماد	3-2.	Chan	nical	-Snac	ific L	ARAR	hac a	TBCs
101	71 ~	J-L.	VIII	mear	-ひいせし	11 IU F	41 V~11	o anu	1003

Table 3-3: Location-Specific ARARs and TBCs

Table 3-4: Action-Specific ARARs and TBCs

Table 3-5A Summary of RI Soil Sample ARAR/PRG Exceedances

Table 3-5B Summary of Historic Soil Sample ARAR/PRG Exceedances

Table 3-5C Summary of RI Soil Gas Sample PRG Exceedances

Table 3-5D Summary of Historic Soil Gas Sample PRG Exceedances

Table 3-6: Summary of Groundwater Sample ARAR Exceedances

Table 3-7: Calculation of Risk Based Concentrations – Visitor Scenario

Table 3-8: Calculation of Lead Risk Based Concentrations – Indoor Worker

Table 3-9: Calculation of Lead Risk Based Concentrations - Outdoor Worker

Table 3-10: Calculation of Lead Risk Based Concentrations – Utility Worker

Table 3-11: Calculation of Lead Risk Based Concentrations – Construction Worker

Table 3-12: Calculation of Risk Based Concentrations – Indoor Worker Scenario

Table 3-13: Preliminary Remediation Goals for Soil

Table 3-14: Demonstration of Cumulative Hazard and Cancer Risk for Soil Preliminary Remediation Goals

Table 4-1: Technology Screening Table – Waste

Table 4-2: Technology Screening Table – Soil

Table 4-3: Technology Screening Table – Groundwater

Table 4-4: Technology Screening Table – Soil Gas

Table 4-5: Technology Screening Table – Sewer Water

Table 5-1: Preliminary Screening of Remedial Alternatives

Table 6-1: Detailed Screening of Remedial Alternatives



SUMMARY OF CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN IN GROUNDWATER BASED ON THE BHHRA AND NJDEP VISL⁽¹⁾ FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT RIVERSIDE INDUSTRIAL PARK SUPERFUND SITE NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

		Groundwater				
		Deep	Sha	illow		
Chemical	CASRN	Tapwater	Tapwater	Vapor Intrusion		
Acetone	67-64-1	-	Yes			
Benzene	71-43-2	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4		Yes			
Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5		Yes			
Chloroform	67-66-3	Yes	Yes			
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	96-12-8		Yes	Yes		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	***************************************	Yes	***************************************		
1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6		Yes	Yes		
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	Yes	Yes			
Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4		Yes			
2-Hexanone	591-78-6		Yes			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	Yes	Yes			
Toluene	108-88-3		Yes			
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1		Yes			
1.1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	Yes	Yes			
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	***************************************	Yes			
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4		Yes			
Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7		Yes	Yes		
Benzo(a)anthracene	56-55-3	Yes	Yes			
Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	Yes	Yes			
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	205-99-2		Yes			
1,1-Biphenyl	92-52-4		Yes			
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7		Yes			
4-Chloroaniline	106-47-8		Yes			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	53-70-3		Yes			
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	193-39-5		Yes			
Naphthalene	91-20-3	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5		Yes			
PCBs (total)	1336-36-3		Yes			
Antimony	7440-36-0		Yes			
Arsenic	7440-38-2	Yes	Yes			
Barium	7440-39-3		Yes	***************************************		
Cyanide (total)	57-12-5	Yes	Yes			
Iron	7439-89-6	Yes	Yes			
Lead	7439-92-1		Yes ²			
Manganese	7439-96-5	Yes	Yes			

Notes:

- 1. Based on BHRRA (Ramboll April 2020) for hypothetical potable use of groundwater at the Site. Groundwater use is unlikely since the Site and surrounding area are served by the City of Newark's potable water system, and the site-specific conductivity readings of the shallow groundwater indicate possible brackish conditions.
- 2. Based on comparison of detected concentrations to the USEPA Action Level for lead in drinking water.

CHEMICAL-SPECIFIC ARARS AND TBCs

FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT

RIVERSIDE INDUSTRIAL PARK SUPERFUND SITE NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

ARAR/TBC	Regulatory Level	Citation	Brief Description	Applicability
Federal Safe Drinking Water Act - National Primary Drinking Water Standards - Maximum Contaminant Level Goals (MCLGs) and Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs)	Federal	40 CFR 141	Establishes drinking water standards.	The New Jersey Class IIA Ground Water Quality Standards are applicable to the active remedial alternatives, as all such alternatives include remediation of groundwater. Groundwater at the Site is not used as a drinking water source.
EPA Memorandum "Updated Scientific Consideration for Lead in Soil Cleanups"	Federal	OLEM Direction 9200.2- 167 (December 22,	Guidance on development of residential lead cleanup criterion for Superfund sites using Integrated Exposure Uptake and Biokinetic models	The memorandum will be considered in development of the cleanup level.
		2016)	and current scientific conclusions to determine soil screening levels (such as 10 µg/dL blood lead levels for children).	
New Jersey Ground Water - Ground Water Quality Standards	State	N.J.A.C. 7:9C	Defines groundwater classifications and establishes groundwater quality standards for various compounds. The site groundwater is classified as Class IIA suitable for drinking water.	New Jersey classifies groundwater as Class IIA groundwater, considered suitable for drinking water.
NJ Water Statues and Rules - Primary Drinking Water Standards - MCLs	State	N.J.A.C. 7-10	Establishes state discretionary MCLs that are generally equal to or more stringent than federal Safe Drinking Water Act MCLs.	The standards will be considered in developing PRGs.
NJ Water Statues and Rules - State Secondary Drinking Water Standards - Secondary MCLs	State	N.J.A.C. 7:10	Establishes standards for public drinking water systems for those contaminants which impact the aesthetic qualities of drinking water.	The standards will be considered in developing the PRGs.
EPA Regional Screening Levels (NRSLs) for non- residential soil	Federal		Establishes risk-based screening levels for soil cleanups for the protection of human health and the environment.	The screening levels will be considered in the development of the PRGs if there are no applicable standards.
TSCA	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 761.61	Provides PCB soil cleanup levels for low/high occupancy areas.	The cleanup levels will be considered to develop the PRGs for the Site.
New Jersey Non-Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standards	State	N.J.A.C. 7:26D-4	Establishes standards for NJ soil cleanups.	The standards will be considered in developing the PRGs.
NJDEP Guidance Document for Development of Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standards, November 2013	State		Guidance on determining site-specific impact to groundwater soil remediation standards.	The criteria will be considered in developing the PRGs.
NJDEP Groundwater Vapor Intrusion Screening Levels (VISLs)	State		Provides groundwater screening level related to vapor intrusion investigation.	Screening levels considered in FS.

Legend

ARAR - Applicable, Relevant, or Appropriate Requirement

TBC - Advisories, Criteria, and Guidance To Be Considered

C.F.R. - Code of Federal Regulations

EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency

MCL - Maximum Contaminant Level

OLEM - Office of Land and Emergency Management

N.J.A.C. - New Jersey Administrative Code

PRG - Preliminary Remediation Goal

RSL - Regional Screening Level

TSCA - Toxic Substances Control Act

µg/dL - micrograms per deciliter

LOCATION-SPECIFIC ARARS AND TBCs

FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT

RIVERSIDE INDUSTRIAL PARK SUPERFUND SITE NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

ARAR/TBC	Regulatory Level	Citation	NEWARK, NEW JERSEY Brief Description	Applicability
ANAN/IDG	Regulatory Level	Citation	brief bescription	
Clean Air Act, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources	Federal	40 CFR Part 60	Air emissions standards apply to owners and operators of stationary sources.	During excavation, treatment, and/or stabilization, air emissions will be properly controlled and monitored to comply with these standards.
Clean Air Act, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants	Federal	40 CFR Part 61	Provide air quality standards for hazardous air pollutants.	During excavation, treatment, and/or stabilization, air emissions will be properly controlled and monitored to comply with these standards.
Clean Air Act, National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAOS)	Federal	40 CFR Part 50	Establishes national ambient air quality standards with respect to health-based criteria.	Potential ARAR for remedial activities which emit contaminants into the atmosphere.
New Jersey Air Pollution Control Act	State	N.J.A.C. 7:27-22 N.J.S.A. 26:2C	Describes requirements and procedures for obtaining air permits and certificates; rules that govern the emission of contaminants into the ambient atmosphere.	This standard would apply to air emissions from remediation activities performed at the site.
Subsurface and Percolating Waters Act and Well Construction, Maintenance, and Sealing Rules	State	NJSA 58:4A-5 et seq. and N.J.A.C. 7:9D	Requirements for drilling and installing wells, licensing of well driller and pump installer, constructions, and well casing specifications.	Applicable to active remedial alternatives that include the installation of monitoring wells, extraction wells, or reinjection wells.
New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System	NJDEP	N.J.A.C. 7:14A	This permit governs the discharge of any wastes into or adjacent to State waters that may alter the physical, chemical, or biological properties of State waters, except as authorized pursuant to a NPDES or State permit.	Alternative water surface discharge will meet NPDES permit equivalency requirements.
Administrative Requirement for the Remediation of Contaminated Sites (ARRCS)	State	N.J.A.C. 7:26C	Establishes a new paradigm for the remediation of contaminated sites in New Jersey, including the requirement that a person responsible for conducting the remediation employ a licensed site remediation professional (LSRP) to supervise the remediation.	Applicable to active remedial alternatives for supervising the actions taken in accordance with the State of New Jersey technical regulations. Not applicable for a Superfund site.
New Jersey Technical Requirements for Site Remediation	State	N.J.A.C. 7:26E	This regulation provides the minimal technical requirements to investigate and remediate contamination at the site.	The regulation will be applied to any hazardous waste operation during remediation of the site.
New Jersey Freshwater Wetland Protection Act	State	N.J.A.C. 7:7A	Establishes requirements for the protection of freshwater wetlands. Requires permit equivalency for construction within wetland areas.	Potentially applicable for investigation and construction activities performed in the vicinity of a wetland or waterway.
Flood Hazard Area Control Act Rules	State	N.J.A.C. 7:13	Requires placement of fill, grading and other disturbances within floodplain.	Potential ARAR for remedial activities are located in or near a 100-or 500-year floodplain
Flood Hazard Area Control Act	State	N.J.A.C. 58: 16A-50	Delineates flood hazard areas and regulates use.	Potential ARAR for remedial activities are located in or near a 100- or 500-year floodplain.
Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899	Federal	33 U.S.C. § 403, 33 C.F.R. Part 322	Governs coordination with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers with regard to work at or below mean high water, including management of fill materials and vertical barrier.	On-site activities would be properly conducted to minimize adverse effects.
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)	Federal	16 U.S.C.§ 1451, et seq. Federal Consistency Regulations, 15 C.F.R. Part 930	This act encourages states to develop coastal management plans to manage competing uses of and impacts to coastal resources, and to manage sources of nonpoint source pollution in coastal waters. The CZMA Federal Consistency Determination provisions require that any federal agency undertaking a project in the coastal zone of a state shall insure that the project is, to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with the enforceable policies of approved state management programs. Implemented through compliance with substantive requirements of New Jersey Waterfront Development Law and Coastal Zone Management Rules, N.J.A.C. 7:7.	Remedy will be consistent, to the extent practicable, with enforceable policies of approved state management programs.
Coastal Zone Management Rules	State	N.J.A.C. 7:7E	This program establishes standards for use and development of coastal resources.	Remedy will be consistent with substantive provisions of these regulations.
Statement of Procedures on Floodplain Management and Wetlands Protection	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 6, Appendix A	This Statement of Procedures sets forth Agency policy and guidance for carrying out the provisions of Executive Order (EO) 11988 and EO 11990.	Remedy will be consistent, to the extent practicable, with these regulations.

LOCATION-SPECIFIC ARARS AND TBCs

FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT

RIVERSIDE INDUSTRIAL PARK SUPERFUND SITE NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

ARAR/TBC	Regulatory Level	Citation	Brief Description	Applicability
Protection of Wetlands	Federal	Executive Order 11990		Remedy will be consistent, to the extent practicable, with this policy.
Policy on Floodplains and Wetlands Assessments for CERCLA Actions	Federal	OSWER Directive 9280.0-02, 1985	Superfund actions must meet the substantive requirements of EO 11988, EO 11990, and 40 C.F.R. Part 6, Appendix A. This memorandum discusses situations that require preparation of a floodplains assessment, and the factors that should be considered in preparing an assessment, for response actions taken pursuant to Section 104 or 106 of CERCLA.	Remedy will be consistent, to the extent practicable, with this policy.
Floodplain Management	Federal	Executive Order 11988, as amended by Executive Order 13690	and beneficial values of floodplains.	The potential effects of any action will be evaluated to ensure that the planning and decision making reflect consideration of flood hazards and floodplains management, including restoration and preservation of natural undeveloped floodplains.
New Jersey Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act Rules	State	N.J.S.A.13:981, N.J.A.C. 7:7A		Best management practices will be used to avoid or minimize adverse impact to aquatic habitat, consistent with substantive requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:7A.
New Jersey Flood Area Control Act Rules	State	N.J.A.C. 7:13		This requirement will be evaluated during the development of alternatives along with the planned remedy for actions in flood hazard areas.
Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	Federal	16 U.S.C. § 661–666c	Requires consideration of the effects of a proposed action on wetlands and areas affecting streams (including floodplains), as well as other protected habitats. Calls for federal agencies to consult with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the appropriate state agency with jurisdiction over wildlife resources prior to issuing permits or undertaking actions involving the modification of any body of water (including impoundment, diversion, deepening, or otherwise controlled or modified for any purpose).	EPA will consult with USFS and the state.
Migratory Bird Treaty Act	Federal	16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.	Prohibits the taking of protected migratory bird species, including individual birds or their nests or eggs, unless otherwise permitted.	To be considered during the development of alternatives.
National Historic Preservation Act	Federal	54 U.S.C. § 300101, et seq., 36 C.F.R. Part 800		The RI included a CRS survey with findings of no archeological resource present at the site (RIR, Appendix N).

Legend

ARAR - Applicable, Relevant, or Appropriate Requirement

TBC - Advisories, Criteria, and Guidance To Be Considered

C.F.R. - Code of Federal Regulations

EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency

N.J.A.C. - New Jersey Administrative Code

U.S.C - United States Code

OSWER - Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response

CERCLA - Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

ACTION-SPECIFIC ARARS AND TBCs

FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT

RIVERSIDE INDUSTRIAL PARK SUPERFUND SITE

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

ARAR/TBC	Regulatory Level	Citation	Brief Description	Applicability
RCRA Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 261.3 and 261.10	Describes methods for identifying hazardous wastes and lists known hazardous wastes.	Applicable to the identification of hazardous wastes that are generated, treated, stored, or disposed of during remedial activities.
RCRA Standards Applicable to Generators of Hazardous Waste	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 262	Standards applicable to generators of hazardous wastes.	These standards will be followed if any hazardous wastes are generated on-site.
RCRA Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities – General Facility Standards	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 264, Subpart B	General hazardous waste facility requirements, including waste analysis, security measures, inspections, and training requirements.	Facilities involved in the remedial activities will be designed, constructed, and operated in accordance with Part 264. All workers will be properly trained.
RCRA Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities – Preparedness and Prevention	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 264, Subpart C (Preparedness and Prevention)	This regulation outlines the requirements for safety equipment, spill control, and arrangements with local authorities at hazardous waste facilities.	Safety and communication equipment will be installed at the Site. Local authorities will be familiarized with the Site.
RCRA Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities – Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 264, Subpart D	Requirements for emergency procedures to be used following explosions, fires, etc. at hazardous waste facilities.	Emergency Procedure Plans will be developed and implemented during remedial action. Copies of the plans will be kept on-site.
New Jersey Technical Requirements for Site Remediation	State	N.J.A.C. 7:26E	Provides technical requirements to investigate and remediate contamination at the Site.	The regulation will be applied to any hazardous waste operation during remediation of the Site.
New Jersey Uniform Construction Code	State	N.J.A.C. 5:23	Requirements for construction performed during remediation of the Site.	This code will be applied to any construction performed during remediation of the Site.
New Jersey Hazardous Waste Regulations - Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste	State	N.J.A.C. 7:26G-5	Methods for identifying hazardous wastes and lists known hazardous wastes.	This regulation will be applicable to the identification of hazardous wastes that are generated, treated, stored, or disposed of during remedial activities.
New Jersey Stormwater Management Rule	State	N.J.A.C. 7:8	This regulation sets the requirements for stormwater management during construction including nonstructural stormwater management strategies, erosion control, and stormwater runoff quality standards.	Substantive requirements will be met during construction.
New Jersey Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Act	State	N.J.A.C. 2:90, N.J.S.A. 4:24-39, et seq.	Regulates construction that will potentially result in erosion of soil and sediment. Lists requirements including the submittal and approval of a plan for soil erosion and sediment control.	This act will be considered the development of alternatives.
New Jersey Noise Control	State	N.J.A.C. 7:29	Regulates noise levels for certain types of activities such as commercial, industrial, community service and public service facilities. Relevant and appropriate for establishing allowable noise levels.	This standard will be applied to remediation activities performed at the Site.
Hazardous Material Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. § 1801-1819, Department of Transportation Rules for Transportation of Hazardous Materials	Federal	49 C.F.R. Part 107, 171, 172, 177-179	Applicable to the transportation of excavated material that is being managed as hazardous waste. Includes requirements for the packaging, labeling, manifesting, and transporting hazardous materials.	Any company contracted to transport hazardous material from the Site will be required to comply with this regulation.
RCRA Standards Applicable to Transporters of Hazardous Waste	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 263	This regulation establishes standards for hazardous waste transporters.	Any company contracted to transport hazardous material from the Site will be required to comply with this regulation.
TSCA-PCB Waste Disposal Records and Reports	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 761, Subpart K	This regulation establishes the responsibility of generators, transporters, and disposers of PCB waste in the handling, transportation, and management of the waste. Requires a manifest and record-keeping.	Applicable to the transportation of hazardous material from the Site.

ACTION-SPECIFIC ARARS AND TBCs

FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT

RIVERSIDE INDUSTRIAL PARK SUPERFUND SITE

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

ARAR/TBC	Regulatory Level	Citation	Brief Description	Applicability
New Jersey Transportation of Hazardous Materials	State	N.J.A.C. 16:49	Regulates the shipping, packaging, marking, labeling, placarding, handling, and transportation of hazardous materials.	Applicable to the transport of hazardous material from the Site.
Clean Air Act - National Ambient Air Quality Standards	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 50	This regulation specifies maximum primary and secondary 24-hour concentrations for particulate matter. Fugitive dust emissions from site excavation activities must be maintained below 260 µg/m³ (primary standard).	Proper dust suppression methods such as water spray would be specified when implementing excavation and/or solidification/stabilization actions.
Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities; Subpart L. Waste Pile	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 264, Subpart L	Provides requirements to design and operate waste piles including controlling wind dispersal of particulate matter and controlling surface water from running through the piles.	Performance standards would be specified for compliance in the remedy.
Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899	Federal	33 U.S.C. § 403, C.F.R. Part 322	Governs coordination with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers with regard to work at or below mean high water, including dredging, discharging dredged fill materials.	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers approval is generally required to excavate or fill, or in any manner to alter or modify the course, location, condition, or capacity of any navigable water of the United States. On-site work for CERCLA remedies is exempt from permit requirements under CERCLA Section 121(e), although the work will comply with substantive requirements of these regulations and will be coordinated with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
Clean Water Act, Section 404, 33 U.S.C. § 1344, C.F.R. Part 230 (Guidelines for Specification of Disposal Sites for Dredged or Fill Material)	Federal	Section 404(b)(1)	Regulated the discharge of dredged and fill material into waters of the United States including wetlands.	On-site activities would be properly conducted to minimize adverse effects.
RCRA Land Disposal Restrictions (LDRs)	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 268	Identifies hazardous wastes restricted for land disposal and provides treatment standards for land disposal.	Hazardous wastes will be treated to meet disposal requirements.
Area of Contamination Policy	Federal	55 FR 8758- 8760, March 8, 1990	This policy addresses consolidation of contiguous waste within an area of contamination (AOC). Movement of media contaminated with hazardous wastes within an AOC does not typically trigger RCRA requirements.	Hazardous wastes may be consolidated and contained within an AOC without triggering LDRs or other treatment, storage, or disposal requirements under RCRA.
Corrective Action Management Units	Federal	40 C.F.R. § 264.552	These regulations provide exceptions to LDR requirements and establish rules for consolidation and treatment of noncontiguous waste within the Site.	Hazardous wastes will be treated and backfilled on-site using the CAMU for one of the proposed alternatives.
TSCA Disposal Requirements	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 268, Subpart D - Treatment Standards	Soils contaminated above 50 ppm may also be disposed of in a chemical waste landfill.	Alternative development incorporates disposal requirements.
New Jersey Land Disposal Restrictions (LDRs)	State	N.J.A.C. 7:26G-11	These regulations established standards for treatment and disposal of hazardous wastes.	Hazardous wastes must comply with the treatment and disposal standards.
National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)	Federal	40 C.F.R. 122 et seq.	NPDES permit requirements for point source discharges must be met, including the NPDES Best Management Practice Program. These regulations include, but are not limited to, requirements for compliance with water quality standards, a discharge monitoring system, and records maintenance.	The project will meet substantive NPDES permit requirements for point source discharges.
Effluent Guidelines and Standards for the Point Source Category	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 414	These regulations establish effluent limitations organized by industry on any direct discharge and indirect discharge point sources.	Point source discharges will substantively comply with these standards.

ACTION-SPECIFIC ARARS AND TBCs

FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT

RIVERSIDE INDUSTRIAL PARK SUPERFUND SITE

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

ARAR/TBC	Regulatory Level	Citation	Brief Description	Applicability
The New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES)	State	N.J.A.C. 7:14A	Governs the discharge of any wastes into or adjacent to State waters that may alter the physical, chemical, or biological properties of State waters.	The project will meet substantive NJPDES permit requirements for any surface water discharges or groundwater discharges, such as injection of reagent for in situ treatment.
Clean Air Act - National Ambient Air Quality Standards	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 50	This regulation provides air quality standards for particulate matter, lead, NO ₂ , SO ₂ , CO, and volatile organic matter.	During excavation, treatment, and/or stabilization of waste, air emissions will be properly controlled and monitored to comply with these standards.
Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 60	This regulation sets the general requirements for air quality for new stationary sources of air pollution.	During excavation, treatment, and/or stabilization of waste, air emissions will be properly controlled and monitored to comply with these standards.
National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants	Federal	40 C.F.R. Part 61	This regulation provides air quality standards for hazardous air pollutants.	During excavation, treatment, and/or stabilization of waste, air emissions will be properly controlled and monitored to comply with these standards.
New Jersey Air Pollution Control Act	State	N.J.A.C. 7:27	This regulation includes rules that govern the emission of contaminants into the ambient atmosphere.	This standard will be applied to air emissions from remediation activities performed at the Site.
New Jersey Ambient Air Quality Standards	State	N.J.A.C. 7:27-13	This standard provides the requirements for ambient air quality control.	This standard would apply to air emissions from remediation activities performed at the Site.
Technical Guidance for the Attainment of Remediation Standards and Site-Specific Criteria Sept 2012	State		This guidance presents options for demonstrating compliance with New Jersey ARARs.	Guidance used in demonstrating compliance with ARARs.
USEPA Region 2 Clean & Green Policy	Federal		Promotes technologies and practices that are sustainable. If practicable from schedule and technical viewpoints, redevelopment and property improvements could be coordinated with remediation to obtain green and sustainable synergies.	Policy considered in developing alternatives. Policy will be considered in implementation of selected alternate.
Administrative Requirement for the Remediation of Contaminated Sites (ARRCS)	State	N.J.A.C. 7:26C	Establishes a paradigm for the remediation of contaminated sites in New Jersey, including the requirement that a person responsible for conducting the remediation employ a licensed site remediation professional (LSRP) to supervise the remediation.	Applicable to Lot 57 remedial alternatives for supervising the actions taken in accordance with the State of New Jersey Technical Regulations.

Legend

AOC - area of contamination

ARAR - Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement

C.F.R. - Code of Federal Regulations

CO - Carbon monoxide

FR - Federal Register

LDR - Land Disposal Restrictions

N.J.A.C. - New Jersey Administrative Code

NJPDES - New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NO₂ - Nitrogen dioxide

NPDES - National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

PRG - Project Remediation Goals

RCRA - Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

SO₂ - Sulfur dioxide

TBC - Advisories, Criteria, and Guidance To Be Considered

TSCA - Toxic Substances Control Act

µg/m3 - microgram per cubic meter

			***************************************					Metals	
							Arsenic	Copper	Lead
							ARAR = 19 mg/kg	PRG = 526 mg/kg	PRG = 800 mg/kg
Lot	Location	Sample ID		Sample	Sample	Parent sample ID	Result Qual	Result Qual	Result Qual
ID			Sample Date	Depth Interval	Туре		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
				(ft bgs)					
1	B-3	B-3(1-2)_102517	10/25/2017	1 - 2	Primary		5.3	60.5	620
1 1	B-3 B-4	B-3(2-3)_102517 B-4(0-1)_100617	10/25/2017 10/6/2017	2 - 3 0 - 1	Primary Primary		2.5 7.3	23 238	9.9 650
1	B-4	B-4(1-3)_100617	10/6/2017	1-3	Primary		12.6	92.1	1070
1	B-5	B-5(0.5-1.5)_101317	10/13/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		4.8	55.6	254
1	B-5 B-12	B-5(5-6.5)_101317 B-12(0-1)_101317	10/13/2017 10/13/2017	5 - 6.5 0 - 1	Primary Primary		2.2 15.3	30.3 188	77.7 2000
1	B-12	B-12(0-1)_101317 B-12(1-3)_101317	10/13/2017	1-3	Primary		13.5	134	578
1	B-79	B-79(1-2)_102617	10/26/2017	1 - 2	Primary		4.6	42	405
1	B-79	B-79(5-6)_102617	10/26/2017	5 - 6	Primary		1.9	18.9	33.1
1 1	B-96 B-96	B-96(1-2)_121518 B-96(2-3.5)_121518	12/15/2018 12/15/2018	1 - 2 2 - 3.5	Primary Primary		4.5 J 1.5 J	38.2 J 26.3	197 13.5
1	B-97	B-97(11-13)_121518	12/15/2018	11 - 13	Primary		1.1 J	11	4.4
1	B-97	B-97(1-2)_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 2	Primary		3.7 J	33	105
1	B-97	DUP-4_121518	12/15/2018	1-2	Duplicate	B-97(1-2)_121518	3.6 J	23.3	105
1 1	B-97 B-98	B-97(2-3.8)_121518 B-98(0-1)_121418	12/15/2018 12/14/2018	2 - 3.8 0 - 1	Primary Primary		5.4 4.1 J	58.2 45.1	732 314
1	B-98	B-98(1-2.9)_121418	12/14/2018	1 - 2.9	Primary		5.3 J	33.4	182
57	B-6	B-6(3.5-4.5)_101717	10/17/2017	3.5 - 4.5	Primary		2	72.2	298
57	B-6	B-6(5-5.5)_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		2.7	39.7	255
57 57	B-7 B-7	B-7(0.5-1.5)_101017 B-7(5-6)_101017	10/10/2017	0.5 - 1.5 5 - 6	Primary		3.7 4.6	34.1 30.7	273 24.1
57	B-8	B-8(1.5-2.5)_101017	10/10/2017 10/10/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary Primary		2.6	62.8	71.6
57	B-8	B-8(5-6.25)_101017	10/10/2017	5 - 6.25	Primary		1.6	26.6	40.8
57	B-9	B-9(0-1)_101617	10/16/2017	0 - 1	Primary		3.8	55.9	571
57 57	B-9 B-10	B-9(5-6)_101617	10/16/2017	5-6	Primary		6.4 7.4	41.5 85.4	925
57	B-10 B-71	B_10(3_5)_101617 B-71(3-5)_101617	10/16/2017 10/16/2017	3 - 5 3 - 5	Primary Primary		3.8	85.4 19.1	211 48.7
58	B-13	B-13(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		3.9	34.1	171
58	B-13	B-13(1-3)_101117	10/11/2017	1 - 3	Primary		10.1	127	1390
58	B-14	B-14(0-1)_101717	10/17/2017	0-1	Primary		2 0.74	78.8	33.9
58 58	B-14 B-15	B-14(7-7.5)_101717 B-15(0.25-1.25)_101717	10/17/2017 10/17/2017	7 - 7.5 0.25 - 1.25	Primary Primary		0.74 10 J-	8.1 47.3	1.9 545
58	B-15	B-15(5-6)_101717	10/17/2017	5-6	Primary		6.3	35	310
58	B-16	B-16(0-1)_101217	10/12/2017	0 - 1	Primary		6.1	422	435
58	B-16	B-16(7-7.75)_101217	10/12/2017	7 - 7.75	Primary		0.4 U	4.2	1.4 J+
58 58	B-17 B-17	B-17(0.25-1.25)_101717 B-17(5-6.5)_101717	10/17/2017 10/17/2017	0.25 - 1.25 5 - 6.5	Primary Primary		2.7 3.8	85.6 40.2	222 72.6
58	B-17	DUP-6_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 6.5	Duplicate	B-17(5-6.5)_101717		44	62
59	B-18	B-18(0-1)_101217	10/12/2017	0 - 1	Primary		4	55.2	302
59	B-18	B-18(5-6)_101217	10/12/2017	5 - 6	Primary		2.4	61.2	156
59 59	B-99 B-99	B-99(0-1)_121518 B-99(11-13)_121518	12/15/2018 12/15/2018	0 - 1 11 - 13	Primary Primary		3.6 1.3 J-	41.3 7	311 18.4
59	B-99	B-99(1-2.9)_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 2.9	Primary		5.8	25.3	60.9
59	B-103	B-103(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		4 J	69.8	372
59	B-103	B-103(5-7)_121418	12/14/2018	5 - 7	Primary		0.65	3 J+	2.6 J+
59 59	B-104 B-104	B-104(0-1)_121418 B-104(7-8.7)_121418	12/14/2018 12/14/2018	0 - 1 7 - 8.7	Primary Primary		4.8 0.46 J	61.3 3.6 J+	153 2.4
59	B-104	DUP-3 121418	12/14/2018	7 - 8.7	Duplicate	B-104(7-8.7) 12141		3.9 J+	2.3
60	B-20	B-20(3.5-4)_101817	10/18/2017	3.5 - 4	Primary		6.8	71.5	294
60	B-20	B-20(5-6)_101817	10/18/2017	5 - 6	Primary		3.5	42.3	88.7
60 60	B-22 B-22	B-22(0-1)_100617 B-22(1-3)_100617	10/6/2017	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		7.1 3.2	53 29.2	482 122
60	B-22 B-23	B-23(0-1)_100517	10/6/2017 10/5/2017	0-1	Primary		5.7	60.5	243
60	B-23	B-23(1-3)_100517	10/5/2017	1 - 3	Primary		7.7	63.3	350
60	B-95	B-95(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018	0-1	Primary		1.4	118	38.3
60 60	B-95 B-95	B-95(11-13)_121318 B-95(1-2.8)_121318	12/13/2018 12/13/2018	11 - 13 1 - 2.8	Primary Primary		3.8 J 2.5	31 48.6	20.4 117
60	B-105	B-105(0.7-1.7)_121518	12/15/2018	0.7 - 1.7	Primary		10.7 J	18.8	97.9
60	B-105	B-105(1.7-3.2)_121518	12/15/2018	1.7 - 3.2	Primary		3	28.2	31.2
61	B-24	B-24(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		10.8	68.8	205
61 61	B-24 B-24	B-24(1.5-3.5)_100517 DUP-3_100517	10/5/2017 10/5/2017	1.5 - 3.5 1.5 - 3.5	Primary Duplicate	B-24(1.5-3.5)_1005	56.8 1 49.3	32.5 32.9	420 458
61	B-25	B-25(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary	B-24(1.3-3.3)_1003.	2.8	40.4	32.4
61	B-25	B-25(5-5.5)_100517	10/5/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		4.4	89.2	427
61	B-26	B-26(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		10.1	222	1510
61 61	B-26 B-27	B-26(5-5.8)_100517 B-27(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	5 - 5.8 0.5 - 1.5	Primary		9.3 6	115 59.5	831 421
61 61	B-27 B-27	B-27(0.5-1.5)_100517 B-27(5-5.5)_100517	10/5/2017 10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5 5 - 5.5	Primary Primary		5.5	130	213
61	B-68	B-68(1-2)_102417	10/24/2017	1 - 2	Primary		4.3	60.5	422
62	B-28	B-28(0.5-1.5)_100917	10/9/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		3.5	101	375
62	B-28	B-28(1.5-2.75)_100917	10/9/2017	1.5 - 2.75	Primary		10.5	137	643
62 62	B-93 B-93	B-93(1-2)_121518 B-93(2-3)_121518	12/15/2018 12/15/2018	1 - 2 2 - 3	Primary Primary		7.1 J 9.3	111 109	683 272
63	B-29	B-29(0-1)_092917	9/29/2017	0-1	Primary		4.5	137	802
63	B-29	B-29(1-3)_092917	9/29/2017	1-3	Primary		5.2	150	851
63	B-30	B-30(0-1)_100417	10/4/2017	0-1	Primary		19.9	316	3700
63	B-30	B-30(3-3.8)_100417	10/4/2017 10/18/2017	3 - 3.8 1 - 2	Primary Primary		26.1 35.6	337 151	6210 3880
63	B-31	B-31(1-2)_101817							

Page 1 of 9 Prepared by USEPA

	***************************************							Metals	
							Arsenic	Copper	Lead
							ARAR = 19 mg/kg	PRG = 526 mg/kg	PRG = 800 mg/kg
Lot	Location	Sample ID		Sample	Sample	Parent sample ID	Result Qual	Result Qual	Result Qual
ID			Sample Date	Depth Interval	Туре		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
63	B-32	B-32(1-2)_100417	10/4/2017	(ft bgs) 1 - 2	Primary	***************************************	24.9	109	1690
63	B-32	B-32(2-4)_100417	10/4/2017	2 - 4	Primary		36.5	132	4540
63 63	B-33 B-33	B-33(0.5-1.5)_100417 B-33(3.5-4.5) 100417	10/4/2017 10/4/2017	0.5 - 1.5 3.5 - 4.5	Primary Primary		4.5 11.7	1040 117	911 1210
63	B-85	B-85(0-0.5)_121218	12/12/2018	0 - 0.5	Primary		2.7 J+	66.4	905
63	B-85	B-85(2.5-3.5)_121218	12/12/2018	2.5 - 3.5	Primary		14.6	153	668
63 63	B-87 B-87	B-87(0-1)_121318 B-87(1-3) 121318	12/13/2018 12/13/2018	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		18.9 34.8	154 245	2370 3910
63	B-87	DUP-2_121318	12/13/2018	1-3	Duplicate	B-87(1-3)_121318	23.6	176	3400
64	B-34	B-34(0-1)_100617	10/6/2017	0 - 1	Primary		4.7	63	287
64 64	B-34 B-35	B-34(5-5.5)_100617 B-35(1-2)_100417	10/6/2017 10/4/2017	5 - 5.5 1 - 2	Primary Primary		4.8 8.5	54.1 34.3	311 218
64	B-35	B-35(2-3.8)_100417	10/4/2017	2 - 3.8	Primary		20.9	240	504
64	B-36	B-36(0-1)_100417	10/4/2017	0-1	Primary		3	67.6	182
64 64	B-36 B-37	B-36(3-3.7)_100417 B-37(0-1)_100417	10/4/2017 10/4/2017	3 - 3.7 0 - 1	Primary Primary		8 1.8	85.4 42	157 92.5
64	B-37	B-37(1-3)_100417	10/4/2017	1 - 3	Primary		1.9	39.4	71.9
64 64	B-38 B-38	B-38(0-1)_100917	10/9/2017	0 - 1 0 - 1	Primary	D 39(0.1) 100017	3.6 3.2	46.6 42	794 514
64	B-38	DUP-4_101017 B-38(1-3)_100917	10/9/2017 10/9/2017	1-3	Duplicate Primary	B-38(0-1)_100917	2.4	19.2	18.1
64	B-38	B-38(FILL)_100917	10/9/2017	-3 - 0	Primary		8.7	121	828
64 64	B-41 B-41	B-41(0-1)_092617 B-41(5-7) 092717	9/26/2017 9/27/2017	0 - 1 5 - 7	Primary Primary		7.2 2.2	134 19.8	829 240
64	B-42	B-42(0.3-1.3)_100217	10/2/2017	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		4.1	46.6	314
64	B-42	B-42(7-9)_100217	10/2/2017	7 - 9	Primary		11.5	255	585
64 64	B-69 B-69	B-69(0-1)_092717 B-69(1-3)_092717	9/27/2017 9/27/2017	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		2.7 3	56.3 58	153 167
64	B-70	B-70(0-1)_092717	9/27/2017	0-1	Primary		2.4	80.4	210
64	B-70	B-70(5-7)_092717	9/27/2017	5 - 7	Primary		23	223	3020
64 64	B-74 B-74	B-74(0-1)_100417 B-74(3-4)_100417	10/4/2017 10/4/2017	0 - 1 3 - 4	Primary Primary		1.3 10.2	82.1 102	123 <i>3080</i>
64	B-75	B-75(0-1)_092917	9/29/2017	0 - 1	Primary		1.1	70.1	76.3
64	B-75	B-75(1-3)_092917	9/29/2017	1-3	Primary		7.1	162	8690
64 64	B-86 B-86	B-86(0.3-1.3)_121118 B-86(1.3-3.3)_121118	12/11/2018 12/11/2018	0.3 - 1.3 1.3 - 3.3	Primary Primary		4.5 J+ 8.3 J+	65.3 158	400 1190
64	B-88	B-88(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018	0 - 1	Primary		5.2	127	472
64 64	B-88 B-88	B-88(11-13)_121318	12/13/2018	11 - 13 1 - 3	Primary		8.7 7.1	80.7 182	122 200
64	B-89	B-88(1-3)_121318 B-89(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018 12/13/2018	0-1	Primary Primary		4.5	64.5	168
64	B-89	B-89(1-3)_121318	12/13/2018	1 - 3	Primary		5.4	78.9	220
64 64	B-90 B-90	B-90(0-1)_121218 B-90(11-13)_121218	12/12/2018 12/12/2018	0 - 1 11 - 13	Primary Primary		2.9 J+ 7.9 J+	35.9 88.5	373 280
64	B-90	B-90(1-2.2)_121218	12/12/2018	1.2 - 2	Primary		4.5 J+	41.2	1170
64	B-91	B-91(0-1)_121518	12/15/2018	0 - 1	Primary		4 J	62.8	439
64 64	B-91 B-91	B-91(11-13)_121518 B-91(1-3)_121518	12/15/2018 12/15/2018	11 - 13 1 - 3	Primary Primary		2.1 J 2.8 J	18.5 62.6	18.6 148
64	B-92	B-92(0-1)_121218	12/12/2018	0 - 1	Primary		2.8	65	173
64	B-92	B-92(1-2.5)_121218	12/12/2018	1 - 2.5	Primary		6.1	93.9	441
64 64	B-94 B-94	B-94(0-1)_121318 B-94(1-3)_121318	12/13/2018 12/13/2018	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		2.2 10.8	55.7 215	97.1 850
65	B-39	B-39(0.5-1.5)_100317	10/3/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		8.3 J	132	317
65 65	B-39 B-40	B-39(5-7)_100317 B-40(0-1)_092617	10/3/2017 9/26/2017	5 - 7 0 - 1	Primary Primary		5.8 J 3.1	133 104	216 295
65 65	B-40 B-40	B-40(0-1)_092617 B-40(5-7)_092717	9/26/2017	0-1 5-7	Primary Primary		3.1 7.5	68.6	295 270
65	B-43	B-43(0-1)_092617	9/26/2017	0 - 1	Primary		2.8	70.5	895
65 65	B-43 B-76	B-43(5-7)_092617 B-76(0-1)_102317	9/26/2017 10/23/2017	5 - 7 0 - 1	Primary Primary		10 7.7	97.6 225	445 662
65	B-76	B-76(0-1)_102317 B-76(1-3)_102317	10/23/2017	1-3	Primary		4.1	132	358
65	B-76	DUP-7_102317	10/23/2017	1-3	Duplicate	B-76(1-3)_102317	5.2	172	374
66 66	B-44 B-44	B-44(0-1)_100217 B-44(5-5.5)_100217	10/2/2017 10/2/2017	0 - 1 5 - 5.5	Primary Primary		3.8 5.3	48.4 88.8	174 323
66	B-78	B-78(0.5-1.5)_102517	10/25/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		3.7	65.4	166
66 66	B-78	B-78(5-7)_102517	10/25/2017	5-7	Primary		10.1	102	470
66 66	B-84 B-84	B-84(11-13)_121218 B-84(1-2)_121218	12/12/2018 12/12/2018	11 - 13 1 - 2	Primary Primary		10.5 J+ 1.7 J+	190 29.8	236 46.2
66	B-84	B-84(2-4)_121218	12/12/2018	2 - 4	Primary		2 J+	20.4	29.7
67 67	B-51 B-51	B-51(1-2)_092817 B-51(5-7)_092817	9/28/2017 9/28/2017	1 - 2 5 - 7	Primary Primary		4.5 2.8	61.3 28	159 215
67	B-51 B-52	B-52(0-1)_102317	10/23/2017	0-1	Primary		2.8 5	28 174	528
67	B-52	B-52(1-3)_102317	10/23/2017	1 - 3	Primary		15.1	201	813
67 67	B-53 B-53	B-53(0-1)_092817 B-53(1-3)_092817	9/28/2017 9/28/2017	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		7.3 16.5	426 118	297 803
~/		B-54(1-2)_092817	9/28/2017	1-3	Primary		10.2	112	484
67	B-54				Daiman		19.8	215	471
67 67	B-54	B-54(7-8)_092817	9/28/2017	7-8	Primary			4.22	
67 67 67	B-54 B-55	B-55(0.5-1.5)_100317	10/3/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		10.9	132 111	241
67 67 67 67 67	B-54 B-55 B-55 B-81	B-55(0.5-1.5)_100317 B-55(3.5-5)_100317 B-81(0.3-1.3)_121218		0.5 - 1.5 3.5 - 5 0.3 - 1.3			10.9 10.8 1.5 J	111 37.2	241 450 103
67 67 67 67	B-54 B-55 B-55	B-55(0.5-1.5)_100317 B-55(3.5-5)_100317	10/3/2017 10/3/2017	0.5 - 1.5 3.5 - 5	Primary Primary		10.9 10.8	111	241 450

Page 2 of 9 Prepared by USEPA

								Metals	
							Arsenic	Copper	Lead
Lot ID	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent sample ID	ARAR = 19 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	PRG = 526 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	PRG = 800 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)
68	B-57	B-57(1-2)_100317	10/3/2017	1 - 2	Primary		15.9	168	1060
68	B-57	B-57(2-4)_100317	10/3/2017	2 - 4	Primary		17.2	590	798
68	B-58	B-58(1-2)_092717	9/27/2017	1 - 2	Primary		26	543	753
68	B-58	B-58(6-8) 092717	9/27/2017	6-8	Primary		3.9	469	50.7
68	B-59	B-59(12-13.5)_100317	10/3/2017	9 - 10.5	Primary		1.9 J	25.2	34.9
68	B-59	B-59(5-7)_100317	10/3/2017	2 - 4	Primary		12.5 J	152	1770
68	B-59	DUP-2_100317	10/3/2017	2 - 4	Duplicate	B-59(5-7)_100317	13.6	120	2530
68	B-59	B-59(FILL) 100317	10/3/2017	-3 - 0	Primary	· /=	6 J	74.4	288
68	B-60	B-60(0-1)_092617	9/26/2017	0 - 1	Primary		5.2	58.7	299
68	B-60	B-60(5-7)_092617	9/26/2017	5 - 7	Primary		7.5	278	1180
68	B-60	B-60(FILL)_092617	9/26/2017	-4 - 0	Primary		19.8	145	2550
68	B-77	B-77(0-1)_092817	9/28/2017	0 - 1	Primary		4.2	57.2	152
68	B-77	B-77(1-3) 092817	9/28/2017	1-3	Primary		7.6	105	424
68	B-77	DUP-1_092817	9/28/2017	1-3	Duplicate	B-77(1-3)_092817	8.1	92.2	483
68	B-80	B-80(0.3-1.3)_121218	12/12/2018	0.3 - 1.3	Primary	- · · · (- · · / <u>-</u> - · ·	5.1 J+	72.6	353
68	B-80	B-80(1.3-3.3)_121218	12/12/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Primary		12.1 J+	317	709
68	B-82	B-82(11-13) 121118	12/11/2018	11 - 13	Primary		3.8 J+	13.8	17.5
68	B-82	B-82(1-2)_121118	12/11/2018	1 - 2	Primary		16.1 J+	27.3	137
68	B-82	B-82(5-7)_121118	12/11/2018	5 - 7	Primary		9.7 J+	259	450
68	B-83	B-83(0.3-1.3)_121118	12/11/2018	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		5.6 J	70.3	798 J
68	B-83	B-83(1.3-3.3) 121118	12/11/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Primary		9.3	85.4	414
68	B-83	DUP-1 121118	12/11/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Duplicate	B-83(1.3-3.3) 12111	7.4 J+	77.4	604
69	B-61	B-61(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary	` /=	5.4	47.9	333
69	B-61	B-61(5-6)_101117	10/11/2017	5 - 6	Primary		2.2	13.4	43.7
69	B-62	B-62(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		3.2	55.3	215
69	B-62	B-62(5-5.5) 101117	10/11/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		3.8	41.4	143
69	B-63	B-63(0-1) 101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		3.2	83.2	440
69	B-63	B-63(1-3)_101117	10/11/2017	1-3	Primary		2.6	31.6	126
69	B-101	B-101(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		4.9 J	363	849
69	B-101	B-101(11-13) 121418	12/14/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.62 J	15	6.4
69	B-101	B-101(5-6)_121418	12/14/2018	5 - 6	Primary		2.3 J	26.8	52.7
69	B-102	B-102(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		5.6 J	69.9	264
69	B-102	B-102(1-2.9)_121418	12/14/2018	1 - 2.9	Primary		4.2	120	174
70	B-19	B-19(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		15.2	170	622
70	B-19	B-19(2-4)_101117	10/11/2017	2 - 4	Primary		6.9	58.7	1190
70	B-64	B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117	10/11/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		8.2	84.5	1080
70	B-64	DUP-5_101117	10/11/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Duplicate	B-64(1.5-2.5)_10111	5.4	69	646
70	B-64	B-64(2.5-4.5)_101117	10/11/2017	2.5 - 4.5	Primary	• •=	5.7	88	823
70	B-65	B-65(0.5-1.5)_101317	10/13/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		4.4	76	141
70	B-65	B-65(1.5-2.7)_101317	10/13/2017	1.5 - 2.7	Primary		5.7	66.6	3540
70	B-66	B-66(1.5-2.5)_101017	10/10/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		3.2	74.4	391
70	B-66	B-66(2.5-4.5)_101017	10/10/2017	2.5 - 4.5	Primary		8.4	70	841
70	B-67	B-67(1.5-2.5)_101017	10/10/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		1.4	47.8	48.8
70	B-67	B-67(2.5-3.8)_101017	10/10/2017	2.5 - 3.8	Primary		5.3	101	2880
70	B-100	B-100(11-13)_121418	12/14/2018	11 - 13	Primary		7.1 J	72.8	189
70	B-100	B-100(2.2-3.2)_121418	12/14/2018	2.2 - 3.2	Primary		4.3 J	107	598
70	B-100	B-100(3.2-4.2)_121418	12/14/2018	3.2 - 4.2	Primary		4.8 J	166	486

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.

PRG = Preliminary Remediation Goal

Detected result exceeds ARAR or PRG

Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR or PRG
NR = Not reportable

J - Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL

J+ - Estimated High

J- - Estimated Low

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

Total PCBs are calculated as the sum of detected Aroclor concentration. If all the Aroclors are reported as nondetected, then the highest reporting limit of the Aroclors is reported.

Only parameters that have a result greater than the selected ARAR/PRG and used in the soil and soil gas alternatives are listed

> Page 3 of 9 Prepared by USEPA

	***************************************		***************************************	***************************************	•	***************************************	***************************************	PA	Hs	***************************************
Lot ID	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth Interval	Sample Type	Parent sample ID	Benzo(a) anthracene ARAR = 17 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	Benzo(a)pyrene ARAR = 2 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	Benzo(b) fluoranthene ARAR = 17 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene ARAR = 2 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)
1	B-3	B-3(1-2)_102517	10/25/2017	(ft bgs) 1 - 2	Primary		1.1	0.53 J	1.8	0.2
1	B-3	B-3(2-3)_102517	10/25/2017	2 - 3	Primary		0.0087	0.0051	0.0091	0.0036 U
1	B-4	B-4(0-1)_100617	10/6/2017	0 - 1	Primary		2.3	2.1	2.8	0.44 J
1	B-4	B-4(1-3)_100617	10/6/2017	1 - 3	Primary		3.2	3.1	4.2	0.72
1	B-5	B-5(0.5-1.5)_101317	10/13/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.076	0.062	0.089	0.0093 J
1	B-5 B-12	B-5(5-6.5)_101317 B-12(0-1)_101317	10/13/2017	5 - 6.5 0 - 1	Primary Primary		0.012 J 0.31	0.0088 J 0.33	0.012 J 0.49	0.017 U 0.046
1	B-12	B-12(0-1)_101317 B-12(1-3) 101317	10/13/2017 10/13/2017	1-3	Primary		0.24	0.33	0.42	0.04
1	B-79	B-79(1-2)_102617	10/26/2017	1-2	Primary		0.04	0.019 U	0.034	0.019 U
1	B-79	B-79(5-6)_102617	10/26/2017	5 - 6	Primary		0.026	0.018 U	0.022	0.018 U
1	B-96	B-96(1-2)_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 2	Primary		0.2	0.16	0.2	0.037
1	B-96	B-96(2-3.5)_121518	12/15/2018	2 - 3.5	Primary		0.0051	0.0088	0.0048	0.00093 J
1	B-97 B-97	B-97(11-13)_121518	12/15/2018	11 - 13 1 - 2	Primary		0.0039 U	0.0039 U	0.0039 U	0.0039 U
1	в-97 В-97	B-97(1-2)_121518 DUP-4_121518	12/15/2018 12/15/2018	1-2	Primary Duplicate	B-97(1-2)_121518	0.076 0.086	0.083 0.093	0.085 0.086	0.021 0.024
1	B-97	B-97(2-3.8)_121518	12/15/2018	2 - 3.8	Primary	5 5 / (1 2)_121510	0.14	0.14	0.27	0.032
1	B-98	B-98(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		4.2	2.9	3.1	0.55 J
1	B-98	B-98(1-2.9)_121418	12/14/2018	1 - 2.9	Primary		3.9	2.9	3.2	0.58 J
57	B-6	B-6(3.5-4.5)_101717	10/17/2017	3.5 - 4.5	Primary		0.013 J	0.0094 J	0.015 J	0.019 U
57	B-6	B-6(5-5.5)_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		15	12	14	1.7 J
57 57	B-7 B-7	B-7(0.5-1.5)_101017 B-7(5-6)_101017	10/10/2017 10/10/2017	0.5 - 1.5 5 - 6	Primary Primary		0.084 0.022	0.084 0.022	0.12 0.022	0.019 0.018 U
57	B-8	B-8(1.5-2.5) 101017	10/10/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		0.5	0.43	0.62	0.076
57	B-8	B-8(5-6.25)_101017	10/10/2017	5 - 6.25	Primary		0.11	0.11	0.15	0.02
57	B-9	B-9(0-1)_101617	10/16/2017	0 - 1	Primary		4.8	4.4	5.9	0.8 J
57	B-9	B-9(5-6)_101617	10/16/2017	5 - 6	Primary		1	0.96	1.1	0.11
57	B-10	B_10(3_5)_101617	10/16/2017	3 - 5	Primary		0.049	0.03	0.045	0.0043 J
57	B-71	B-71(3-5)_101617	10/16/2017	3 - 5	Primary		0.4 J+ 0.3	0.28	0.38 0.39	0.024 0.051
58 58	B-13 B-13	B-13(0-1)_101117 B-13(1-3)_101117	10/11/2017 10/11/2017	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		0.41	0.26 0.28	0.62	0.092
58	B-14	B-14(0-1)_101717	10/17/2017	0-1	Primary		0.72	0.65	0.88	0.094
58	B-14	B-14(7-7.5)_101717	10/17/2017	7 - 7.5	Primary		0.0036 U	0.0036 U	0.0036 U	0.0036 U
58	B-15	B-15(0.25-1.25)_101717	10/17/2017	0.25 - 1.25	Primary		0.028	0.028	0.1	0.021
58	B-15	B-15(5-6)_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 6	Primary		0.013 J	0.0093 J	0.011 J	0.018 U
58	B-16	B-16(0-1)_101217	10/12/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.068 J+	0.076 J+	0.13 J+	0.021 J+
58 58	B-16 B-17	B-16(7-7.75)_101217 B-17(0.25-1.25)_101717	10/12/2017 10/17/2017	7 - 7.75 0.25 - 1.25	Primary Primary		0.074 J+ 0.07	0.047 J+ 0.018 U	0.04 J+ 0.11	0.01 J 0.018 U
58	B-17	B-17(5-6.5)_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 6.5	Primary		0.37	0.4	0.52 J	0.076 J
58	B-17	DUP-6_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 6.5	Duplicate	B-17(5-6.5)_101717	0.081 J	0.085 J	0.084 J	0.0097 J
59	B-18	B-18(0-1)_101217	10/12/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.53	0.52	1.1	0.11
59	B-18	B-18(5-6)_101217	10/12/2017	5 - 6	Primary		1	0.91	1.1	0.14
59	B-99	B-99(0-1)_121518	12/15/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.11	0.12	0.14	0.075 J
59 59	B-99 B-99	B-99(11-13)_121518 B-99(1-2.9)_121518	12/15/2018 12/15/2018	11 - 13 1 - 2.9	Primary Primary		0.21 U 0.011	0.0041 U 0.018	0.21 U 0.014	0.0041 U 0.0034 J
59	B-103	B-103(0-1)_121418	12/13/2018	0 - 1	Primary		1.1	0.84	1.2	0.0034 3
59	B-103	B-103(5-7)_121418	12/14/2018	5 - 7	Primary		0.0039 U	0.0039 U	0.0039 U	0.0039 U
59	B-104	B-104(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.41	0.35	0.53	0.076
59	B-104	B-104(7-8.7)_121418	12/14/2018	7 - 8.7	Primary		0.0036 U	0.0036 U	0.00039 J	0.0036 U
59	B-104	DUP-3_121418	12/14/2018	7 - 8.7	Duplicate	B-104(7-8.7)_12141		0.0037 U	0.0037 U	0.0037 U
60	B-20	B-20(3.5-4)_101817	10/18/2017	3.5 - 4	Primary		0.075	0.052	0.065	0.0081 J
60 60	B-20 B-22	B-20(5-6)_101817 B-22(0-1)_100617	10/18/2017 10/6/2017	5 - 6 0 - 1	Primary Primary		0.012 J 0.26	0.0079 J 0.31	0.0099 J 0.45	0.02 U 0.089
60	B-22	B-22(1-3)_100617	10/6/2017	1-3	Primary		0.28	0.33	0.43	0.074
60	B-23	B-23(0-1)_100517	10/5/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.34	0.36	0.54	0.085
60	B-23	B-23(1-3)_100517	10/5/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.18	0.21	0.27	0.041
60	B-95	B-95(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.25	0.3	0.31	0.085
60 60	B-95	B-95(11-13)_121318	12/13/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.061	0.054	0.048	0.011
60	B-95 B-105	B-95(1-2.8)_121318 B-105(0.7-1.7)_121518	12/13/2018 12/15/2018	1 - 2.8 0.7 - 1.7	Primary Primary		0.55 3.9	0.44 4	0.57 4.4	0.11 1
60	B-105	B-105(1.7-3.2)_121518	12/15/2018	1.7 - 3.2	Primary		0.91	0.94	1.4	0.27
61	B-24	B-24(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.79	0.82	1.2	0.18
61	B-24	B-24(1.5-3.5)_100517	10/5/2017	1.5 - 3.5	Primary		4.6	3.8	5.1	0.77 J
61	B-24	DUP-3_100517	10/5/2017	1.5 - 3.5	Duplicate	B-24(1.5-3.5)_10051		4.1	5.6	0.82 J
61	B-25	B-25(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		3.6	3.8	4.8	0.71
61 61	B-25 B-26	B-25(5-5.5)_100517 B-26(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017 10/5/2017	5 - 5.5 0.5 - 1.5	Primary Primary		0.094 0.031	0.089 0.027	0.13 0.06	0.018 0.012 J
61	B-26	B-26(5-5.8)_100517	10/5/2017	5 - 5.8	Primary		0.031	0.027	0.32 J	0.012 3
61	B-27	B-27(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.17	0.16	0.23	0.03
61	B-27	B-27(5-5.5)_100517	10/5/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		0.16	0.14	0.19	0.025
61	B-68	B-68(1-2)_102417	10/24/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.045	0.034	0.045	0.021 U
62	B-28	B-28(0.5-1.5)_100917	10/9/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		4.3	4.1	5.9	0.91 J
62	B-28	B-28(1.5-2.75)_100917	10/9/2017	1.5 - 2.75	Primary		3.2	2.3	3.2	0.51
62 62	B-93 B-93	B-93(1-2)_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 2	Primary		0.55 J	0.49 J	0.58 J	0.29 J
n/	B-93	B-93(2-3)_121518	12/15/2018	2 - 3 0 - 1	Primary Primary		0.64 J 5	0.5 J 4.6	0.65 J 6.3	0.24 J 0.81
		B_20(A_1) A02017			critidiV			6.U	0.5	0.01
63	B-29	B-29(0-1)_092917 B-29(1-3)_092917	9/29/2017					2.4	3.6	0.39
		B-29(0-1)_092917 B-29(1-3)_092917 B-30(0-1)_100417	9/29/2017	1-3	Primary Primary		2.7 3	2.4 3.5	3.6 4.8	0.39 0.82 J
63 63	B-29 B-29	B-29(1-3)_092917		1 - 3	Primary		2.7			
63 63	B-29 B-29 B-30	B-29(1-3)_092917 B-30(0-1)_100417	9/29/2017 10/4/2017	1 - 3 0 - 1	Primary Primary		2.7 3	3.5	4.8	0.82 J

Page 4 of 9 Prepared by USEPA

								PA	Hs	
Lot ID	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth Interval	Sample Type	Parent sample ID	Benzo(a) anthracene ARAR = 17 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	Benzo(a)pyrene ARAR = 2 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	Benzo(b) fluoranthene ARAR = 17 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene ARAR = 2 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(ft bgs)	*******************************	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
63	B-32	B-32(1-2)_100417	10/4/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.75	0.91	1.3	0.19
63 63	B-32 B-33	B-32(2-4)_100417 B-33(0.5-1.5)_100417	10/4/2017 10/4/2017	2 - 4 0.5 - 1.5	Primary Primary		1.4 0.35	2.2 0.34	2.6 0.47	0.4 0.088
63	B-33	B-33(3.5-4.5) 100417	10/4/2017	3.5 - 4.5	Primary		0.41	0.42	0.58	0.094
63	B-85	B-85(0-0.5)_121218	12/12/2018	0 - 0.5	Primary		0.22	0.22	0.28	0.098 J
63	B-85	B-85(2.5-3.5)_121218	12/12/2018	2.5 - 3.5	Primary		34	28	29	5
63	B-87	B-87(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.77	0.74	0.69	0.15
63 63	B-87 B-87	B-87(1-3)_121318 DUP-2 121318	12/13/2018 12/13/2018	1 - 3 1 - 3	Primary Duplicate	B-87(1-3)_121318	0.44 J 0.64 J	0.35 0.53	0.38 0.56	0.095 0.13
64	B-34	B-34(0-1)_100617	10/6/2017	0-1	Primary	b-67(1-3)_121316	0.29	0.32	0.42	0.068
64	B-34	B-34(5-5.5)_100617	10/6/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		0.24	0.24	0.28	0.048
64	B-35	B-35(1-2)_100417	10/4/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.084	0.092	0.13	0.021
64	B-35	B-35(2-3.8)_100417	10/4/2017	2 - 3.8	Primary		0.18	0.12	0.13	0.022
64 64	B-36 B-36	B-36(0-1)_100417 B-36(3-3.7)_100417	10/4/2017 10/4/2017	0 - 1 3 - 3.7	Primary Primary		0.25 0.0044 J	0.27 0.0029 J	0.4 0.0054	0.056 0.0047 U
64	B-37	B-37(0-1)_100417	10/4/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.72	0.82	1.1	0.18
64	B-37	B-37(1-3)_100417	10/4/2017	1-3	Primary		0.95	0.89 J	1.2	0.16
64	B-38	B-38(0-1)_100917	10/9/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.72 J	0.66 J	0.85 J	0.11 J
64	B-38	DUP-4_101017	10/9/2017	0 - 1	Duplicate	B-38(0-1)_100917	0.028 J	0.034 J	0.052 J	0.0097 J
64 64	B-38 B-38	B-38(1-3)_100917	10/9/2017	1 - 3 -3 - 0	Primary		0.008 4.8	0.0038 J 4.3	0.0063 5.8	0.0042 U 0.91 J
64	B-38 B-41	B-38(FILL)_100917 B-41(0-1)_092617	10/9/2017 9/26/2017	0-1	Primary Primary		2.6	4.3 2.4	3.3	0.91 J
64	B-41	B-41(5-7)_092717	9/27/2017	5 - 7	Primary		0.07	0.059	0.083	0.0098
64	B-42	B-42(0.3-1.3)_100217	10/2/2017	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		0.11	0.14	0.39 J	0.06
64	B-42	B-42(7-9)_100217	10/2/2017	7 - 9	Primary		1.9	1.6	2	0.31
64 64	B-69 B-69	B-69(0-1)_092717	9/27/2017	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary		0.21 0.25	0.2 0.23	0.28 0.35	0.04 0.056
64	B-70	B-69(1-3)_092717 B-70(0-1)_092717	9/27/2017 9/27/2017	0-1	Primary Primary		0.12	0.23	0.16	0.025
64	B-70	B-70(5-7)_092717	9/27/2017	5 - 7	Primary		2	1.6	2.3	0.3 J
64	B-74	B-74(0-1)_100417	10/4/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.46 J	0.5 J	0.71 J	0.12
64	B-74	B-74(3-4)_100417	10/4/2017	3 - 4	Primary		0.025	0.035	0.05	0.019 U
64 64	B-75 B-75	B-75(0-1)_092917	9/29/2017	0-1	Primary		0.12 0.0042 U	0.12 0.0042 U	0.18 0.0042 U	0.03 0.0042 U
64	B-75 B-86	B-75(1-3)_092917 B-86(0.3-1.3)_121118	9/29/2017 12/11/2018	1 - 3 0.3 - 1.3	Primary Primary		7.5	5.3	5.4	1.3 J
64	B-86	B-86(1.3-3.3)_121118	12/11/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Primary		7.9	6	7	1.6
64	B-88	B-88(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.7	0.78	1	0.22
64	B-88	B-88(11-13)_121318	12/13/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.59	0.58	0.55	0.079 J
64	B-88	B-88(1-3)_121318	12/13/2018	1-3	Primary		0.19	0.13	0.39	0.06 J
64 64	B-89 B-89	B-89(0-1)_121318 B-89(1-3)_121318	12/13/2018 12/13/2018	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		0.36 0.062	0.34 0.072	0.42 0.099	0.1 0.034 J
64	B-90	B-90(0-1)_121218	12/12/2018	0-1	Primary		0.71	0.65	0.65	0.28 J
64	B-90	B-90(11-13)_121218	12/12/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.17	0.17	0.14	0.036 J
64	B-90	B-90(1-2.2)_121218	12/12/2018	1.2 - 2	Primary		0.4	0.32	0.37	0.17 J
64	B-91	B-91(0-1)_121518	12/15/2018	0 - 1	Primary		1.4	1.4	1.3	0.33
64 64	B-91 B-91	B-91(11-13)_121518 B-91(1-3)_121518	12/15/2018 12/15/2018	11 - 13 1 - 3	Primary Primary		0.077 5.1	0.078 4.2	0.077 4.3	0.015 1.1
64	B-92	B-92(0-1)_121218	12/12/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.42	0.51	0.45	0.14
64	B-92	B-92(1-2.5)_121218	12/12/2018	1 - 2.5	Primary		1.2	0.88	1.2	0.31 J
64	B-94	B-94(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.84	0.84	1.2	0.2
64	B-94	B-94(1-3)_121318	12/13/2018	1 - 3 0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.26	0.27	0.32	0.075
65 65	B-39 B-39	B-39(0.5-1.5)_100317 B-39(5-7)_100317	10/3/2017 10/3/2017	5 - 7	Primary Primary		0.26 0.023	0.23 0.026	0.27 0.031	0.038 0.0039 U
65	B-40	B-40(0-1)_092617	9/26/2017	0-1	Primary		0.57 J	0.33 J	0.93 J	0.14
65	B-40	B-40(5-7)_092717	9/27/2017	5 - 7	Primary		0.06	0.055	0.089	0.011
65	B-43	B-43(0-1)_092617	9/26/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.096	0.075	0.11	0.017
65 65	B-43 B-76	B-43(5-7)_092617 B-76(0-1)_102317	9/26/2017	5 - 7	Primary		1.3 2.1	1.2 1.8	1.6 2.4	0.27 0.23
65	B-76	B-76(0-1)_102317 B-76(1-3)_102317	10/23/2017 10/23/2017	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		0.32 J	0.37 J	0.47 J	0.23 0.077 J
65	B-76	DUP-7_102317	10/23/2017	1-3	Duplicate	B-76(1-3)_102317	0.85 J	1.6 J	2.2 J	0.37
66	B-44	B-44(0-1)_100217	10/2/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.51 J	0.53 J	0.7 J	0.095
66	B-44	B-44(5-5.5)_100217	10/2/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		2.6	2.2	2.8	0.42 J
66 66	B-78 B-78	B-78(0.5-1.5)_102517 B-78(5-7)_102517	10/25/2017	0.5 - 1.5 5 - 7	Primary Primary		0.52 1.7	0.44 1.5	0.6 1.9	0.056 0.2
66	B-76 B-84	B-78(5-7)_102517 B-84(11-13)_121218	10/25/2017 12/12/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.83	0.75	0.6	0.13 J
66	B-84	B-84(1-2)_121218	12/12/2018	1 - 2	Primary		0.24	0.22	0.24	0.058
66	B-84	B-84(2-4)_121218	12/12/2018	2 - 4	Primary		2.5	1.7	2.4	0.71 J
67 67	B-51	B-51(1-2)_092817	9/28/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.27	0.21	0.31 0.47	0.079
67 67	B-51 B-52	B-51(5-7)_092817 B-52(0-1)_102317	9/28/2017 10/23/2017	5 - 7 0 - 1	Primary Primary		0.46 0.58 J	0.37 0.54 J	0.47 0.77 J	0.086 0.069
67	B-52	B-52(1-3)_102317	10/23/2017	1-3	Primary		0.74	0.68	0.9	0.078
67	B-53	B-53(0-1)_092817	9/28/2017	0 - 1	Primary		2.8	2.6	3.6	0.53
67	B-53	B-53(1-3)_092817	9/28/2017	1 - 3	Primary		16	13	17	2.2
67	B-54	B-54(1-2)_092817	9/28/2017	1 - 2	Primary		16	13	16	2
67 67	B-54 B-55	B-54(7-8)_092817 B-55(0.5-1.5)_100317	9/28/2017 10/3/2017	7 - 8 0.5 - 1.5	Primary Primary		0.86 2.3	0.66 2.1	0.87 2.5	0.13 0.42 J
67	B-55	B-55(3.5-5)_100317	10/3/2017	3,5 - 5	Primary		0.78	0.75	1	0.14
67	B-81	B-81(0.3-1.3)_121218	12/12/2018	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		0.39	0.36	0.36	0.094
67	B-81	B-81(5-7)_121218	12/12/2018	5 - 7	Primary		2.3	1.5	2.4	0.63 J
68 68	B-56	B-56(1-2)_092717	9/27/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.36	0.37	0.52	0.1
68	B-56	B-56(2-4)_092717	9/27/2017	2 - 4	Primary		0.067	0.054	0.077	0.0098

Page 5 of 9 Prepared by USEPA

Name								PA	Hs	
68 8-57 8-57/L-2 1,00317 10/3/2017 1-2 Primary 1.2 1.5 0.24 68 8-57 8-57/L-2 1,00317 10/3/2017 2-4 Primary 0.03 0.035 0.053 0.005 68 8-58 8-58/R-1,092717 9/27/2017 6-8 Primary 0.011 0.0039 0.0039 0.0039 68 8-59 8-58/R-1,092717 9/27/2017 6-8 Primary 0.045 0.026 0.031 0.0038 68 8-59 8-59/S-1,010317 10/3/2017 2-4 Primary 0.044 1 0.47 1 0.6 0.033 68 8-59 8-59/RILL,100317 10/3/2017 2-4 Duplicate 8-59/RILL,100317 10/3/2017 3-0 Primary 0.44 0.47 1 0.6 0.03 68 8-60 8-60(3-1),02617 9/26/2017 3-0 Primary 0.83 0.66 0.83 0.13 8-77 8-7/10-1,022817 9/28/2017 3-1 9/28/2017		Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval		Parent sample ID	anthracene ARAR = 17 mg/kg Result Qual	ARAR = 2 mg/kg Result Qual	fluoranthene ARAR = 17 mg/kg Result Qual	Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene ARAR = 2 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)
68 B-57 B-57/4_L_00317 10/3/2017 2-4 Primary 0.03 0.035 0.053 0.056 68 B-58 B-58/B-0_092717 9/27/2017 6-8 Primary 0.011 0.029 0.029 0.037 68 B-59 B-59/B-0_1,00317 10/3/2017 2-4 Primary 0.045 0.026 0.031 0.038 68 B-59 B-59/B-0_1,00317 10/3/2017 2-4 Primary 0.44 0.47 0.61 0.13 68 B-59 B-59/B-0_1,00317 10/3/2017 2-4 Primary 0.42 0.11 0.7 0.66 68 B-59 B-59/B-0_1,00317 10/3/2017 3-7 Primary 0.12 0.11 0.17 0.26 68 B-60 B-60/D-1,09317 9/3/6/2017 5-7 Primary 0.33 0.3 0.4 0.0 0.0 68 B-70 B-70/D-1,09217 9/3/2017 3-1 Primary 0.3 0.3 0.3 <t< td=""><td>68 B-57</td><td>B-57(1-2) 100317</td><td>10/3/2017</td><td></td><td>Primary</td><td></td><td>1.2</td><td>1.2</td><td>1.5</td><td>0.24</td></t<>	68 B-57	B-57(1-2) 100317	10/3/2017		Primary		1.2	1.2	1.5	0.24
68 B-58 B-58 B-58 B-58 B-59 C-12 O-2777 9/77/2017 6-8 Primary O-000 O-00039 O-000039 O-00039 O-00039 O-00039 O-000039 O-00039 O-000039 O-000										0.005 U
68 8-58 8-858 (e. 8.50) 0.92777 9/27/2017 6-8 Primary (mod) 0.0015 0.003 (mod) 0.0039 (mod) 0.0039 (mod) 0.0038 (
68 B-59 B-59[12-1].010317 10/3/D017 2-1.05 Primary 0.045 0.026 0.031 0.0038 68 B-59 DUP-2_100317 10/3/2017 2-4 Duplicate 8-59(F-7)_100317 1.5 J 1.7 J 2.1 J 0.36 68 B-59 DUP-2_100317 10/3/2017 2-4 Duplicate 8-59(F-7)_100317 1.5 J 1.7 J 2.1 J 0.36 68 B-60 B-60(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 6-7 Primary 0.33 0.66 0.83 0.13 68 B-60 B-60(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 6-7 Primary 0.34 1.3 1.7 0.04 68 B-77 B-77(0-1)_092817 9/28/2017 1-3 Primary 0.39 0.33 0.43 0.05 68 B-77 DUP-1_092817 9/28/2017 1-3 Duplicate 8-77(1-3)_092817 0.39 0.33 0.43 0.05 68 B-80 B-80(0-3-1)_111212 1/2/2018 1-3-3										
68 B-59 B-59 S-7 _00317 10/3/2017 2 - 4 Primary Duplicate B-59(5-7)_100317 0.44 J 0.47 J 0.6 J 0.13 68 B-59 D-P2_100317 10/3/2017 -3 - 0 Primary 0.12 0.11 0.17 0.026 68 B-60 B-60(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.83 0.66 0.83 0.13 68 B-60 B-60(5-7)_092617 9/26/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.33 0.3 0.42 0.074 68 B-77 B-71(0-1)_092817 9/26/2017 6 - 0 Primary 0.33 0.33 0.43 1.7 0.28 68 B-77 P-71(1-1)_092817 9/26/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.89 0.33 0.43 1 0.054 68 B-77 P-71(1-1)_092817 9/26/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.89 0.33 0.43 1 0.054 68 B-80 B-80(1-1.3)_1212118 12/11/2018 3 - 1.3										
68 8-59 DUP-2_100317 10/3/017 2 - 4 Duplicate B-59(FLI_100317) 1.5 J 1.7 J 2.1 J 0.36 68 8-59 B-59(FILL_100317) 9/26/2017 0-1 Primary 0.83 0.66 0.83 0.13 68 B-60 B-60(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 0.83 0.66 0.83 0.13 68 B-60 B-60(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 1.4 1.3 1.7 0.22 68 B-77 B-77(0-1)_092817 9/28/2017 1-3 Duplicate B-77(1-3)_092817 0.33 0.43 0.05 0.76 0.25 0.77 J 0.26 68 B-77(1-9)092817 9/28/2017 1-3 Duplicate B-77(1-3)_092817 0.33 0.43 0.05 0.7 0.11 0.22 0.69 0.55 0.77 J 0.11 0.22 0.05 0.77 J 1.11 0.22 0.05 0.77 J 0.11 0.22 0.0										
68 8-59 8-59(IIII)_100317 10/3/2017 3-0 Primary 0.12 0.11 0.17 0.026 68 8-60 8-60(0-1)_02617 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 0.83 0.66 0.83 0.42 0.074 68 8-60 8-60(8-1)_02617 9/26/2017 4-0 Primary 1.4 1.3 1.7 0.28 68 8-77 8-77(1-1)_029217 9/26/2017 4-0 Primary 1.4 1.3 1.7 0.26 68 8-77 8-77(1-1)_029217 9/26/2017 1-3 Primary 0.39 0.33 0.43 J 0.054 68 8-77 DP-1,028217 9/28/2017 1-3 Primary 0.39 0.33 0.43 J 0.054 68 8-80 8-80(3.3.3).212118 12/11/2018 3.3 Primary 0.37 0.3 0.43 J 0.054 68 8-82 8-82(1-1.3).212118 12/11/2018 1-3 Primary 0.62<		· ·				D EO(E 7) 100317				
68 8-60		_				B-39(3-7)_100317				
68 B-60 B-60(ST)										
68 B-60 B-60/FILL)_092617 9/56/2017 4-0 Primary 1.4 1.3 1.7 0.28 68 B-77 B-77(1-1)_092817 9/28/2017 1-3 Primary 0.2 1.6 2 0.26 68 B-77 B-77(1-3)_092817 9/28/2017 1-3 Duplicate B-77(1-3)_092817 0.69 J.055 0.77 J.011 68 B-80 B-80(13-13)_121218 1/1/2/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 0.46 0.65 0.85 0.17 68 B-80 B-80(13-13)_12118 1/1/2/2018 1.3-3 Primary 0.46 0.65 0.85 0.17 68 B-82 B-82(1-2)_121118 1/1/2/2018 1.3 3 Primary 0.46 0.65 0.85 0.17 68 B-82 B-82(1-2)_121118 1/1/2/2018 1.3-2 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 B-83(13-13)_121118 1/1/2/2018 1.3-3 Primary 0.063 <td></td>										
68 B-77 B-77(0-1)_092817 9/28/2017 0-1 Primary 2 1.6 2 0-26 68 B-77 DUP-1_092817 9/28/2017 1-3 Puplicate B-77(1-3)_092817 0.33 0.33 0.43 0.054 68 B-80 B-80(0-3-13,121218) 12/12/2018 3-3.3 Primary 0.46 0.65 0.85 0.77 0.11 68 B-80 B-80(13-3-3),121218 12/12/2018 13-3 Primary 0.46 0.65 0.85 0.17 68 B-82 B-82(11-13),121118 12/11/2018 3-3 Primary 0.04 0.65 0.85 0.17 68 B-82 B-82(1-1),121118 12/11/2018 5-7 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 B-83(13-3.1),121118 12/11/2018 5-7 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 B-83(13-3.1),12118 12/11/2018 3-3 Derimary 0.0		· ·								
68 B-777 B-771(1-3)_092817 9/28/2017 1-3 Primary 0.39 0.33 0.43 J 0.054 68 B-77 DUP-1-092817 9/28/2017 1-3 Duplicate 8-77(1-3)_092817 0.69 0.55 0.77 J 0.11 68 B-80 B-80(13-3)_31_21218 12/12/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 0.46 0.65 0.85 0.17 68 B-80 B-80(13-3)_121118 12/11/2018 1-2 Primary 0.27 0.0039 0.27 0.00055 68 B-82 B-82(11-3)_121118 12/11/2018 1-2 Primary 0.063 0.61 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 B-83(13-3)_121118 12/11/2018 13-3 Primary 0.063 0.61 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 DP-1_121118 12/11/2018 13-3 Primary 0.71 1 1 1 1 2 2 68 B-83 DP-1_121118 12/11/2018 13-3		. /								
68 B-77 DUP-1_02817 9/38/2017 1 - 3 Duplicate B-77(1-3)_092817 0.69 J 0.55 0.77 J 0.11 68 B-80 B-80(03-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 1 0.81 1.1 0.28 68 B-80 B-80(1.3-3.3)_121218 12/12/2018 13-3 Primary 0.46 0.65 0.85 0.17 68 B-82 B-82(11-13)_121118 12/11/2018 11-13 Primary 0.27 0.0039 J 0.27 0.000055 68 B-82 B-82(13-121118 12/11/2018 1-2 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 B-83(03-1.3)_121118 12/11/2018 1-3-3.3 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 B-83(03-1.3)_121118 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Duplicate B-83(1.3-3.3)_12111 1.1 1.2 0.2 69 B-61 B-610(1-1)_10117 10/11/2017 5-6 Primary 0										
68 B-80 B-80(\(\beta\)-3.13,121218 1/11/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 1 0.81 1.1 0.28 68 B-80 B-80(13-3.3),121218 11/12/2018 1.3-3.3 Primary 0.46 0.65 0.65 0.85 0.17 68 B-82 B-82(11-3),121118 11/11/2018 1.2 Primary 0.27 U 0.0039 0.27 U 0.0055 68 B-82 B-82(12-1),121118 12/11/2018 5.7 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 B-83(13-3.3),121118 12/11/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 DP-1,121118 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Primary 0.01 1.1 1.1 1.2 0.22 68 B-83 0.091,1118 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Primary 0.01 0.01 0.1 1.1 1.3 0.2 69 60 B-61 B-61(5-6),10117										
68 B-800 (1.3-3.3]_121218 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Primary 0.46 0.65 0.85 0.17 68 B-82 (1.1-3)_121118 12/11/2018 1.2 Primary 0.27 U 0.0039 J 0.27 U 0.0055 68 B-82 (1.2-1)_121118 12/11/2018 5-7 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 (1.2-1)_121118 12/11/2018 5-7 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 (1.3-3.3)_121118 12/11/2018 13-3.3 Primary 0.71 J 1.1 J 1.2 Q 2.8 68 B-83 (1.3-3.3)_121118 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Primary 0.71 J 1.1 J 1.3 J 0.2 0.28 68 B-83 (1.3-3.3)_12111 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Primary 0.01 1.1 J 1.1 J 1.3 J 0.2 68 B-83 (1.3-3.3)_12111 1.0/11/2017 0.1 Primary 0.6 C 0.56 0.82 0.05 69 B-61 (1.3-1).01117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 </td <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>8-77(1-3)_092817</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		_			•	8-77(1-3)_092817				
68 B-82 B-82(1-13)_21118 12/11/2018 11-13 Primary 0.7 U 0.0039 J 0.27 U 0.00055 68 B-82 B-82(1-2)_121118 12/11/2018 1-2 V Primary 1.2 0.92 1.1 0.22 68 B-83 B-83(03-1.3]_121118 12/11/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 1.4 1.1 1.2 0.28 68 B-83 B-83(1.3-3.3)_121118 12/11/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 1.4 1.1 1.2 0.28 68 B-83 DUP-1_12118 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Duplicate B-83(1.3-3.3)_12111 1.1 1.2 0.2 0.02 68 B-83 DUP-1_12118 12/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.15 0.12 0.2 0.02 68 B-83 DUP-1_12118 12/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.15 0.12 0.2 0.02 69 B-61 B-61(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.5 0.5										
68 B-82 B-82(1-2)_121118 1/11/2018 5-2 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 B-82(5-7)_121118 12/11/2018 5-7 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 B-83 B-83(3-3-3)_121118 12/11/2018 0.3-13 Primary 1.4 1.1 1.2 0.28 68 B-83 DUP1_121118 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Primary 0.71 1.1 J 1.3 J 0.28 69 B-61 B-61(9-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-6 Primary 0.6 0.56 0.82 0.055 69 B-62 B-62(5-5)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.1 0.05 69 B-62 B-62(5-5)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.1 0.01 69 B-63 B-63(3-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.14 0.11										
68 8-82 8-82(5-7)_121118 1/11/2018 5-7 Primary 0.063 0.061 0.086 0.023 68 8-83 8-83(0.3-1.3)_121118 12/11/2018 13-3.3 Primary 1.4 1.1 1.2 0.28 68 8-83 8-83(1.3-3.3)_121118 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Duplicate 8-83(1.3-3.3)_12111 1.3 J 1.4 J 1.7 J 0.4 69 8-61 8-61(0-1)_10117 10/11/2017 5-6 Primary 0.6 0.56 0.82 0.05 69 8-61 8-61(9-1)_10117 10/11/2017 5-5 Primary 0.6 0.56 0.82 0.055 69 8-62 8-62(9-1)_10117 10/11/2017 5-5 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.11 0.1 0.02 69 8-63 8-63(1-3)_10117 10/11/2017 5-5 Primary 0.09 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.012 69 8-101 8-10(10-1)_12141812/14/2018 12/14/2018 1-1										
68 8-83 8-83(0.3-1.3)_121118 12/11/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 1.4 1.1 1.2 0.28 68 8-83 8-83(1.3-3.3)_121118 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Primary 0.71 1.1 1.1 1.3 J 0.28 68 8-83 0.0P-1_121118 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Duplicate 8-83(1.3-3.3)_12111 1.3 1.1 1.3 1.7 0.28 69 8-61 8-61(0-1)_10117 10/11/2017 5-6 Primary 0.6 0.56 0.82 0.065 69 8-62 8-62(5-5.5)_101117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 Primary 0.58 0.57 0.8 0.065 69 8-63 8-63(3-1)_10117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.01 69 8-63 8-63(3-1)_10117 10/11/2017 1-1 Primary 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.02 69 8-101 8-10(0-1)_121418 12/14/2018 <td></td> <td>B-82(1-2)_121118</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Primary</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		B-82(1-2)_121118			Primary					
68 8-83 B-83(1.3-3.3)_121118 12/11/2018 13.3 3.3 Primary 0.71 J 1.1 J 1.3 J 0.28 68 B-83 DUP-1_121118 12/11/2018 13.3 -3.3 Duplicate B-83(1.3-3.3)_12111 1.3 J 1.4 J 1.7 J 0.4 69 B-61 B-61(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 5-6 Primary 0.6 0.56 0.82 0.065 69 B-62 B-62(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 5-5 Primary 0.58 0.57 0.8 0.065 69 B-62 B-62(5-5.5)_101117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.21 0.019 69 B-63 B-63(1-3)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.1 1.6 0.2 69 B-63 B-63(1-3)_101117 10/11/2017 1-3 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.012 69 B-101 B-101(0-1)_121418 12/14/2018 11-13 Primary <td></td> <td>B-82(5-7)_121118</td> <td>12/11/2018</td> <td>5 - 7</td> <td>Primary</td> <td></td> <td>0.063</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		B-82(5-7)_121118	12/11/2018	5 - 7	Primary		0.063			
68 8-83 DUP-1_121118 12/11/2018 13-3.3 Duplicate Deptication 8-8(1.3-3.3)_12111 1.3 J 1.4 J 1.7 J 0.4 69 8-61 8-61(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.15 0.12 0.2 0.02 69 8-62 8-61(5-6)_101117 10/11/2017 5-6 Primary 0.58 0.57 0.8 0.065 69 8-62 8-62(1-1)_101117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.21 0.019 69 8-63 8-63(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.11 0.01 69 8-63 8-63(1-3)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.02 69 8-101 8-101(16-1)_121418 12/14/2018 0-1 Primary 0.001 0.007 0.087 0.062 0.01 69 8-102 8-101(1-13)_121418 12/14/2018 0-1 Primary <td>68 B-83</td> <td>B-83(0.3-1.3)_121118</td> <td>12/11/2018</td> <td>0.3 - 1.3</td> <td>Primary</td> <td></td> <td>1.4</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>0.28</td>	68 B-83	B-83(0.3-1.3)_121118	12/11/2018	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		1.4	1.1	1.2	0.28
69 8-61 B-61(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.15 0.12 0.2 0.02 69 B-61 B-61(5-6)_101117 10/11/2017 5-6 Primary 0.6 0.56 0.82 0.065 69 B-62 B-62(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.21 0.01 69 B-63 B-63(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.21 0.01 69 B-63 B-63(1-3)_101117 10/11/2017 5-1 Primary 0.97 0.087 0.062 0.012 69 B-101 B-101(1-1)_121418 12/14/2018 0-1 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.012 69 B-101 B-101(1-13)_121418 12/14/2018 0-1 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.013 69 B-102 B-101(1-13)_121418 12/14/2018 1-1 Primary 0.027 0.036 0.027<	68 B-83	B-83(1.3-3.3)_121118	12/11/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Primary		0.71 J	1.1 J	1.3 J	0.28 J
69 8-61 8-61(5-6) 101117 10/11/2017 5-6 Primary 0.6 0.56 0.82 0.065 69 8-62 8-62(0-1) 101117 10/11/2017 5-5 Primary 0.58 0.57 0.8 0.065 69 8-63 8-62(5-5.5) 101117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.21 0.019 69 8-63 8-63(0-1) 101117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 Primary 1 1.1 1.6 0.2 69 8-63 8-63(1-3) 101117 10/11/2017 1-3 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.012 69 8-101 8-101(0-1) 121418 12/14/2018 0-1 Primary 0.0012 0.0037 0.062 0.012 69 8-101 8-101(1-13) 121418 12/14/2018 1-3 Primary 0.027 0.036 0.027 0.0036 69 8-101 8-101(1-13) 121418 12/14/2018 1-13 Primary 0.027 0.036 0.0	68 B-83	DUP-1_121118	12/11/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Duplicate	B-83(1.3-3.3)_12111	1.3 J	1.4 J	1.7 J	0.4 J
69 8-62 8-62(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.58 0.57 0.8 0.065 69 8-62 8-62(5-5.5)_101117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.21 0.019 69 8-63 8-63(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 1 1.1 1.6 0.2 69 8-63 8-63(1-3)_10117 10/11/2017 1-3 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.012 69 8-101 8-101(0-1)_121418 12/14/2018 1-1 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.012 69 8-101 8-101(1-13)_121418 12/14/2018 1-1 Primary 0.027 0.036 J 0.027 0.006 69 8-101 8-102(1-1)_121418 12/14/2018 5-6 Primary 0.026 0.23 0.31 0.061 69 8-102 8-102(1-2-9)_121418 12/14/2018 1-2-9 Primary 0.26 0.23 0.3	69 B-61	B-61(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.15	0.12	0.2	0.02
69 B-62 B-62(5-5.5)_101117 10/11/2017 5-5.5 Primary 0.14 0.11 0.21 0.019 69 B-63 B-63(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 1 1.1 1.6 0.2 69 B-63 B-63(1-3)_101117 10/11/2017 1-3 Primary 8.9 7.3 9.7 1.2 69 B-101 B-101(0-1)_121418 12/14/2018 0-1 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.012 69 B-101 B-101(1-13)_121418 12/14/2018 1-13 Primary 0.0012 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0038 69 B-101 B-101(15-6)_121418 12/14/2018 5-6 Primary 0.027 0.036 0.027 0.0076 69 B-102 B-102(1-29)_121418 12/14/2018 1-2.9 Primary 0.02 0.23 0.31 0.061 70 B-19 B-19(2-1)_10117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.03 0.	69 B-61	B-61(5-6)_101117	10/11/2017	5 - 6	Primary		0.6	0.56	0.82	0.065
69 8-63 8-63(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0 - 1 Primary 1 1.1 1.6 0.2 69 8-63 8-63(1-3)_101117 10/11/2017 1 - 3 Primary 8.9 7.3 9.7 1.2 69 8-101 8-101(0-1)_121418 12/14/2018 0 - 1 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.012 69 8-101 8-101(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 1 - 13 Primary 0.0012 0.0013 0.001 J 0.0038 69 8-101 8-101(5-6)_121418 12/14/2018 5 - 6 Primary 0.027 0.036 J 0.027 0.0076 69 8-102 8-102(1-1)_121418 12/14/2018 1 - 1 Primary 0.26 0.23 0.31 0.061 69 8-102 8-102(1-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.33 0.29 0.4 0.065 70 8-19 8-19(2-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.094	69 B-62	B-62(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.58	0.57	0.8	0.065
69 B-63 B-63(1-3)_101117 10/11/2017 1 - 3 Primary 8.9 7.3 9.7 1.2 69 B-101 B-101(0-1)_121418 12/14/2018 0 - 1 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.012 69 B-101 B-101(1:1-3)_121418 12/14/2018 1 - 13 Primary 0.0012 0.0013 0.0013 0.0027 0.036 69 B-101 B-102(5-6)_121418 12/14/2018 5 - 6 Primary 0.027 0.036 J 0.027 0.0066 69 B-102 B-102(1-2.9)_121418 12/14/2018 5 - 6 Primary 0.26 0.23 0.31 0.061 69 B-102 B-102(1-2.9)_121418 12/14/2018 1 - 2.9 Primary 0.26 0.23 0.31 0.061 69 B-102 B-109(-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.33 0.29 0.4 0.065 70 B-19 B-19(2-4)_10117 10/11/2017 1 - 5 - 2.5 Primary	69 B-62	B-62(5-5.5)_101117	10/11/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		0.14	0.11	0.21	0.019
69 B-101 B-101(0-1)_121418 12/14/2018 0 - 1 Primary 0.097 0.087 0.062 0.012 69 B-101 B-101(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 11-13 Primary 0.0012 J 0.0013 J 0.001 J 0.0038 69 B-101 B-101(5-6)_121418 12/14/2018 5 - 6 Primary 0.027 0.036 J 0.027 0.006 69 B-102 B-102(0-1)_121418 12/14/2018 5 - 6 Primary 0.26 0.23 0.31 0.061 69 B-102 B-19(2-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.33 0.29 0.4 0.065 70 B-19 B-19(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.37 0.41 0.61 0.057 70 B-69 B-69(2-4)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.094 0.084 0.13 0.017 70 B-64 D-64(1.5 - 2.5)_101117 10/11/2017	69 B-63	B-63(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		1	1.1	1.6	0.2
69 8-101 B-101(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 11-13 Primary 0.0012 J 0.0013 J 0.0013 J 0.0013 0.0038 69 B-101 B-101(5-6)_121418 12/14/2018 5 - 6 Primary 0.027 0.036 J 0.027 0.0076 69 B-102 B-102(1-2.9)_121418 12/14/2018 0 - 1 Primary 0.26 0.23 0.31 0.061 0.065 70 B-19 B-19(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.37 0.41 0.61 0.057 70 B-19 B-19(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 2 - 4 Primary 0.094 0.084 0.13 0.017 70 B-64 B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.05 0.56 J 0.54 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 B-64 DUP-5_101117 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.56 J 0.91 J 1.3 J	69 B-63	B-63(1-3)_101117	10/11/2017	1 - 3	Primary		8.9	7.3	9.7	1.2
69 B-101 B-101(5-6)_121418 12/14/2018 5 - 6 Primary 0.027 0.036 J 0.027 0.0076 69 B-102 B-102(0-1)_121418 12/14/2018 0 - 1 Primary 0.26 0.23 0.31 0.061 70 B-19 B-102(1-2)_121418 12/14/2018 1 - 29 Primary 0.33 0.29 0.4 0.065 70 B-19 B-19(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.37 0.41 0.61 0.057 70 B-64 B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.094 0.084 0.13 0.017 70 B-64 B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.56 J 0.54 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 B-64 B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.13 0.2 0.31 0.03 70 B-65 B-65(0.5-1.5)_101317 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.0	69 B-101	B-101(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.097	0.087	0.062	0.012 J
69 B-101 B-101(5-6)_121418 12/14/2018 5 - 6 Primary 0.027 0.036 J 0.027 0.0076 69 B-102 B-102(0-1)_121418 12/14/2018 0 - 1 Primary 0.26 0.23 0.31 0.061 70 B-19 B-102(1-2)_121418 12/14/2018 1 - 2.9 Primary 0.33 0.29 0.4 0.065 70 B-19 B-19(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.094 0.084 0.13 0.017 70 B-64 B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.094 0.084 0.13 0.017 70 B-64 B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.094 0.084 0.13 0.017 70 B-64 DUP-5_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.09 0.56 J 0.54 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 B-64 D-64(2.5-4.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary	69 B-101	B-101(11-13)_121418	12/14/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.0012 J	0.0013 J	0.001 J	0.0038 U
69 B-102 B-102(1-2.9)_121418 12/14/2018 1 - 2.9 Primary 0.33 0.29 0.4 0.065 70 B-19 B-19(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.37 0.41 0.61 0.057 70 B-19 B-19(2-4)_101117 10/11/2017 2-4 Primary 0.094 0.084 0.13 0.017 70 B-64 B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.56 J 0.54 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 B-64 DUP-5_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.13 0.2 0.31 0.09 70 B-64 B-64(2.5-4.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.01 0.91 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 B-64 B-64(2.5-4.5)_101117 10/11/2017 2.5-4.5 Primary 0.071 0.077 0.14 0.017 70 B-65 B-65(0.5-2.5)_101317 10/13/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.12	69 B-101	B-101(5-6)_121418		5 - 6	Primary		0.027	0.036 J	0.027	0.0076 J
69 B-102 B-102(1-2.9)_121418 12/14/2018 1-2.9 Primary 0.33 0.29 0.4 0.065 70 B-19 B-19(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.37 0.41 0.61 0.057 70 B-19 B-19(2-4)_101117 10/11/2017 2-4 Primary 0.094 0.084 0.13 0.017 70 B-64 B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.56 J 0.54 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 B-64 B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.56 J 0.54 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 B-64 B-64(2.5-4.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.13 0.2 0.31 0.03 70 B-65 B-65(0.5-1.5)_101317 10/13/2017 0.5-1.5 Primary 0.071 0.077 0.14 0.017 70 B-65 B-65(1.5-2.5)_101037 10/13/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.44	69 B-102	B-102(0-1) 121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.26	0.23	0.31	0.061
70 8-19 8-19(0-1)_101117 10/11/2017 0-1 Primary 0.37 0.41 0.61 0.057 70 8-19 8-19(2-4)_101117 10/11/2017 2-4 Primary 0.094 0.084 0.03 0.017 70 8-64 8-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.05 J 0.54 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 8-64 DUP-5_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Duplicate 8-64(1.5-2.5)_10111 0.97 J 0.91 J 0.13 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.01	69 B-102			1 - 2.9			0.33		0.4	0.065
70 8-19 8-19(2-4)_101117 10/11/2017 2 - 4 Primary 0.094 0.084 0.13 0.017 70 8-64 8-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.56 J 0.54 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 8-64 0.045_25_101117 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Duplical 8-64(1.5-2.5)_10111 0.97 J 0.91 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 8-64 8-64(2.5-4.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Duplical 8-64(1.5-2.5)_10111 0.97 J 0.13 0.2 0.31 0.036 70 8-65 8-65(0.5-1.5)_101317 10/13/2017 1.5 - 2.7 Primary 0.071 0.077 0.14 0.017 70 8-66 8-66(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/13/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.12 0.15 0.22 0.026 70 8-66 8-66(1.5-4.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.5 0.56 0.76 0.1 70 8-67 8-67(1.5		· ·								
70 B-64 B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.56 J 0.54 J 0.74 J 0.08 70 B-64 DUP-5_101117 10/11/2017 1.5-2.5 Duplicate B-64(1.5-2.5)_10111 0.97 J 0.91 J 1.3 J 0.19 70 B-64 B-64(2.5-4.5)_101117 10/11/2017 2.5-4.5 Primary 0.13 0.2 0.31 0.036 70 B-65 B-65(0.5-1.5)_101317 10/13/2017 0.5-1.5 Primary 0.071 0.077 0.14 0.017 70 B-65 B-66(1.5-2.7)_101317 10/13/2017 1.5-2.7 Primary 0.12 0.15 0.22 0.026 70 B-66 B-66(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.12 0.15 0.22 0.026 70 B-66 B-66(2.5-4.5)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5-4.5 Primary 0.5 0.56 0.76 0.1 70 B-67 B-67(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5-4.5										0.017 J
70 B-64 DUP-5_101117 10/11/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Duplicate B-64(1.5-2.5)_10111 0.97 J 0.91 J 1.3 J 0.19 70 B-64 B-64(2.5-4.5)_101117 10/11/2017 2.5 - 4.5 Primary 0.13 0.2 0.31 0.036 70 B-65 B-65(0.5-1.5)_101317 10/13/2017 0.5 - 1.5 Primary 0.071 0.077 0.14 0.017 70 B-65 B-65(1.5-2.7)_101317 10/13/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.12 0.15 0.22 0.026 70 B-66 B-66(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.44 0.6 0.8 0.099 70 B-66 B-66(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5 - 4.5 Primary 0.5 0.56 0.76 0.1 70 B-67 B-67(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.25 0.23 0.32 0.32 0.55 0.048 70 B-67 B-67(2.5-3.8)_101017 10/10/201										
70 B-64 B-64(2.5-4.5)_10117 10/11/2017 2.5 - 4.5 Primary 0.13 0.2 0.31 0.036 70 B-65 B-65(0.5-1.5)_101317 10/13/2017 0.5 - 1.5 Primary 0.071 0.077 0.14 0.017 70 B-65 B-65(1.5-2.7)_101317 10/13/2017 1.5 - 2.7 Primary 0.12 0.15 0.22 0.026 70 B-66 B-66(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.44 0.6 0.8 0.099 70 B-66 B-66(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5 - 4.5 Primary 0.5 0.56 0.76 0.1 70 B-67 B-67(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.25 0.23 0.32 0.32 0.044 70 B-67 B-67(2.5-3.8)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5 - 3.8 Primary 0.25 0.23 0.32 0.55 0.048 70 B-100 B-100(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018						B-64(1.5-2.5) 10111				
70 8-65 8-65(0.5-1.5)_101317 10/13/2017 0.5 - 1.5 Primary 0.071 0.077 0.14 0.017 70 8-65 8-65(1.5-2.7)_101317 10/13/2017 1.5 - 2.7 Primary 0.12 0.15 0.22 0.026 70 8-66 8-66(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.44 0.6 0.8 0.099 70 8-66 8-66(2.5-4.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.5 0.56 0.76 0.1 70 8-67 8-67(2.5-3.8)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.25 0.23 0.32 J 0.044 70 8-100 8-100(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 11-3 Primary 0.46 0.32 0.55 0.048 70 8-100 8-100(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 11-3 Primary 6.8 3.5 6.2 1.3 70 8-100 8-100(12-3.2)_121418 12/14/2018 12-3 Primary 0.093 </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>,,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		-			•	,,				
70 8-65 8-65(1.5-2.7)_101317 10/13/2017 1.5 - 2.7 Primary 0.12 0.15 0.22 0.026 70 8-66 8-66(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.44 0.6 0.8 0.099 70 8-66 8-66(2.5-4.5)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5 - 4.5 Primary 0.5 0.56 0.76 0.76 0.1 70 8-67 8-67(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.25 0.23 0.32 J 0.044 70 8-67 8-67(2.5-3.8)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5 - 3.8 Primary 0.46 0.32 0.55 0.048 70 8-100 8-100(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 11 - 13 Primary 6.8 3.5 6.2 1.3 70 8-100 8-100(2.2-3.2)_121418 12/14/2018 2.2 - 3.2 Primary 0.093 0.059 0.13 0.032										
70 B-66 B-66(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.44 0.6 0.8 0.099 70 B-66 B-66(2.5-4.5)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5-4.5 Primary 0.5 0.56 0.76 0.1 70 B-67 B-67(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.25 0.23 0.32 J 0.044 70 B-67 B-67(2.5-3.8)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5-3.8 Primary 0.46 0.32 0.55 0.048 70 B-100 B-100(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 11-13 Primary 6.8 3.5 6.2 1.3 70 B-100 B-100(2.2-3.2)_121418 12/14/2018 2.2-3.2 Primary 0.093 0.059 0.13 0.032										
70 B-66 B-66(2.5-4.5)_10107 10/10/2017 2.5 - 4.5 Primary 0.5 0.56 0.76 0.1 70 B-67 B-67(1.5-2.5)_101017 10/10/2017 1.5 - 2.5 Primary 0.25 0.23 0.32 J 0.044 70 B-67 B-67(2.5-3.8)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5 - 3.8 Primary 0.46 0.32 0.55 0.048 70 B-100 B-100(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 11.13 Primary 6.8 3.5 6.2 1.3 70 B-100 B-100(2.2-3.2)_121418 12/14/2018 2.2 - 3.2 Primary 0.093 0.059 0.13 0.032										
70 B-67 B-67(1.5-2.5)_10107 10/10/2017 1.5-2.5 Primary 0.25 0.23 0.32 J 0.044 70 B-67 B-67(2.5-3.8)_10107 10/10/2017 2.5-3.8 Primary 0.46 0.32 0.55 0.048 70 B-100 B-100(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 11-13 Primary 6.8 3.5 6.2 1.3 70 B-100 B-100(2.2-3.2)_121418 12/14/2018 2.2-3.2 Primary 0.093 0.059 0.13 0.032										
70 B-67 B-67(2.5-3.8)_101017 10/10/2017 2.5-3.8 Primary 0.46 0.32 0.55 0.048 70 B-100 B-100(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 11-13 Primary 6.8 3.5 6.2 1.3 70 B-100 B-100(2.2-3.2)_121418 12/14/2018 2.2-3.2 Primary 0.093 0.059 0.13 0.032										
70 B-100 B-100(11-13)_121418 12/14/2018 11-13 Primary 6.8 3.5 6.2 1.3 70 B-100 B-100(2.2-3.2)_121418 12/14/2018 2.2-3.2 Primary 0.093 0.059 0.13 0.032										
70 B-100 B-100(2.2-3.2)_121418 12/14/2018 2.2 - 3.2 Primary 0.093 0.059 0.13 0.032										
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,										
70 D=100 D=10005.7=4.71 [7]4(8 17]4(7018 5.7=4.7 PHINARY 0.19 0.19 0.16 0.21 0.047										
7.5 2.50 2.500(c)	\0 B-100	D-100(3.2-4.2)_121418	12/14/2018	3.2 - 4.2	rimary		0.19	0.10	0.21	0.047

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.

PRG = Preliminary Remediation Goal

Detected result exceeds ARAR or PRG
Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR or PRG
NR = Not reportable

J - Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL

J+ - Estimated High

J- - Estimated Low

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

Total PCBs are calculated as the sum of detected Aroclor concentration. If all the Aroclors are reported as nondetected, then the highest reporting limit of the Aroclors is reported.

Only parameters that have a result greater than the selected ARAR/PRG and used in the soil and soil gas alternatives are listed

> Page 6 of 9 Prepared by USEPA

							Total PCBs		VOCs	
							Total PCBs	Benzene	Naphthalene	Vinyl Chloride
Lot ID	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth Interval	Sample Type	Parent sample ID	ARAR = 1 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	ARAR = 5 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	ARAR = 17 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	ARAR = 2 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)
1	B-3	B-3(1-2)_102517	10/25/2017	(ft bgs) 1 - 2	Primary		0.039 U	2	5.3	0.36 U
1	B-3	B-3(2-3)_102517	10/25/2017	2 - 3	Primary		0.036 U	0.005 U	0.58	0.005 U
1	B-4	B-4(0-1)_100617	10/6/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.036 U	0.29 U	0.93 U	0.0055 U
1	B-4 B-5	B-4(1-3)_100617 B-5(0.5-1.5)_101317	10/6/2017 10/13/2017	1 - 3 0.5 - 1.5	Primary Primary		0.051 J 0.037 U	0.0048 J 0.0047 U	0.24 J 0.19 U	0.0055 U 0.0047 U
1	B-5	B-5(5-6.5)_101317	10/13/2017	5 - 6.5	Primary		0.037 U	0.0047 U	0.18 U	0.0047 U
1	B-12	B-12(0-1)_101317	10/13/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.029 J	0.42 U	0.15 J	0.0071 U
1	B-12	B-12(1-3)_101317	10/13/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.026 J	0.52 U	0.097 J	0.0093 U
1	B-79	B-79(1-2)_102617	10/26/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.038 U	0.0061 U	0.27	0.0061 U
1	B-79 B-96	B-79(5-6)_102617 B-96(1-2)_121518	10/26/2017 12/15/2018	5 - 6 1 - 2	Primary Primary		0.029 J 0.0033 J	0.23 U 0.0044 U	0.19 U 0.2 U	0.23 U 0.0044 U
1	B-96	B-96(2-3.5)_121518	12/15/2018	2 - 3.5	Primary		0.036 U	0.0044 U	0.0036 U	0.0044 U
1	B-97	B-97(11-13)_121518	12/15/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.037 U	0.004 U	0.0039 U	0.004 U
1	B-97	B-97(1-2)_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 2	Primary		0.014 J	0.0048 U	0.0093	0.0048 U
1	B-97 B-97	DUP-4_121518 B-97(2-3.8)_121518	12/15/2018 12/15/2018	1 - 2 2 - 3.8	Duplicate Primary	B-97(1-2)_121518	0.22 J 0.039 U	0.0013 J 0.0038 U	0.2 U 0.2 U	0.0042 U 0.0038 U
1	B-98	B-98(0-1)_121418	12/13/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.049	0.0036 J	0.14 J	0.0038 UJ
1	B-98	B-98(1-2.9)_121418	12/14/2018	1 - 2.9	Primary		0.024 J	0.00067 J	0.17 J	0.0038 U
57	B-6	B-6(3.5-4.5)_101717	10/17/2017	3.5 - 4.5	Primary		0.037 U	0.0059 U	0.19 U	0.0059 U
57 57	B-6 B-7	B-6(5-5.5)_101717 B-7(0.5-1.5)_101017	10/17/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		0.036 U	0.0052 U	7.4 0.19 U	0.0052 U 0.005 U
57	B-7 B-7	B-7(0.5-1.5)_101017 B-7(5-6)_101017	10/10/2017 10/10/2017	0.5 - 1.5 5 - 6	Primary Primary		0.088 J 0.036 U	0.36 0.01	0.19 U	0.005 U 0.0042 U
57	B-8	B-8(1.5-2.5)_101017	10/10/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		0.184 J	0.0044 U	0.19 U	0.0042 U
57	B-8	B-8(5-6.25)_101017	10/10/2017	5 - 6.25	Primary		0.041 J	0.25 U	0.19 U	0.25 U
57	B-9	B-9(0-1)_101617	10/16/2017	0-1	Primary		3.5 /	0.0056 UJ	0.49 J	0.0056 U
57 57	B-9 B-10	B-9(5-6)_101617 B 10(3 5) 101617	10/16/2017 10/16/2017	5 - 6 3 - 5	Primary Primary		0.27 J 0.042 U	0.0059 U 0.0054 U	0.22 U 0.22 U	0.0059 U 0.0054 U
57	B-71	B-71(3-5)_101617	10/16/2017	3 - 5	Primary		0.039 U	0.0043 U	0.2 U	0.0043 U
58	B-13	B-13(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.038 U	0.0055 U	0.2 U	0.0055 U
58 58	B-13	B-13(1-3)_101117	10/11/2017	1-3	Primary		0.038 U	0.28 U	0.33	0.0065 U
58	B-14 B-14	B-14(0-1)_101717 B-14(7-7.5)_101717	10/17/2017 10/17/2017	0 - 1 7 - 7.5	Primary Primary		0.035 U 0.036 U	0.0052 U 0.0048 U	0.051 J 0.18 U	0.0052 U 0.0048 U
58	B-15	B-15(0.25-1.25)_101717	10/17/2017	0.25 - 1.25	Primary		0.21 J	0.0058 U	0.19 U	0.0058 U
58	B-15	B-15(5-6)_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 6	Primary		0.037 UJ	0.0047 U	0.19 U	0.0047 U
58 58	B-16 B-16	B-16(0-1)_101217	10/12/2017	0-1	Primary		0.037 U 0.036 U	0.34 U 0.25 U	0.29 2.1	0.34 U 0.0049 U
58	B-10 B-17	B-16(7-7.75)_101217 B-17(0.25-1.25)_101717	10/12/2017 10/17/2017	7 - 7.75 0.25 - 1.25	Primary Primary		0.036 U	0.0051 U	1.8 U	0.0049 U
58	B-17	B-17(5-6.5)_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 6.5	Primary		0.039 U	0.0046 U	0.2 U	0.0046 U
58	B-17	DUP-6_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 6.5	Duplicate	B-17(5-6.5)_101717		0.0054 U	0.2 U	0.0054 U
59 59	B-18 B-18	B-18(0-1)_101217 B-18(5-6)_101217	10/12/2017 10/12/2017	0 - 1 5 - 6	Primary Primary		0.036 U 0.038 U	0.0053 U 0.0046 U	0.18 U 0.2 U	0.0053 U 0.0046 U
59	B-99	B-99(0-1)_121518	12/15/2018	0-1	Primary		0.21	0.029 J+	0.031 J	NR
59	B-99	B-99(11-13)_121518	12/15/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.041 U	0.0046 U	0.0041 U	0.0046 U
59	B-99	B-99(1-2.9)_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 2.9	Primary		0.0089 J	0.0068 U	0.22 U	0.0068 U
59 59	B-103 B-103	B-103(0-1)_121418 B-103(5-7)_121418	12/14/2018 12/14/2018	0 - 1 5 - 7	Primary Primary		0.43 0.04 U	0.0045 U 0.0052 U	0.071 J 0.0039 U	0.0045 U 0.0052 U
59	B-103	B-104(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0-1	Primary		0.46	0.0032 U	0.014 J	0.0032 U
59	B-104	B-104(7-8.7)_121418	12/14/2018	7 - 8.7	Primary		0.037 U	0.0046 U	0.0028 J	0.0046 U
59	B-104	DUP-3_121418	12/14/2018	7 - 8.7	Duplicate	B-104(7-8.7)_12141		0.0052 U	0.0021 J	0.0052 U
60 60	B-20 B-20	B-20(3.5-4)_101817 B-20(5-6)_101817	10/18/2017 10/18/2017	3.5 - 4 5 - 6	Primary Primary		0.04 U 0.039 U	0.0051 U 0.0055 UJ	0.21 U 0.2 U	0.0051 U 0.0055 U
60	B-22	B-22(0-1)_100617	10/6/2017	0-1	Primary		0.12 J	0.0033 U	0.088 J	0.0048 U
60	B-22	B-22(1-3)_100617	10/6/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.036 U	0.0044 U	0.18 U	0.0044 U
60	B-23	B-23(0-1)_100517	10/5/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.075 J	0.0045 U	0.18 U	0.0045 U
60 60	B-23 B-95	B-23(1-3)_100517 B-95(0-1)_121318	10/5/2017 12/13/2018	1 - 3 0 - 1	Primary Primary		0.037 U 0.018 J	0.33 U 0.004 J	0.19 U 0.048	0.33 U 0.0053 U
60	B-95	B-95(11-13)_121318		11 - 13	Primary		0.055 U	0.0072 U	0.0022 J	0.0033 U
60	B-95	B-95(1-2.8)_121318	12/13/2018	1 - 2.8	Primary		0.014 J	0.0036 J	0.011 J	0.0047 U
60	B-105	B-105(0.7-1.7)_121518	12/15/2018	0.7 - 1.7	Primary		0.038 U	0.0068 UJ	0.021 J	0.0068 U
60 61	B-105 B-24	B-105(1.7-3.2)_121518 B-24(0.5-1.5)_100517	12/15/2018 10/5/2017	1.7 - 3.2 0.5 - 1.5	Primary Primary		0.0037 J 0.1 J	0.0044 U N8	0.077 U 0.044 J	0.0044 U 0.0049 UJ
61	B-24	B-24(1.5-3.5)_100517	10/5/2017	1.5 - 3.5	Primary		0.039 U	0.0055 U	0.79 J	0.0055 U
61	B-24	DUP-3_100517	10/5/2017	1.5 - 3.5	Duplicate	B-24(1.5-3.5)_1005	1 0.039 U	0.0058 U	0.85 J	0.0058 U
61	B-25	B-25(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.1 J	0.0052 U	0.36 U	0.0052 U
61 61	B-25 B-26	B-25(5-5.5)_100517 B-26(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017 10/5/2017	5 - 5.5 0.5 - 1.5	Primary Primary		0.099 J 0.02 J	0.31 U 0.0093 UJ	0.37 U 0.2 U	0.0054 U 0.0093 U
61	B-26	B-26(5-5.8)_100517	10/5/2017	5 - 5.8	Primary		0.015 J	0.016 J+	0.12 J	0.0093 U
61	B-27	B-27(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.021 J	0.0081	0.049 J	0.0054 U
61	B-27	B-27(5-5.5)_100517	10/5/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		0.015 J	0.0055 U	0.091 J	0.0055 U
61 62	B-68 B-28	B-68(1-2)_102417 B-28(0.5-1.5)_100917	10/24/2017 10/9/2017	1 - 2 0.5 - 1.5	Primary Primary		0.042 U 0.059	0.0077 U 0.006 U	0.22 U 0.95 U	0.0077 U 0.006 U
62	B-28	B-28(1.5-2.75)_100917	10/9/2017	1.5 - 2.75	Primary		0.164 J	0.007 U	0.11 J	0.007 U
62	B-93	B-93(1-2)_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 2	Primary		0.074	NR	68	NR
62	B-93	B-93(2-3)_121518	12/15/2018	2 - 3	Primary		0.089	0.55 U	53	0.55 U
63 63	B-29 B-29	B-29(0-1)_092917 B-29(1-3)_092917	9/29/2017 9/29/2017	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		0.189 J 0.157 J	0.0054 U 0.005 J	0.058 J 0.059 J	0.0054 U 0.0056 U
63	B-30	B-30(0-1)_100417	10/4/2017	0-1	Primary		0.19 J	0.003 J	0.3 J	0.0038 U
63	B-30	B-30(3-3.8)_100417	10/4/2017	3 - 3.8	Primary		0.029 J	0.0072 U	0.4	0.0072 U
63 63	B-31 B-31	B-31(1-2)_101817 B-31(5-5 5)_101817		1-2	Primary		0.04 U 0.042 U	0.024 0.0066 J	0.28 0.33	0.0065 U 0.0071 U
03	D-2T	B-31(5-5.5)_101817	10/18/2017	J - J.J	Primary		U.U42 U	0.0000 J	0.55	0.00/1 0

Page 7 of 9 Prepared by USEPA

Sample December Sample Dec		***************************************					Total PCBs		VOCs	
							Total PCBs	Benzene	Naphthalene	Vinyl Chloride
68 93.0 93.02.1 10.04.2 10.04.2 10.05.2 10		n Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval	•	Parent sample ID	Result Qual	Result Qual	Result Qual	ARAR = 2 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)
See Ba3 Ba38(Sa.19_100417 My/2007 Da-15. Primary				1 - 2		000000000000000000000000000000000000000				0.0072 U
8.8 8.8 9.815.9.5.9.1.1.1.1.0.0.1.1.1 0.0.0.1.1 0.0.0.1.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.0.8.1 0.0.0.1.1 0.0.0.8 0.0.0.0.1 0.0.0.1 0.0.0.1 0.0.0.1 0.0.0.0.										0.0066 U
10										0.0046 U
8										0.0058 U
53 8-7										0.42 U
83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	63 B-87									0.0077 UJ
64 8-34	63 B-87	B-87(1-3)_121318	12/13/2018	1 - 3	Primary		0.082	0.0036 J	0.14	0.0082 UJ
64 8-84 8-94(5-5.5.1.00617 00/7207 5-5.5 Primary						B-87(1-3)_121318				0.48 UJ
64 B-35 B-351-2], 100171 10/4/2017 1-2 Pinnary 0.16 0.005 U 0.2 0.006 Pinnary 0.16 0.005 U 0.2 0.005 U 0.										0.0054 U
64 8-36 9-36(0-3)_00017										2.6 U 0.0086 U
64 8-36 8-36(0-3)[00147] 101/4207 0-1. Primary 0.16 0.0048 U 0.5 U 0.5 0 0.00 65 8-37 8-37(0-3)[10147] 101/4207 0-1 2 Primary 0.018 J 0.05 U 0.5 U 0.5 0 0.00 66 8-37 8-37(0-3)[10147] 101/4207 0-1 2 Primary 0.018 J 0.036 J										0.44 U
64 B-8 B-8 B-95(3-3-1)_100117										0.0043 U
64 B-38 B-38(0-1),10917	64 B-36	B-36(3-3.7)_100417		3 - 3.7	Primary		0.018 J	0.5 U	0.65	0.5 U
64 8-88 0P-41_001077										0.005 U
64 B.38 DUP-4_101017										0.0049 U
64 B-83 B-88[H-3], 109917 109/2017 3-0 Primary						D 20/0 1) 100017				0.28 U 0.26 U
64 B-84 B-14 B-14 D-14 D-15 D-15 D-15 Primary					•	8-38(0-1)_100917				0.26 U
64 B-41 B-41(0-1)_092817 9/26/2017 0-1 Primary 0.036 0 0.0002 U 0.93 U 0.006 64 B-42 B-42(0-3-13)_100217 10/20207 0-7-0 Primary 0.049 U 0.0075 U 0.064 U 0.007 64 B-69 B-69(0-1)_092717 9/27/2017 0-1 Primary 0.049 U 0.0075 U 0.064 U 0.007 65 B-69 B-69(0-1)_092717 9/27/2017 0-1 Primary 0.04 U 0.007 66 B-69 B-69(0-1)_092717 9/27/2017 0-1 Primary 0.04 U 0.007 67 B-70 B-70(0-1)_092717 9/27/2017 0-1 Primary 0.05 U 0.04 U 0.007 68 B-70 B-70(0-1)_092717 9/27/2017 0-2 Primary 0.05 U 0.05 U 0.05 U 0.00 68 B-70 B-70(0-1)_092717 9/27/2017 0-1 Primary 0.05 U 0.00										0.0054 U
64 B-41 B-44(57-1)02717 9/77/2017 5-77 Primary										0.0052 U
64 8-42 8-42 8-42 8-42 9-42 100217 10/2/017 0-1 Primary 0.04 0.0038 0.005 0.0069 0.0064 0.0048 0.004 0.0038 0.0064 0									0.19 U	0.0042 U
64 Be90 Be90[3].992717 9/27/2017 0-1 Primary 0.56 J 0.0044 UJ 0.053 J 0.004 64 Be70 Be70[6].992717 9/27/2017 0-1 Primary 0.56 J 0.0044 UJ 0.058 J 0.004 64 Be70 Be70[6].992717 9/27/2017 0-1 Primary 0.04 UJ 0.038 1 U 0.005 64 Be74 Be74[6].100417 0/47/2017 0-1 Primary 0.04 UJ 0.038 1 U 0.005 64 Be74 Be74[6].100417 10/47/2017 0-1 Primary 0.04 UJ 0.038 1 U 0.005 65 Be74 Be74[6].100417 10/47/2017 0-1 Primary 0.038 UJ 0.004 UJ 0.038 UJ 0.004 66 Be74 Be74[6].100417 9/27/2017 0-1 Primary 0.038 UJ 0.004 UJ 0.035 UJ 0.004 66 Be75 Be75[6].1002919 9/29/2017 0-1 Primary 0.038 UJ 0.0045 UJ 0.005 67 Be86 Be86[0].313].121118 17/11/2018 03-1.3 Primary 0.015 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.11 UJ 0.005 68 Be86 Be86[1].31].12118 17/11/2018 13-3.3 Primary 0.015 UJ 0.004 UJ 0.004 UJ 0.005 68 Be88 Be86[1].31].12118 17/11/2018 13-3.3 Primary 0.015 UJ 0.006 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.11 UJ 0.005 68 Be88 Be86[1].31].12118 17/11/2018 13-3.3 Primary 0.015 UJ 0.006 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.006 UJ 0.005 69 Be88 Be86[1].31].12118 17/11/2018 1-13 Primary 0.015 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.007 UJ 0.004 60 Be88 Be86[1].31].12118 17/11/2018 1-13 Primary 0.015 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.007 UJ 0.004 60 Be88 Be86[1].31].12118 17/11/2018 1-13 Primary 0.015 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.007 UJ 0.004 60 Be88 Be86[1].31].12118 17/11/2018 1-13 Primary 0.015 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.007 UJ 0.004 60 Be89 Be80[1].31].1218 17/11/2018 1-13 Primary 0.015 UJ 0.004 UJ 0.007 UJ 0.004 60 Be89 Be80[1].31].1218 17/11/2018 1-13 Primary 0.005 UJ 0.005 UJ 0.007 UJ 0.004 61 Be90 Be90[1].31].1218 17/11/2018 1-13 Primary 0.005 UJ 0.007 UJ 0.004 62 Be90 Be90[1].31].1218 17/11/2018 1-13 Primary 0.005 UJ 0.007 UJ 0.004 63 Be91 Be91[1].31].1218 17/11/2018 1-13 Primary 0.005 UJ 0.00	64 B-42	B-42(0.3-1.3)_100217	10/2/2017	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		0.194 J	0.0053 U	0.94 U	0.0053 U
64 B-89 B-89 3-3 02717 9 77/2017 0-1 Pilmary 0.55 J 0.004 U 0.055 J 0.006 64 B-70 B-70(6-1)02717 9 77/2017 0-1 Pilmary 0.57 J 0.005 U 0.18 U 0.006 64 B-74 B-74(0-1).00417 10/4/2017 0-1 Pilmary 0.26 J 0.004 U 0.86 U 0.00 65 B-74 B-74(0-1).00417 10/4/2017 3-4 Pilmary 0.26 J 0.004 U 0.86 U 0.00 66 B-75 B-75(1-3).005197 9/32/2017 0-1 Pilmary 0.038 U 0.06 U 0.00 67 B-75 B-75(1-3).005197 9/32/2017 1-3 Pilmary 0.003 U 0.005 U 0.00 68 B-75 B-75(1-3).0051917 9/32/2017 1-3 Pilmary 0.003 U 0.005 U 0.18 U 0.00 69 B-75 B-75(1-3).0051917 9/32/2017 1-3 Pilmary 0.005 J 0.005 U 0.18 U 0.00 60 B-75 B-75(1-3).0051917 9/32/2017 1-3 Pilmary 0.005 J 0.005 U 0.18 U 0.00 61 B-86 B-86(1-3-3).12118 12/11/2018 13-13 Pilmary 0.005 J 0.005 U 0.005 U 0.15 U 0.00 62 B-88 B-86(1-3-3).12118 12/11/2018 13-13 Pilmary 0.005 D 0.005 U 0.00										0.0075 U
64 8-70 8-70(5-1).92771 9/27/2017 5-7 Primary 0.04 U 0.038 1. U 0.005 0.006 0.					,					0.0043 U
64 B-74 B-770 B-7705-77, D-2717 D-27		· /—								0.0041 U
64 B-74 B-74(0-1),100417 10/4/2017 0-14 Primary 0.08 U 66 0.02 U 0.36 C 0-64 B-75 B-75(0-1),002917 9/29/2017 0-1 Primary 0.093 U 0.005 U 0.18 U 0.004 C 0.005 U 0.04 U 0.005 U 0.04 B-75 B-75(0-1),002917 9/29/2017 1-3 Primary 0.015 J 0.048 U 0.21 U 0.04 C 0-64 B-75 B-75(0-1),002917 9/29/2017 1-3 Primary 0.036 J 0.005 U 0.05 U 0.05 U 0.005 U 0		· /								0.005 U
64 B-74 B-73[3-1],00417 0/4/2017 3-1 Primary 0.938 J 0.068 0.2 U 0.3 64 B-75 B-75[3-1],092917 9/29/2017 1-3 Primary 0.931 J 0.0515 0.38 U 0.004 64 B-86 B-86[3-3-3],121118 12/11/2018 13-13 Primary 0.936 J 0.052 U 1.1 0.05 65 B-86 B-86[3-3-3],121118 12/11/2018 13-13 Primary 0.936 J 0.052 U 1.1 0.05 66 B-86 B-86[3-3-3],121138 12/13/2018 1-13 Primary 0.94 U 0.004 U 0.055 J 0.056 66 B-88 B-88[0-1],12138 12/13/2018 1-13 Primary 0.045 U 0.003 J 0.064 U 0.05 67 B-88 B-88[3-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-13 Primary 0.055 U 0.033 J 0.064 J 0.007 68 B-88 B-88[3-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.05 J 0.0016 J 0.27 J 0.11 0.00 69 B-88 B-88[3-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.05 J 0.0016 J 0.07 J 0.11 0.00 60 B-89 B-88[3-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.05 J 0.0018 J 0.011 J 0.005 61 B-89 B-88[3-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.05 J 0.0018 J 0.011 J 0.005 62 B-90 B-9010-1],121218 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 J 0.004 U 0.07 J 0.014 U 0.05 63 B-90 B-9010-1],121218 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 J 0.004 U 0.07 J 0.004 64 B-91 B-9101-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 J 0.004 U 0.033 J 0.06 65 B-91 B-911-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 J 0.004 U 0.039 J 0.006 66 B-91 B-911-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 J 0.004 U 0.039 J 0.006 67 B-91 B-911-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 J 0.000 U 0.039 J 0.006 68 B-91 B-911-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 J 0.000 U 0.039 J 0.006 69 B-91 B-911-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 J 0.006 U 0.039 J 0.006 60 B-93 B-93[0-1],121218 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 U 0.005 U 0.041 U 0.039 J 0.006 61 B-94 B-94[0-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 U 0.006 U 0.039 J 0.006 61 B-93 B-93[0-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 U 0.006 U 0.039 J 0.006 61 B-94 B-94[0-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 U 0.006 U 0.007 U 0.007 U 0.006 61 B-94 B-94[0-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.07 U 0.006 U 0.007 U 0.007 U 0.007 U 0.006 61 B-95 B-93[0-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.007 U 0.005 U 0.004 U 0.009 U 0.006 61 B-94 B-94[0-1],121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.007 U 0.005 U 0.007 U 0.00					-					0.0031 U
64 8-75 8-75(0-1) (0.92917) 9/39/2017 0-1 Primary 0.093 J 0.0045 U 0.18 U 0.004 64 8-86 8-86(0-3.3).312118 12/11/2018 3-1.3 Primary 0.036 J 0.0052 U 1.1 0.005 64 8-86 8-86(0-1.3).312118 12/11/2018 1.3-3 Primary 0.042 U 0.004 U 0.055 U 0.055 J 0.006 64 8-88 8-88(1-1.3).121318 12/13/2018 1.1-3 Primary 0.045 U 0.009 J 0.19 0.004 64 8-88 8-88(1-3).121318 12/13/2018 1.1-3 Primary 0.015 U 0.0018 J 0.011 U 0.00 64 8-89 8-89(1-3).121318 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.072 U 0.12 J 0.41 U 0.00 64 8-90 8-90(1-1.3).121318 12/13/2018 1-1-3 Primary 0.072 U 0.012 U 0.41 U 0.00 64 8-91 8-91(1-1.3).121518 12/15/2018 1-2 Primary <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.39 U</td>					-					0.39 U
64 B-86 B-86(03-33)_21118	64 B-75			0 - 1						0.0045 U
64 B-86 B-86(1-1).21318 12/11/2018 1.3-3.3 Primary	64 B-75	B-75(1-3)_092917	9/29/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.015 J	0.48 U	0.21 U	0.48 U
64 B-88 B-88[0-1]_121318 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.045 U 0.0033 J 0.064 J 0.007 64 B-88 B-88[1-3]_121318 12/13/2018 1-13 Primary 0.016 J 0.27 J 0.11 0.006 65 B-88 B-88[1-3]_121318 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.016 J 0.27 J 0.11 0.005 66 B-89 B-89[0-1]_121318 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.072 0.12 J 0.41 0.33 66 B-89 B-89[1-3]_121318 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.072 0.12 J 0.41 0.35 67 B-90 B-90[1-1]_121318 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.075 J 0.0049 U 0.017 J 0.004 68 B-90 B-90[1-1]_121218 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.055 U 0.055 U 0.039 J 0.006 69 B-90 B-90[1-1]_121218 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.051 U 0.03 U 0.009 0.039 J 0.006 60 B-91 B-91[1-1]_121518 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.051 U 0.031 U 0.039 J 0.006 60 B-91 B-91[1-1]_121518 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.047 U 0.017 J 0.019 0.005 61 B-92 B-91[1-1]_121518 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.047 U 0.017 J 0.019 0.005 62 B-93 B-91[1-1]_121218 12/13/2018 1-1 Primary 0.045 0.004 U 0.008 U 0.004 U 0.008 U 0.004 U 0.005 U 0.005 U 0.004 U 0.005 U 0.005 U 0.006 U 0.006 U 0.006 U 0.005 U 0.006 U 0.					-					0.0052 UJ
64 8-88 8-88 II-13 _212318 12/13/2018 1-13 Primary 0.045 U 0.0033 J 0.064 J 0.007 64 8-89 8-88 G-13 _212318 12/13/2018 1-2 Primary 0.015 O 0.0018 J 0.011 J 0.006 64 8-89 8-89(1-1)_121318 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.072 J 0.021 J 0.01 0.004 64 8-90 8-90(1-1)_121218 12/12/2018 1-1 Primary 0.075 J 0.0049 U 0.017 J 0.004 64 8-91 8-90(1-1)_121218 12/12/2018 1-1 Primary 0.051 U 0.053 U 0.069 U 0.058 J 0.004 64 8-91 8-91(1-1)_121218 12/15/2018 1-1 3 Primary 0.047 U 0.005 U 0.058 J 0.004 64 8-91 8-91(1-1)_121218 12/15/2018 1-3 Primary 0.047 U 0.0017 J 0.004 0.091 J 0.004 64 8-92 8-92(1-1)_121218 12/13/										0.0064 UJ
64 8-88 8-88 8-88 1-3 1,2138 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.016 0.072 0.11 0.005 0.0018 0.0011 0.005 0.011 0.005 64 8-89 8-89 1-3 1,21318 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.072 0.12 0.41 0.31 0.011 0.005 64 8-90 8-90(1-13),121218 12/12/2018 12-12 Primary 0.075 0.0049 0.0079 0.0061 0.0099 0.05 64 8-90 8-90(1-13),121218 12/12/2018 12-2 Primary 0.071 0.0061 0.0039 0.006 64 8-91 8-91(1-13),121218 12/12/2018 1-2 Primary 0.071 0.0061 0.0093 0.006 65 8-91 8-91(1-13),121518 12/15/2018 1-1 3 Primary 0.047 0.0017 0.0019 0.005 66 8-91 8-91(1-13),121518 12/15/2018 1-1 3 Primary 0.047 0.0017 0.0061 0.019 0.006 67 8-92 8-92(0-1),121218 12/15/2018 1-3 Primary 0.45 0.016 0.019 0.006 68 8-93 8-92(1-2),121218 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.45 0.016 0.019 0.004 68 8-94 8-94(1-3),121318 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.47 0.005 0.004 0.004 68 8-94 8-94(1-3),121318 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.47 0.005 0.004 0.004 68 8-94 8-94(1-3),121318 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.11 0.0045 0.006 <										0.0048 UJ
64 B-89 B-89(I-3)_121318 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.15 0.008 J 0.011 0.005 64 B-90 B-90(I-1)_121218 12/12/2018 0-1 Primary 0.075 J 0.0049 U 0.017 J 0.004 64 B-90 B-90(I-1)_121218 12/12/2018 1-1 Primary 0.051 J 0.006 U 0.039 J 0.006 64 B-91 B-91(I-1)_121518 12/15/2018 1-1 Primary 0.071 0.006 U 0.039 J 0.006 64 B-91 B-91(I-3)_121518 12/15/2018 1-1 Primary 0.071 0.006 U 0.053 J 0.006 64 B-91 B-91(I-3)_121518 12/15/2018 1-3 Primary 0.53 U 0.0064 J 0.27 J 0.004 64 B-92 B-92(I-1)_121218 12/12/2018 1-2.5 Primary 0.47 U 0.005 U 0.041 J 0.004 64 B-94 B-94(I-1)_131318 12/13/2018 1-2.5 Primary 0.47 U <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.0079 UJ</td>										0.0079 UJ
64 8-89 8-89[1-3], 121318 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.072 0.12 J 0.041 0.3 64 8-90 8-90[1-1], 121218 12/12/2018 11-13 Primary 0.051 U 0.53 U 0.069 0.5 64 8-90 8-90[1-1], 12518 12/12/2018 11-13 Primary 0.071 0.0061 U 0.038 J 0.006 64 8-91 8-91[1-1], 12518 12/15/2018 1-1 Primary 0.047 U 0.0017 J 0.019 0.005 64 8-91 8-91[1-1], 12518 12/15/2018 1-3 Primary 0.047 U 0.0017 J 0.019 0.005 64 8-92 8-92[0-1], 121218 12/12/2018 0-1 Primary 0.45 0.016 J 0.021 J 0.004 64 8-92 8-92[0-1], 12118 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.45 0.016 J 0.019 J 0.004 64 8-94 8-94[0-1], 121318 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.11					-					0.0051 U
64 8-90 8-90[1-13],121218 12/12/2018 11-13 Primary 0.051 U 0.061 U 0.039 J 0.056 64 8-91 8-91[1-1],121518 12/12/2018 12-2 Primary 0.071 0.0061 U 0.039 J 0.006 64 8-91 8-91[1-1],121518 12/15/2018 11-13 Primary 0.047 U 0.0017 J 0.019 0.005 64 8-91 8-91[1-1],121518 12/15/2018 I 13 Primary 0.53 0.00064 J 0.27 J 0.004 64 8-92 8-92[1-1],121218 12/15/2018 I 1-3 Primary 0.45 0.016 J 0.019 J 0.004 64 8-92 8-92[1-1],121218 12/13/2018 I 1-2 Primary 0.45 0.016 J 0.019 J 0.004 64 8-94 8-94[1-3],121318 12/13/2018 I 1-2 Primary 0.11 0.0045 U 0.18 U 0.004 65 8-39 8-39(5-5-15,100317 10/3/2017 D 5-7 Primary 0.154 0.0049 U 0.2 U 0.006 65 8-40 8-40(-1),092617 9/26/017 D 5-7 Primary 0.037 J 0.005 U 0.02 U 0.006 65 8-40 8-40(-1),092617 9/26/017 D					-					0.34 U
64 8-901 8-901c-22_112128 12/112/2018 12/2 Primary 0.001 0.0040 U 0.039 J 0.006 64 8-91 8-91(1-13],121518 12/15/2018 1-1 Primary 0.047 U 0.0017 J 0.019 0.005 64 8-91 8-91(1-13],121518 12/15/2018 1-3 Primary 0.53 0.0061 J 0.019 0.004 64 8-92 8-92(1-2-15),121218 12/15/2018 1-2 Primary 0.47 0.005 U 0.004 J 0.094 64 8-94 8-94(1-13),121318 12/13/2018 1-2 Primary 0.11 0.005 U 0.004 J 0.004 <td>64 B-90</td> <td>B-90(0-1)_121218</td> <td>12/12/2018</td> <td>0 - 1</td> <td>Primary</td> <td></td> <td>0.075 J</td> <td>0.0049 U</td> <td>0.017 J</td> <td>0.0049 U</td>	64 B-90	B-90(0-1)_121218	12/12/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.075 J	0.0049 U	0.017 J	0.0049 U
64 B-91 B-91(I)-1],21518 12/15/2018 0.1 Primary 0.04 0.0017 J 0.019 0.005 64 B-91 B-91(I-13),21518 12/15/2018 13 Primary 0.053 0.00064 J 0.27 J 0.004 64 B-92 B-92(I-2),121218 12/15/2018 12 Primary 0.47 0.005 U 0.019 J 0.004 64 B-92 B-92(I-2),121218 12/12/2018 1-2.5 Primary 0.47 0.005 U 0.019 J 0.004 64 B-94 B-94(I-9),121318 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.11 0.0045 U 0.04 J 0.004 65 B-39 B-93(I)-5,15,100317 10/3/2017 5-7 Primary 0.15 0.004 U 0.02 U 0.005 65 B-40 B-40(I),092617 9/26/2017 0-1 Primary 0.037 J 0.005 U 0.2 U 0.005 65 B-43 B-43(I),092617 9/26/2017 0-1 Primary 0.036 J <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.53 U</td></td<>										0.53 U
64 8-91 8-91(11-13)_121518 12/15/2018 1-13 Primary 0.047 0 0.0017 0 0.072 0 0.006 4 8-91 8-91(1-3)_121518 12/15/2018 1-3 Primary 0.45 0.016 0 0.072 J 0.004 0 0 0 0.004 0										0.0061 U
64 B-91 B-91(1-3)_IZ1518 12/15/2018 1-3 Primary 0.53 0.00064 J 0.27 J 0.004 64 B-92 B-92(1-12)_1Z1218 12/12/2018 1-2.5 Primary 0.45 0.016 J 0.019 J 0.004 64 B-94 B-94(1-1)_1Z1318 12/13/2018 1-2.1 Primary 0.11 0.0045 U 0.004 65 B-39 B-39(0.5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 5-7 Primary 0.15 0.0049 U 0.2 U 0.004 65 B-39 B-39(0.5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 5-7 Primary 0.15 0.005 U 0.2 U 0.006 65 B-40 B-40(0-1)_092617 9/27/2017 0-1 Primary 0.037 0.0065 U 1 U 0.066 65 B-40 B-40(1-1)_02317 9/27/2017 5-7 Primary 0.046 J 0.0091 0.001 0.002 65										0.0058 U
64 B-92 B-92(O-1)_121218 12/12/2018 0-1 Primary 0.45 0.016 J 0.019 J 0.004 64 B-94 B-94(I-2)_121318 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.47 0.005 U 0.044 J 0.004 64 B-94 B-94(I-3)_121318 12/13/2018 0-1 Primary 0.22 0.41 J 0.11 0.46 65 B-39 B-39(I-5)_1,00317 10/3/2017 5-1.5 Primary 0.02 0.041 0.01 0.00 65 B-39 B-39(I-5)_1,00317 10/3/2017 5-7 Primary 0.037 0.0085 U 0.2 U 0.005 65 B-40 B-40(I-1)_092617 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 0.036 J- 0.0061 U 0.22 U 0.006 65 B-43 B-43(I-1)_092617 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 0.046 J 0.0039 U 0.061 J 0.036 65										0.0038 U
64 B-94 B-94(D-1)_1Z1318 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.11 0.0045 U 0.18 U 0.004 64 B-94 B-94(1-3)_1Z1318 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.22 0.41 J 0.01 0.11 0.44 65 B-39 B-39(5-1,5)_100317 10/3/2017 0.5-1.5 Primary 0.037 J 0.0058 U 0.2 U 0.006 65 B-40 B-40(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 0.1 Primary 0.037 J 0.0065 U 0.2 U 0.006 65 B-40 B-40(5-7)_092717 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 0.036 J 0.0061 U 0.22 U 0.006 65 B-43 B-43(5-7)_092717 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 0.046 J 0.0039 U 0.061 J 0.006 65 B-86 B-76(0-1)_102317 10/23/2017 5-7 Primary 0.037 U 0.0063 U 0.061 J 0.006 65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1-3 Primary										0.0042 UJ
64 B-94 B-94(1-3)_1Z1318 12/13/2018 1-3 Primary 0.22 0.41 J 0.11 0.4 65 B-39 B-39(0.5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 0.5-1.5 Primary 0.154 0.0049 0.2 0.004 65 B-39 B-39(5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 5-7 Primary 0.037 J 0.0058 U 0.2 U 0.005 65 B-40 B-40(0-1)_092617 9/27/2017 5-7 Primary 0.036 J 0.0061 U 0.22 U 0.006 65 B-43 B-43(1-1)_092617 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 0.046 J 0.0039 U 0.061 J 0.003 65 B-43 B-43(5-7)_092617 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 0.046 J 0.0037 U 0.061 J 0.003 65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 5-1 Primary 0.037 U 0.0052 U 0.019 U 0.005 65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1-3 Primary 0.037 U	64 B-92	B-92(1-2.5)_121218	12/12/2018	1 - 2.5	Primary		0.47	0.005 UJ	0.044 J	0.0048 UJ
65 B-39 B-39(0.5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 5.7 Primary 0.154 0.0049 U 0.2 U 0.004 65 B-39 B-39(5-7)_100317 10/3/2017 5.7 Primary 0.037 J 0.0055 U 0.2 U 0.006 65 B-40 B-40(5-7)_092717 9/26/2017 5.7 Primary 0.036 J 0.0061 U 0.22 U 0.006 65 B-43 B-43(5-7)_092617 9/26/2017 5.7 Primary 0.046 J 0.003 U 0.061 J 0.003 65 B-76 B-76(6-1)_102317 10/23/2017 5.7 Primary 0.037 U 0.006 J 0.068 J 0.006 65 B-76 DIP-7_102317 10/23/2017 1.3 Primary 0.037 U 0.005 U 0.076 J 0.006 66 B-44 B-44(6-1)_100217 10/2/2017 5.5 Primary					Primary					0.0045 U
65 B-39 B-39(5-7)_100317 10/3/2017 5-7 Primary 0.037 J 0.0058 U 0.2 U 0.006 65 B-40 B-40(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 0.036 J 0.0061 U 0.22 U 0.006 65 B-43 B-43(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 5-7 Primary 0.046 J 0.0039 U 0.061 J 0.004 65 B-76 B-76(0-1)_102317 19/23/2017 0-1 Primary 0.037 U 0.003 U 0.068 J 0.006 65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1-3 Primary 0.037 U 0.005 U 0.019 U 0.005 65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102217 10/2/2017 5-5 Primary 0.037 U 0.005 U 0.019 U 0.005 66 B-78 B-78(0-5-1.5)_102517 10/2/2017 5-5.5										0.48 U
65 B-40 B-40(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 0-1 Primary 0.036 J 0.0061 U 0.22 U 0.006 65 B-43 B-40(5-7)_092617 9/27/2017 5-7 Primary 0.046 J 0.0039 U 0.61 J 0.003 65 B-43 B-43(5-7)_092617 9/26/2017 0-1 Primary 0.046 J 0.0039 U 0.061 J 0.003 65 B-76 B-76(0-1)_102317 10/23/2017 1-3 Primary 0.037 U 0.005 U 0.018 U 0.005 65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1-3 Duplicate B-76(1-3)_102317 0.005 U 0.005 U 0.005 U 0.005 U 0.007 J 0.005 U 0.007 J 0.005 U 0.007 U 0.007 J 0.005 U 0.004 U 0.004 U 0.004 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.0049 U</td></t<>					,					0.0049 U
65 B-40 B-40(S-7)_092717 9/27/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.036 J 0.0061 U 0.22 U 0.006 65 B-43 B-43(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.145 J 0.0037 U 0.004 U 0.73 0.004 65 B-76 B-76(0-1)_102317 10/23/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.037 U 0.005 U 0.068 J 0.006 65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.037 U 0.005 U 0.076 J 0.006 65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Duplicate B-76(1-3)_102317 0.005 U 0.005 U 0.076 J 0.006 66 B-44 B-44(0-1)_100217 10/2/2017 5 - 5.5 Primary 0.036 U 0.0047 U 0.94 U 0.004 66 B-78 B-78(5-1)_102517 10/25/2017 5 - 5.5 Primary 0.036 U 0.0047 U 0.02 U 0.004 66 B-78 B-78(5-1)_102317 10/25/2017 5 - 7 Prim										0.0058 U
65 8-43 B-43(0-1)_092617 9/26/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.046 J 0.003 U 0.061 J 0.003 65 B-76 B-76(0-1)_102317 10/23/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.037 U 0.0063 U 0.068 J 0.006 65 B-76 B-76(0-1)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.037 U 0.0052 U 0.19 U 0.005 65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Duplicate B-76(1-3)_102317 0.005 U 0.019 U 0.005 65 B-76 B-44(0-1)_100217 10/2/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.030 U 0.004 U 0.094 U 0.004 66 B-44 B-44(0-1)_100217 10/2/2017 U 5 - 5.5 Primary 0.01 U 0.004 U 0.02 U 0.004 66 B-78 B-78(5-7)_102517 U 10/25/2017 U 5 - 5.5 Primary 0.03 U 0.004 U 0.2 U 0.004 66 B-84 B-84(1-2)_121218 U 12/12/2018 U 1 - 13 Primary 0.05 U										0.0061 U
65 B-76 B-76(-1)_102317 10/23/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.037 U 0.0063 U 0.068 J 0.006 65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Duplicate B-76(1-3)_102317 0.0052 U 0.019 U 0.005 66 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Duplicate B-76(1-3)_102317 0.0063 U 0.0063 U 0.0076 J 0.006 66 B-44 B-44(5-5.5)_100217 10/2/2017 5 - 5.5 Primary 0.036 U 0.0077 U 0.94 U 0.004 66 B-78 B-78(5-5.1)_102517 10/25/2017 5 - 5.5 Primary 0.038 U 0.007 U 0.02 U 0.004 66 B-78 B-78(1-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 1 - 2 Primary 0.05 U 0.007 U 0.02 U 0.004 66 B-84 B-84(1-1.3)_121218 <td>65 B-43</td> <td>B-43(0-1)_092617</td> <td></td> <td>0 - 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.0039 UJ</td>	65 B-43	B-43(0-1)_092617		0 - 1						0.0039 UJ
65 B-76 B-76(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.037 U 0.052 U 0.19 U 0.005 65 B-76 DUP-7_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Duplicate B-76(1-3)_102317 0.0063 U 0.0063 U 0.0076 J 0.006 66 B-44 B-44(0-1)_100217 10/2/2017 5 - 5.5 Primary 0.21 J 0.0007 U 0.94 U 0.004 66 B-78 B-78(0.5-1.5)_102517 10/25/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.038 U 0.0047 U 0.2 U 0.004 66 B-78 B-78(5-7)_102517 10/25/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.03 U 0.0047 U 0.02 U 0.004 66 B-84 B-84(1-13)_121218 12/12/2018 1 - 2 Primary 0.05 U 0.004 U 0.02 J 0.00 66 B-84 B-84(1-13)_122118			9/26/2017							0.0047 U
65 8-76 DUP-7_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Duplicate B-76(1-3)_102317 0.042 U 0.0063 U 0.076 J 0.006 66 B-44 B-44(0-1)_100217 10/2/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.036 U 0.0047 U 0.94 U 0.004 66 B-48 B-44(0-5.5)_100217 10/25/2017 5 - 5.5 Primary 0.038 U 0.0047 U 0.22 U 0.004 66 B-78 B-78(5-7)_102517 10/25/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.05 U 0.007 U 0.02 U 0.004 66 B-84 B-84(1-13)_121218 12/12/2018 1 - 13 Primary 0.05 U 0.0047 U 0.04 J 0.004 66 B-84 B-84(1-2)_121218 12/12/2018 1 - 2 Primary 0.036 U 0.0047 U 0.02 J 0.004 67 B-51 B-51(1-2)_092817										0.0063 U
66 8-44 8-44(-1)_100217 10/2/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.036 U 0.0047 U 0.94 U 0.004 66 8-44 8-44(5-5.5)_100217 10/2/2017 5 - 5.5 Primary 0.21 J 0.0079 J+ 1.5 0.005 66 8-78 8-78(5-7.1)02517 10/25/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.038 U 0.0047 U 0.2 U 0.004 66 8-78 8-78(5-7.1)02517 10/25/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.05 U 0.007 U 0.2 U 0.004 66 8-84 8-84(1-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 1 - 2 Primary 0.05 U 0.0047 U 0.04 J 0.004 66 8-84 8-84(1-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 1 - 2 Primary 0.05 U 0.004 U 0.02 U 0.02 J 0.00 67 8-51 8-51(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017						B 76/4 3) 403247				0.0052 U
66 8-44 8-44(5-5.5)_100217 10/2/2017 5-5.5 Primary 0.21 J 0.0079 J+ 1.5 J 0.005 66 8-78 8-78(5-5.1)_102517 10/25/2017 0.5 - 1.5 Primary 0.038 U 0.0047 U 0.2 U 0.004 66 8-78 8-78(5-7)_102517 10/25/2017 5-7 Primary 0.05 U 0.007 U 0.02 J 0.004 66 8-84 8-84(11-13)_121218 12/12/2018 11-13 Primary 0.05 U 0.0047 U 0.04 J 0.004 66 8-84 8-84(1-12)_121218 12/12/2018 1-2 Primary 0.036 U 0.0049 U 0.21 J 0.00 67 8-51 8-51(1-2)_102817 9/28/2017 1-2 Primary 0.036 U 0.0049 U 0.22 J 0.004 67 8-51 8-51(5-7)_092817 9/28/2017 5-7 Primary 0.04 U 0.0059 U 0.24 U 0.005 67 8-52 8-52(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 5-7 Primary 0.04 U 0.0057 U 0.53 J 0.005						p-/0(1-3)_10231/				0.0063 U 0.0047 U
66 B-78 B-78(0.5-1.5)_102517 10/25/2017 0.5-1.5 Primary 0.038 U 0.0047 U 0.0 U 0.0 U 0.004 66 B-78 B-78(5-7)_102517 10/25/2017 5-7 Primary 0.05 U 0.007 U 0.32 J 0.00 66 B-84 B-84(1-1)_121218 12/12/2018 11-13 Primary 0.005 U 0.0047 U 0.04 J 0.00 66 B-84 B-84(1-2)_121218 12/12/2018 11-2 Primary 0.0073 J 0.005 U 0.001 J 0.01 0.00 66 B-84 B-84(2-4)_121218 12/12/2018 1-2 Primary 0.036 U 0.0049 U 0.22 J 0.004 67 B-51 B-51(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 5-7 Primary 0.036 U 0.0042 UJ 0.02 U 0.02 0.004 67 B-52 B-52(0-1)_102317 10/23/2017 5-7 Primary 0.04 U 0.0059 U 0.05 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.0054 U</td></t<>										0.0054 U
66 8-78 8-78(5-7)_102517 10/25/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.05 U 0.007 U 0.03 U 0.00 JU 0.02 JU 0.004 JU 0.005 JU 0.004 JU 0.004 JU 0.005 JU 0.005 JU 0.004 JU 0.005										0.0034 U
66 B-84 B-84(11-13)_21218 12/12/2018 11-13 Primary 0.05 U 0.004 U 0.04 J 0.004 O 66 B-84 B-84(1-2)_21218 12/12/2018 1-2 Primary 0.073 J 0.005 UJ 0.011 J 0.00 67 B-51 B-51(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1-2 Primary 0.05 U 0.0042 UJ 0.02 J 0.004 67 B-51 B-51(5-7)_092817 9/28/2017 5-7 Primary 0.04 U 0.0059 U 0.24 U 0.005 67 B-52 B-52(0-1)_102317 10/23/2017 O-1 Primary 0.04 U 0.0057 U 0.53 J 0.005 67 B-53 B-52(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 O-1 Primary 0.44 J 0.0057 U 0.13 J 0.005 67 B-53 B-53(1-1)_092817 9/28/2017 O-1 Primary 0.193 U 0.0056 U 0.049 J 0.005 67 B-53 B-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1-2 Primary 0.039 U 0.0058 U 3.9 U 0.005 67 B-54 B-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1-2 Primar										0.007 U
66 B-84 B-84(2-4)_121218 12/11/2018 2 - 4 Primary 0.036 U 0.0049 U 0.02 J 0.004 67 B-51 B-51(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 2 Primary 0.153 J 0.0042 UJ 0.26 UJ 0.04 67 B-51 B-51(5-7)_092817 9/28/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.04 U 0.0059 U 0.024 U 0.005 67 B-52 B-52(0-1)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.44 J 0.0077 U 0.13 J 0.005 67 B-52 B-52(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.44 J 0.0077 U 0.13 J 0.005 67 B-53 B-53(0-1)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.193 U 0.0056 U 0.049 J 0.005 67 B-54 B-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.037 U 0.0058 U 3.9 0.005 67 B-54 B-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 7 - 8 Primary			12/12/2018							0.0047 U
67 8-51 8-51(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 2 Primary 0.153 J 0.0042 UJ 0.26 0.004 67 8-51 8-51(5-7)_092817 9/28/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.04 U 0.0059 U 0.24 0.005 67 8-52 8-52(0-1)_102317 10/23/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.44 J 0.0077 U 0.13 J 0.005 67 8-52 8-52(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.44 J 0.0077 U 0.13 J 0.005 67 8-53 8-53(1-3)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.193 0.0056 U 0.049 J 0.005 67 8-54 8-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.037 U 0.0058 U 3.3 0.005 67 8-54 8-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 7 - 8 Primary 0.049 U										0.005 U
67 B-51 B-52(D-7)_092817 9/28/2017 5 - 7 Primary 0.04 U 0.0059 U 0.24 U 0.005 67 B-52 B-52(O-1)_102317 10/23/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.44 J 0.0057 U 0.53 J 0.005 67 B-53 B-52(O-1)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.44 J 0.0077 U 0.013 J 0.005 67 B-53 B-53(O-1)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.039 U 0.0056 U 0.094 J 0.005 67 B-54 B-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.039 U 0.0058 U 3.3 0.004 67 B-54 B-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 2 Primary 0.039 U 0.0058 U 3.3 0.004 67 B-54 B-54(1-8)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 2 Primary 0.049 U 0.0093 U 0.025 U 0.093 67 B-55 B-55(0.5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 0.5 - 1.5 Primary										0.0049 U
67 8-52 8-52(0-1)_102317 10/23/2017 0 - 1 Primary 5 J 0.0057 U 0.53 J 0.005 67 8-52 8-52(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.44 J 0.0077 U 0.13 J 0.007 67 8-53 8-53(0-1)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.193 U 0.0056 U 0.049 U 0.005 67 8-54 8-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 I - 2 Primary 0.037 U 0.0045 U 3.3 U 0.004 67 8-54 8-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 I - 2 Primary 0.037 U 0.0045 U 3.3 U 0.004 67 8-54 8-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 I - 2 Primary 0.049 UJ 0.0093 U 0.025 U 0.025 U 0.009 67 8-54 8-54(7-8)_092817 9/28/2017 I - 2 Primary 0.049 UJ 0.0093 U 0.025 U 0.025 U 0.009 67 8-51 8-55(0.5-1.5)_100317 I 0/3/2017 I 0.5 -1.5 Primary 0.024 U		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								0.0042 U 0.0059 U
67 B-52 B-52(1-3)_102317 10/23/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.44 J 0.0077 U 0.13 J 0.007 67 B-53 B-53(0-1)_092817 9/28/2017 0 - 1 Primary 0.193 0.0056 U 0.049 J 0.005 67 B-54 B-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 2 Primary 0.039 U 0.0055 U 3.3 0.004 67 B-54 B-54(7-8)_092817 9/28/2017 7 - 8 Primary 0.049 UJ 0.0093 U 0.25 U 0.009 67 B-55 B-55(0.5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 0.5 - 1.5 Primary 0.024 J NR 0.93 U 0.004 67 B-55 B-55(0.5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 0.5 - 1.5 Primary 0.024 J NR 0.93 U 0.004 67 B-81 B-81(0.3-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 0.3 - 1.3 Primary 0.04 U 0.0055 U 0.12 J 0.005 67 B-81 B-81(0.7-)_121218 12/12/2018 0.3 - 1.3 Primar										0.0059 U
67 8-53 8-53(0-1)_092817 9/28/2017 0-1 Primary 0.193 0.0056 U 0.049 J 0.005 67 8-53 8-53(1-3)_092817 9/28/2017 1-3 Primary 0.037 U 0.0058 U 3.9 0.005 67 8-54 8-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 7-8 Primary 0.049 U 0.0093 U 0.025 U 0.009 67 8-54 8-54(7-8)_092817 9/28/2017 7-8 Primary 0.049 U 0.0093 U 0.025 U 0.009 67 8-55 8-55(0.5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 0.5-1.5 Primary 0.024 J NR 0.93 U 0.004 67 8-81 8-81(0.3-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 0.81 J 0.055 U 0.12 J 0.005 67 8-81 8-81(5-7)_121218 12/12/2018 5-7 Primary 0.091										0.0077 U
67 8-53 8-53(1-3)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 3 Primary 0.039 U 0.0058 U 3.9 0.005 67 8-54 8-54(1-2)_092817 9/28/2017 1 - 2 Primary 0.037 U 0.0045 U 3.3 0.004 67 8-54 8-54(7-8)_092817 9/28/2017 7 - 8 Primary 0.049 U 0.0093 U 0.25 U 0.004 67 8-55 8-55(0.5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 0.5 - 1.5 Primary 0.024 J NR 0.93 U 0.005 67 8-81 8-81(0.3-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 0.3 - 1.5 Primary 0.81 J 0.0055 U 0.12 J 0.005 67 8-81 8-81(5-7)_121218 12/12/2018 0.3 - 1.7 Primary 0.091 J 0.005 U 0.12 J 0.02 67 8-81 8-81(5-7)_121218 12/12/2018 5 - 7 Primary 0										0.0056 U
67 B-54 B-54(7-8)_092817 9/28/2017 7 - 8 Primary 0.049 UJ 0.0093 U 0.093 U 0.25 U 0.009 67 B-55 B-55(5.5-5)_100317 10/3/2017 3.5 - 5 Primary 0.04 U 0.0055 U 0.055 U 0.004 67 B-81 B-81(0.3-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 0.3 - 1.3 Primary 0.81 J 0.25 U 0.078 U 0.2 67 B-81 B-81(5-7)_121218 12/12/2018 5 - 7 Primary 0.091 J 0.0047 U 0.24 J 0.004 68 B-56(1-2)_092717 9/27/2017 1 - 2 Primary 0.183 U 0.0057 UJ 0.16 J 0.004	67 B-53	B-53(1-3)_092817	9/28/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.039 U	0.0058 U	3.9	0.0058 U
67 B-55 B-55(0.5-1.5)_100317 10/3/2017 0.5-1.5 Primary 0.024 J NR 0.93 U 0.004 G7 B-55 B-55(3.5-5)_100317 10/3/2017 3.5-5 Primary 0.04 U 0.0055 U 0.12 J 0.005 G7 B-81 B-81(0.3-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 0.81 J 0.25 U 0.078 0.2 G7 B-81 B-81(5-7)_121218 12/12/2018 5-7 Primary 0.091 J- 0.004 U 0.0057 U 0.004 U 0.005										0.0045 U
67 8-55 8-55(3.5-5)_100317 10/3/2017 3.5-5 Primary 0.04 U 0.0055 U 0.12 J 0.005 67 8-81 8-81(0.3-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 0.81 J 0.25 U 0.078 0.2 67 8-81 8-81(5-7)_121218 12/12/2018 5-7 Primary 0.091 J- 0.0047 U 0.24 J 0.004 68 8-56 (1-2)_092717 9/27/2017 1-2 Primary 0.183 0.0057 UJ 0.16 J 0.0										0.0093 U
67 B-81 B-81(0.3-1.3)_121218 12/12/2018 0.3-1.3 Primary 0.81 J 0.25 U 0.078 0.2 G 0.										0.0049 UJ
67 B-81 B-81(5-7)_121218 12/12/2018 5-7 Primary 0.091 J- 0.0047 U 0.24 J 0.004 68 B-56 B-56(1-2)_092717 9/27/2017 1-2 Primary 0.183 0.0057 UJ 0.16 J 0.										0.0055 U
68 B-56 B-56(1-2)_092717 9/27/2017 1-2 Primary 0.183 0.0057 UJ 0.16 J 0.										0.25 U 0.0047 U
										0.1
00 0-00 0-00(2 ⁻⁴)_002(11 0/2/2011 2 ⁻⁴ Fillidiy 0.1 3 0.000 0.21 0 22	68 B-56	B-56(2-4)_092717	9/27/2017	2 - 4	Primary		0.1 J	0.005 U	0.21 U	2.9

Page 8 of 9 Prepared by USEPA

							Total PCBs		VOCs	
							Total PCBs	Benzene	Naphthalene	Vinyl Chloride
Lot ID	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent sample ID	ARAR = 1 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	ARAR = 5 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	ARAR = 17 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	ARAR = 2 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)
68	B-57	B-57(1-2)_100317	10/3/2017	1 - 2	Primary	***************************************	0.01 J	0.0049 U	0.4 U	0.0049 U
68	B-57	B-57(2-4)_100317	10/3/2017	2 - 4	Primary		0.05 U	0.0076 U	0.26 U	0.0076 U
68	B-58	B-58(1-2)_092717	9/27/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.038 U	0.18 J	0.3	0.36 U
68	B-58	B-58(6-8)_092717	9/27/2017	6 - 8	Primary		0.039 U	0.0043 U	0.2 U	0.0043 U
68	B-59	B-59(12-13.5)_100317	10/3/2017	9 - 10.5	Primary		0.038 U	0.0046 U	0.2 U	0.0046 U
68	B-59	B-59(5-7)_100317	10/3/2017	2 - 4	Primary		0.027 J	0.0058 U	0.19 U	0.0058 U
68	B-59	DUP-2_100317	10/3/2017	2 - 4	Duplicate	B-59(5-7)_100317	0.036 U	0.006 U	0.37 U	0.006 U
68	B-59	B-59(FILL)_100317	10/3/2017	-3 - 0	Primary		0.13 J	0.0047 U	0.18 U	0.0047 U
68	B-60	B-60(0-1)_092617	9/26/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.035 U	0.0043 U	0.058 J	0.0043 U
68	B-60	B-60(5-7)_092617	9/26/2017	5 - 7	Primary		0.024 J	0.005 U	0.044 J	0.005 U
68	B-60	B-60(FILL)_092617	9/26/2017	-4 - 0	Primary		0.036 U	0.0043 U	0.14 J	0.0043 U
68	B-77	B-77(0-1)_092817	9/28/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.019 J	0.0048 U	0.18 U	0.0048 U
68	B-77	B-77(1-3)_092817	9/28/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.034 U	0.0073 U	0.18 U	0.0073 U
68	B-77	DUP-1_092817	9/28/2017	1 - 3	Duplicate	B-77(1-3)_092817	0.035 U	0.0054 U	0.18 U	0.0054 U
68	B-80	B-80(0.3-1.3)_121218	12/12/2018	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		0.22	0.47 J	0.093 J	1.7 U
68	B-80	B-80(1.3-3.3)_121218	12/12/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Primary		0.011 J	0.0059 U	0.095	0.0059 U
68	B-82	B-82(11-13)_121118	12/11/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.051 U	0.0075 UJ	0.0013 J	0.0075 UJ
68	B-82	B-82(1-2)_121118	12/11/2018	1 - 2	Primary		0.15 J-	2 UJ	0.039 J	2 UJ
68	B-82	B-82(5-7)_121118	12/11/2018	5 - 7	Primary		0.013 J	0.0057 UJ	0.0066 J	0.074 J
68	B-83	B-83(0.3-1.3)_121118	12/11/2018	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		0.17 J	0.39 U	0.23	0.39 U
68	B-83	B-83(1.3-3.3)_121118	12/11/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Primary		0.21	0.092 J	0.12 J	NR
68	B-83	DUP-1_121118	12/11/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Duplicate	B-83(1.3-3.3)_12111	0.22	0.013 J	0.21 J	0.0071 UJ
69	B-61	B-61(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.77	0.0053 U	0.077 J	0.0053 U
69	B-61	B-61(5-6)_101117	10/11/2017	5 - 6	Primary		0.036 U	0.0044 U	0.19 U	0.0044 U
69	B-62	B-62(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.22 J	0.0049 U	0.18 U	0.0049 U
69	B-62	B-62(5-5.5)_101117	10/11/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		0.038 U	0.0072 U	0.14 J	0.0072 U
69	B-63	B-63(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.11 J	0.0065 U	0.18 U	0.0065 U
69	B-63	B-63(1-3)_101117	10/11/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.11	0.0047 U	0.64 J	0.0047 U
69	B-101	B-101(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.0077 J	0.0013 J	0.029	0.0058 U
69	B-101	B-101(11-13)_121418	12/14/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.038 U	0.0043 U	0.2 U	0.0043 U
69	B-101	B-101(5-6)_121418	12/14/2018	5 - 6	Primary		0.0053 J	0.0045 U	0.33	0.0045 U
69	B-102	B-102(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.29	0.0045 U	0.2 U	0.0045 U
69	B-102	B-102(1-2.9)_121418	12/14/2018	1 - 2.9	Primary		0.0066 J	0.0044 U	0.055 U	0.0044 U
70	B-19	B-19(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.038 U	0.0059 U	0.19 U	0.0056 U
70	B-19	B-19(2-4)_101117	10/11/2017	2 - 4	Primary		0.19	0.0051 U	0.19 U	0.0051 U
70	B-64	B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117	10/11/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		0.3 J	0.0053 U	0.19 U	0.0051 U
70	B-64	DUP-5_101117	10/11/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Duplicate	B-64(1.5-2.5)_10111	0.67 J	0.0049 U	0.19 U	0.0049 U
70	B-64	B-64(2.5-4.5)_101117	10/11/2017	2.5 - 4.5	Primary		0.19 J	0.0057 UJ	0.19 U	0.0057 U
70	B-65	B-65(0.5-1.5)_101317	10/13/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.037 U	0.0057 U	0.19 U	0.0057 U
70	B-65	B-65(1.5-2.7)_101317	10/13/2017	1.5 - 2.7	Primary		2.2	0.0053 U	0.19 U	0.0053 U
70	B-66	B-66(1.5-2.5)_101017	10/10/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		0.086 J	0.0055 U	0.18 U	0.0055 U
70	B-66	B-66(2.5-4.5)_101017	10/10/2017	2.5 - 4.5	Primary		0.059 J	0.0096 J+	0.048 J	0.0068 U
70	B-67	B-67(1.5-2.5)_101017	10/10/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		0.067 J	0.0056 U	0.92 U	0.0056 U
70	B-67	B-67(2.5-3.8)_101017	10/10/2017	2.5 - 3.8	Primary		10 J	0.13 J	5.4	0.39 U
70	B-100	B-100(11-13)_121418	12/14/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.052 U	0.0066 U	0.44 J	0.0066 U
70	B-100	B-100(2.2-3.2)_121418	12/14/2018	2.2 - 3.2	Primary		2	0.027 J+	0.061	0.4 U
70	B-100	B-100(3.2-4.2)_121418	12/14/2018	3.2 - 4.2	Primary		0.65	0.0048 UJ	0.031	0.0048 U

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.

PRG = Preliminary Remediation Goal

Detected result exceeds ARAR or PRG
Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR or PRG
NR = Not reportable

- J Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL
- J+ Estimated High
- J- Estimated Low
- U Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

Total PCBs are calculated as the sum of detected Aroclor concentration. If all the Aroclors are reported as nondetected, then the highest reporting limit of the Aroclors is reported. $\label{eq:condition}$

Only parameters that have a result greater than the selected ARAR/PRG and used in the soil and soil gas alternatives are listed

> Page 9 of 9 Prepared by USEPA

								Metals	
							Arsenic	Copper	Lead
Lot	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent Sample ID	ARAR = 19 mg/kg Result Qual	PRG = 526 mg/kg Result Qual	PRG = 800 mg/kg Result Qual
1	BE-1_1	BE-1 (7.5-8)	9/14/2010	7.5 - 8	Primary		23	60	1100
1	BE-2_1	BE-2 (4.5-5)	9/14/2010	4.5 - 5	Primary		2.2	29 	69
1	BE-3_1	BE-3 (7.5-8) SB-3 (2.5-3)	9/14/2010	7.5 - 8 2.5 - 3	Primary		4.77	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	1370
58	SB-3_1 S-1_58	S-1	7/30/2008 10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary Primary		4.77 	ND	24
58	S-10 58	S-10A	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet				65.5	300
58	S-10_58	S-10B	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary			8.5	ND
58	S-2_58	S-2	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet				5.9	ND
58	S-3_58	S-3	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary			8.4	3.5
58	S-4_58	S-4A	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet				188	1820
58	S-4_58	S-4B	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary			5.3	ND
58	S-5_58	S-5	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet				48.7	554
58 58	S-6_58	S-6A S-6B	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary			44.7	219 ND
58	S-6_58 S-7_58	S-7	10/6/2009 10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet Less than 14 feet	Primary Primary			4.1 181	258
58	S-8_58	S-8	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet			- 9999999999999	21.3	74.5
58	S-9_58	S-9	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary			4.2	ND
60	SB-FILL-1_60	SB-FILL-1 (5.5-6)	11/13/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		13.2	150	126
60	SB-FILL-2_60	SB-FILL-2 (5.5-6)	11/13/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		4.46	35.5	14.8
60	SB-FILL-3_60	SB-FILL-3 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary		1.83	12.9	12.1
60	SB-FILL-4_60	SB-FILL-4 (5.5-6)	11/13/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		2.24	12.4	47.4
60	SB-PAD-1_60	SB-PAD-1 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary				
60	SB-PAD-2_60	SB-PAD-2 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary				
60	SB-PAD-3_60	SB-PAD-3 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary				
60	SB-PAD-4_60	SB-PAD-4 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary				
62	SB-4_62	SB-4 (5.5-6)	5/14/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		9.62	75.3	153
62 62	SB-5_62 SB-6_62	SB-5 (5.5-6) SB-6 (6.5-7)	5/14/2008 5/14/2008	5.5 - 6 6.5 - 7	Primary Primary		34.2 10.3	206 64.9	239 653
66	SB-1_66	SB-1 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008	4.5 - 5	Primary		6.9	35.3	126
66	SB-2_66	SB-2 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008	4.5 - 5	Primary		2.09	18.6	100
66	SB-3_66	SB-3 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008	4.5 - 5	Primary		8.34	178	950
68	B-54_68	B-54 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary		17.8 J	45.1	182
68	B-54_68	B-54 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Duplicate	B-54 (1.5-2)			***********
68	B-54_68	B-54 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary				
68	B-54_68	B-54 (1-1.5)	6/22/1994	1 - 1.5	Primary		9.4	25.5	317
68	B-54_68	B-54 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary				
68	B-56_68	B-56 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary				
68	B-56_68	B-56 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary				
68	B-57_68	B-57 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	0.5 - 1		B-57 (0.5-1)	4.2	51.7	123
68 68	B-57_68 B-57_68	B-57 (0.5-1) B-57 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995 5/1/1995	0.5 - 1 1.5 - 2	Primary Primary		5.7	67	146
68	B-57_68	B-57 (3.5-4)	5/1/1995	3.5 - 4	Primary		2.8	91.5	128
68	B-57_68	B-57 (5.5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary		- 0000000000000000000000000000000000000		300000000000000000000000000000000000000
68	B-58_68	B-58 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary				
68	B-58_68	B-58 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary				
68	B-59_68	B-59 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary		7.5	62.2	121
68	B-59_68	B-59 (1.5-2)	6/22/1994	1.5 - 2	Primary		200000000000000000000000000000000000000		
68	B-59_68	B-59 (5-5,5)	6/22/1994	5 - 5.5	Primary				
68	B-60_68	B-60 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	0.5 - 1	Primary		13.1	70.6	271
68	B-60_68	B-60 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary				
68	B-60_68	B-60 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary				
68 68	B-61_68	B-61 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995 5/1/1995	1.5 - 2 5 - 5 5	Primary				
68 68	B-61_68 B-62_68	B-61 (5-5.5) B-62 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995 6/22/1994	5 - 5.5 1.5 - 2	Primary Primary				
68	B-62_68	B-62 (3-3.5)	6/22/1994	3 - 3.5	Primary		11.5 J	223	185
68	B-62_68	B-62 (5-5.5)	6/22/1994	5 - 5.5	Primary		3999499999		
68	B-63_68	B-63 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary		28.2 J	29	80.4
68	B-63_68	B-63 (1.5-2)	6/22/1994	1.5 - 2	Primary			300000533233000	300000000000000000000000000000000000000
68	B-63_68	B-63 (3-3.5)	6/22/1994	3 - 3.5	Primary		6	82.2	516
68	B-63_68	B-63 (5-5.5)	6/22/1994	5 - 5.5	Primary				
68	B-64_68	B-64 (3.5-4)	5/1/1995	3.5 - 4	Duplicate	B-64 (3.5-4)			
68	B-64_68	B-64 (3.5-4)	5/1/1995	3.5 - 4	Primary				
68	B-65_68	B-65 (3-3.5)	6/22/1994	3 - 3.5	Primary				
68	B-66_68	B-66 (2-2.5)	6/22/1994	2 - 2.5	Primary				
68	GP-1_68	GP-1, S-1 (5-5.5)	1/12/1995	5 - 5.5 7 5 - 8	Primary				
68 68	GP-1_68 GP-1_68	GP-1, S-2 (7.5-8) GP-1, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995 1/12/1995	7.5 - 8 11.5 - 12	Primary Primary				
68	GP-1_68 GP-2_68	GP-1, S-4 (11.5-12) GP-2, S-2 (7-7.5)	1/12/1995	7 - 7.5	Primary				
68	GP-2_68	GP-2, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Primary				
68	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-1 (5.5-6)	1/12/1995	5.5 - 6	Primary				
68	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-2 (7-7.5)	1/12/1995	7 - 7.5	Primary				
68	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12		GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)			
68	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Primary				
63-64	B-1_63-64	B1-1 (2.1-2.6)	5/26/2010	2.1 - 2.6	Primary		6.1	110	510
63-64	B-1_63-64	B1-2 (8.5-10)	5/26/2010	8.5 - 10	Primary		14	69	480
63-64	B-10_63-64	B10-1 (6-7)	5/26/2010	6 - 7	Primary		21	160 K	420

Page 1 of 6 Prepared by USEPA

									Meta	als		
							Arsen	ic	Сорр	er	Lea	d
							ARAR = 19	mg/kg	PRG = 526	mg/kg	PRG = 800	mg/kg
Lot	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent Sample ID	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual
63-64	B-10_63-64	B10-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary		8.5		220	К	310	
63-64	B-11_63-64	B11-1 (2-6)	5/26/2010	2 - 6	Primary		6.3		130	K	470	
63-64	B-12_63-64	B12-1 (2.1-2.8)	5/26/2010	2.1 - 2.8	Primary		5		180		470	
63-64	B-12_63-64	B12-2 (6.4-7.5)	5/26/2010	6.4 - 7.5	Primary		17		87	K	460	
63-64	B-2_63-64	B2-1 (7.5-10)	5/26/2010	7.5 - 10	Primary		5.1		55		380	
63-64	B-2_63-64	B2-2 (10-12.5)	5/26/2010	10 - 12.5	Primary		2.1		23		25	
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-1 (5-7)	5/26/2010	5 - 7	Primary				51		570	
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-1 (5-7)	5/26/2010	5 - 7	Primary							
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-2 (7-9)	5/26/2010	7 - 9	Primary		25		190		610	
63-64	B-4_63-64	B4-1 (2.5-4)	5/26/2010	2.5 - 4	Primary		3.2		34		120	
63-64	B-4_63-64	B4-1 (8-10)	5/26/2010	8 - 10	Primary				58		420	
63-64	B-4_63-64	B4-2 (8-10)	5/26/2010	8 - 10	Primary		200000000					
63-64	B-5_63-64	B5-1 (1-4)	5/26/2010	1 - 4	Primary		7.5		87		4700	
63-64	B-5_63-64	B5-2 (9-11)	5/26/2010	9 - 11	Primary		7.2		66		210	
63-64	B-6_63-64	B6-1 (1-2.5)	5/26/2010	1 - 2.5	Primary		22		180		1600	
63-64	B-6_63-64	B6-2 (5-5)	5/26/2010	5 - 5	Primary		8.4		88		790	
63-64	B-7_63-64	B7-1 (8.5-9)	5/26/2010	8.5 - 9	Primary		34		170		4300	
63-64	B-7_63-64	B7-2 (10-10.5)	5/26/2010	10 - 10.5	Primary		18		91		2100	
63-64	B-8_63-64	B8-1 (5-5.5)	5/26/2010	5 - 5.5	Primary		63		230		4000	
63-64	B-8_63-64	B8-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary		10		69		820	
63-64	B-8_63-64	B8-2d (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Duplicate	B8-2 (10-11)	9.8		69		810	
63-64	B-9_63-64	B9-1 (5-6)	5/26/2010	5 - 6	Primary		35		160		2700	
63-64	B-9_63-64	B9-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary		30		220		2700	
63-64	HF-2_63-64	HF-2 (11-12.5)	9/23/2009	11 - 12.5	Primary		56.6		167		10800	
63-64	HF-2_63-64	HF-2R (11-12.5)	9/28/2009	11 - 12.5	Primary							
63-64	HF-3_63-64	HF-3 (7-7.5)	9/23/2009	7 - 7.5	Primary		9.8		101		355	
63-64	HF-3_63-64	HF-3R (7-7.5)	9/28/2009	7 - 7.5	Primary							
63-64	HF-4_63-64	HF-4 (11-11.5)	9/23/2009	11 - 11.5	Primary		8.1		79.6		296	
63-64	HF-5_63-64	HF-5 (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		7.9		59.6		166	
63-64	HF-6_63-64	HF-6 (10.5-11)	9/23/2009	10.5 - 11	Primary		9.8		97		627	
63-64	HF-7_63-64	HF-7 (16-16.5)	9/23/2009	16 - 16.5	Primary		7.5		1650		59.4	
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWA (2-2.5)	9/23/2009	2 - 2.5	Primary		16.5		150		2470	
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWB (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		30.3		75.5		1680	
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWC (11.5-12)	9/23/2009	11.5 - 12	Primary		12.7		79.8		1520	
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWD (18-18.5)	9/23/2009	18 - 18.5	Primary		ND		8		4.1	
63-64	LD-1_63-64	LD-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		8.9		96.4		967	
63-64	LD-1A_63-64	LD-1A (4.5-5)	9/23/2009	4.5 - 5	Primary		6		104		455	
63-64	LD-2_63-64	LD-2 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		5		138		415	
63-64	LD-3_63-64	LD-3 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		21.9		90.8		1610	
63-64	LD-4_63-64	LD-4 (2.5-3)	9/23/2009	2.5 - 3	Primary		10.1		114		494	
63-64	LD-5_63-64	LD-5 (10-10.5)	9/23/2009	10 - 10.5	Primary		42		162		4420	
63-64	STG-1_63-64	STG-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		2.4		20.2		99.6	
63-64	STG-2_63-64	STG-2 (10-10.5)	9/23/2009	10 - 10.5	Primary		40.1		330		3420	
63-64	STG-3_63-64	STG-3 (2.5-3)	9/23/2009	2.5 - 3	Primary		11.3		107		1510	
63-64	TRANS-1_63-64	TRANS-1 (0.5-1)	9/23/2009	0.5-1	Primary							
63-64	TRENCH-1_63-64	TRENCH-1 (5.5-6)	9/23/2009	5.5 - 6	Primary		7.7		77.6		522	
63-64	TT-1_63-64	TT-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		51.7		219	sociologica (3580	
63-64	TT-1_63-64	TT-1R (1.5-2)	9/28/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary							
63-64	UST-DG/HF-1_63-64	UST-DG/HF-1 (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		13.3		177	voice construction	657	encentration of
63-64	UST-DG/HF-1_63-64	UST-DG/HF-1R (6.5-7)	9/28/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary							

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.

PRG = Preliminary Remediation Goal

ND = Not detected and reporting detection limit is not available

Detected result exceeds ARAR or PRG Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR or PRG D - Result is from diluted sample

- J Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL K - Estimated, unspecified laboratory non-conformance

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit lotal PCBs are calculated as the sum of detected Aroclor concentration. If all the Aroclors are reported as nondetected, then the

highest reporting limit of the Aroclors is reported.

Not Analyzed

Only parameters that have a result greater than the selected ARAR/PRG and used in the soil and soil gas alternatives are listed

Page 2 of 6 Prepared by USEPA

									P	AHs	
							Benzo		Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	Dibenzo(a,h)
							anthra ARAR = 17		ARAR = 2 mg/kg		anthracene ARAR = 2 mg/kg
Lot	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent Sample ID	Result	Qual	Result Qual	0. 0	., .
1	BE-1_1	BE-1 (7.5-8)	9/14/2010 9/14/2010	7.5 - 8 4.5 - 5	Primary	***************************************	0.23 0.005		0.32 0.013	0.39 0.015	0.26 ND
1	BE-2_1 BE-3_1	BE-2 (4.5-5) BE-3 (7.5-8)	9/14/2010	7.5 - 8	Primary Primary		0.005		0.013	0.015	10000000000000000000000000000000000000
1	SB-3_1	SB-3 (2.5-3)	7/30/2008	2.5 - 3	Primary		0.442		0.282	0.767	0.147 J
58	S-1_58	S-1	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND		ND	ND	ND
58	S-10_58	S-10A	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		0.363		0.348	0.305	0.566
58 58	S-10_58 S-2_58	S-10B S-2	10/6/2009 10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND 0.0554		ND 0.0383	ND ND	ND ND
58	S-3_58	S-3	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary Primary		0.0334 ND		0.0383 ND	ND	ND
58	S-4_58	S-4A	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		0.437		0.406	0.431	0.0994
58	S-4_58	S-4B	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND		ND	ND	ND
58	S-5_58	S-5	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		0.0808		0.0981	0.132	0.0352
58	S-6_58	S-6A	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		0.187		0.173	0.163	ND
58 58	S-6_58 S-7_58	S-6B S-7	10/6/2009 10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet Less than 14 feet	Primary Primary		ND ND		ND ND	ND ND	ND ND
58	S-8_58	S-8	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		0.24		0.251	0.254	0.0351
58	S-9_58	S-9	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND		ND	ND	ND
60	SB-FILL-1_60	SB-FILL-1 (5.5-6)	11/13/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		30000000				
60	SB-FILL-2_60	SB-FILL-2 (5.5-6)	11/13/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		ND		ND	ND	ND
60	SB-FILL-3_60	SB-FILL-3 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary		20000000				
60 60	SB-FILL-4_60 SB-PAD-1_60	SB-FILL-4 (5.5-6) SB-PAD-1 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008 11/13/2008	5.5 - 6 6.5 - 7	Primary Primary						
60	SB-PAD-2_60	SB-PAD-2 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary		333333333				
60	SB-PAD-3_60	SB-PAD-3 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary						
60	SB-PAD-4_60	SB-PAD-4 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary						
62	SB-4_62	SB-4 (5.5-6)	5/14/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		ND		ND	ND	ND
62	SB-5_62	SB-5 (5.5-6)	5/14/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		0.297	J	ND	ND	ND
62 66	SB-6_62 SB-1_66	SB-6 (6.5-7) SB-1 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008 5/14/2008	6.5 - 7 4.5 - 5	Primary Primary		ND ND		ND ND	ND ND	ND ND
66	SB-2_66	SB-2 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008	4.5 - 5	Primary		ND.		ND	ND	ND
66	SB-3_66	SB-3 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008	4.5 - 5	Primary		0.543		0.58	0.442	0.155 J
68	B-54_68	B-54 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary		33333333				
68	B-54_68	B-54 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Duplicate	B-54 (1.5-2)					
68	B-54_68	B-54 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary						
68 68	B-54_68 B-54_68	B-54 (1-1.5) B-54 (5-5.5)	6/22/1994 5/1/1995	1 - 1.5 5 - 5.5	Primary Primary		353333333				
68	B-56_68	B-56 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary						
68	B-56_68	B-56 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary		353535355				
68	B-57_68	B-57 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	0.5 - 1	Duplicate	B-57 (0.5-1)					
68	B-57_68	B-57 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	0.5 - 1	Primary						
68 68	B-57_68 B-57_68	B-57 (1.5-2) B-57 (3.5-4)	5/1/1995 5/1/1995	1.5 - 2 3.5 - 4	Primary						
68	B-57_68	B-57 (5.5-4) B-57 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary Primary		20101010101 0000000000				
68	B-58_68	B-58 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary						
68	B-58_68	B-58 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary		300000000				
68	B-59_68	B-59 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary		200000000				
68	B-59_68	B-59 (1.5-2)	6/22/1994	1.5 - 2	Primary		30000000				
68 68	B-59_68 B-60_68	B-59 (5-5.5) B-60 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994 5/1/1995	5 - 5.5 0.5 - 1	Primary Primary						
68	B-60_68	B-60 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary						
68	B-60_68	B-60 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary		000000000				
68	B-61_68	B-61 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary						
68	B-61_68	B-61 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary		10000000000000000000000000000000000000				
68	B-62_68	B-62 (1.5-2)	6/22/1994	1.5 - 2	Primary						
68 68	B-62_68 B-62_68	B-62 (3-3.5) B-62 (5-5.5)	6/22/1994 6/22/1994	3 - 3.5 5 - 5.5	Primary Primary						
68	B-63_68	B-63 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary						
68	B-63_68	B-63 (1.5-2)	6/22/1994	1.5 - 2	Primary		1010101010				
68	B-63_68	B-63 (3-3.5)	6/22/1994	3 - 3.5	Primary		90000000				
68	B-63_68	B-63 (5-5.5)	6/22/1994	5 - 5.5	Primary						
68	B-64_68	B-64 (3.5-4)	5/1/1995	3.5 - 4	Duplicate	B-64 (3.5-4)	353535355				
68 68	B-64_68 B-65_68	B-64 (3.5-4) B-65 (3-3.5)	5/1/1995 6/22/1994	3.5 - 4 3 - 3.5	Primary Primary						
68	B-66_68	B-66 (2-2.5)	6/22/1994	2 - 2.5	Primary		33333				
68	GP-1_68	GP-1, S-1 (5-5.5)	1/12/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary		333333				
68	GP-1_68	GP-1, S-2 (7.5-8)	1/12/1995	7.5 - 8	Primary		000000000				
68	GP-1_68	GP-1, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Primary						
68	GP-2_68	GP-2, S-2 (7-7.5)	1/12/1995	7 - 7.5	Primary		300000000				
68 68	GP-2_68	GP-2, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12 5 5 - 6	Primary		90000000				
68	GP-5_68 GP-5_68	GP-5, S-1 (5.5-6) GP-5, S-2 (7-7.5)	1/12/1995 1/12/1995	5.5 - 6 7 - 7.5	Primary Primary						
68	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Duplicate	GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)	9888				
68	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Primary						
63-64	B-1_63-64	B1-1 (2.1-2.6)	5/26/2010	2.1 - 2.6	Primary		2.8		2.5	2.5	0.69
63-64	B-1_63-64	B1-2 (8.5-10)	5/26/2010	8.5 - 10	Primary						
63-64	B-10_63-64	B10-1 (6-7)	5/26/2010	6 - 7	Primary		3000000000				

Page 3 of 6 Prepared by USEPA

-					•		***************************************		**********	PA	Hs	
							Benzo(a anthracei ARAR = 17 m	ne Be	enzo(a)py RAR = 2 m		Benzo(b) fluoranthene ARAR = 17 mg/kg	Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene ; ARAR = 2 mg/kg
Lot	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent Sample ID						esult (mg/k; Qual
63-64	B-10_63-64	B10-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary		4.9 D	1	4.1 D		3.3	1
63-64	B-11_63-64	B11-1 (2-6)	5/26/2010	2 - 6	Primary							
63-64	B-12_63-64	B12-1 (2.1-2.8)	5/26/2010	2.1 - 2.8	Primary							
63-64	B-12_63-64	B12-2 (6.4-7.5)	5/26/2010	6.4 - 7.5	Primary		333333333					
63-64	B-2_63-64	B2-1 (7.5-10)	5/26/2010	7.5 - 10	Primary		0.59		0.56		0.75	0.19
63-64	B-2_63-64	B2-2 (10-12.5)	5/26/2010	10 - 12.5	Primary		0.06 J		0.051 J		0.061 J	
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-1 (5-7)	5/26/2010	5 - 7	Primary		88888888					
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-1 (5-7)	5/26/2010	5 - 7	Primary		0.35 J		0.34 J		0.42 J	
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-2 (7-9)	5/26/2010	7 - 9	Primary							
63-64	B-4_63-64	B4-1 (2.5-4)	5/26/2010	2.5 - 4	Primary		1.5		1.3		1.2	0.4
63-64	B-4_63-64	B4-1 (8-10)	5/26/2010	8 - 10	Primary		3636333333					
63-64	B-4_63-64	B4-2 (8-10)	5/26/2010	8 - 10	Primary		0.24 J		0.2 J		0.25 J	
63-64	B-5_63-64	B5-1 (1-4)	5/26/2010	1 - 4	Primary		0.14 J		0.2		0.2	0.09 J
63-64	B-5_63-64	B5-2 (9-11)	5/26/2010	9 - 11	Primary		3333333333					
63-64	B-6_63-64	B6-1 (1-2.5)	5/26/2010	1 - 2.5	Primary						0.43	***************************************
63-64	B-6_63-64	B6-2 (5-5)	5/26/2010	5 - 5	Primary							
63-64	B-7_63-64	B7-1 (8.5-9)	5/26/2010	8.5 - 9	Primary		0.36		0.34		0.25	0.11 J
63-64	B-7_63-64	B7-2 (10-10.5)	5/26/2010	10 - 10.5	Primary		0.2 J		0.16 J		0.18 J	0.059 J
63-64	B-8_63-64	88-1 (5-5.5)	5/26/2010	5 - 5.5	Primary		1.1		0.82 J		1.2	0.22 J
63-64	B-8 63-64	B8-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary		0.38		0.34		0.4	0.088 J
63-64	B-8 63-64	B8-2d (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Duplicate	B8-2 (10-11)	1.2		0.98		1.4	0.27
63-64	B-9 63-64	B9-1 (5-6)	5/26/2010	5 - 6	Primary	20 2 (20 22)	2200000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	44,000		********	
63-64	B-9_63-64	B9-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary		1.4		1.5		1.2	0.38
63-64	HF-2_63-64	HF-2 (11-12.5)	9/23/2009	11 - 12.5	Primary		0.0585		0.0518 J		0.0378 J	ND
63-64	HF-2_63-64	HF-2R (11-12.5)	9/28/2009	11 - 12.5	Primary		4000000000	400000000	2000	000000		200000000000000000000000000000000000000
63-64	HF-3 63-64	HF-3 (7-7.5)	9/23/2009	7 - 7.5	Primary		ND	*********	ND		ND	ND
63-64	HF-3_63-64	HF-3R (7-7.5)	9/28/2009	7 - 7.5	Primary		333333333	909090999	2000000	0.000.000		*********
63-64	HF-4_63-64	HF-4 (11-11.5)	9/23/2009	11 - 11.5	Primary		0.086	**********	ND	000000	ND	0.0245 J
63-64	HF-5_63-64	HF-5 (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		ND		ND		ND	ND
63-64	HF-6 63-64	HF-6 (10.5-11)	9/23/2009	10.5 - 11	Primary		0.0198 J		ND		ND	ND
63-64	HF-7 63-64	HF-7 (16-16.5)	9/23/2009	16 - 16.5	Primary		0.152		0.136		0.0914	0.0428
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWA (2-2.5)	9/23/2009	2 - 2.5	Primary		0.728		0.513		0.694	0.176
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWB (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		0.728 ND		ND		ND	ND
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWC (11.5-12)	9/23/2009	11.5 - 12	Primary		ND ND		ND		ND	ND
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWD (18-18.5)	9/23/2009	18 - 18.5	,		ND		ND		ND	ND
63-64	LD-1 63-64	LD-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		0.577		0.68		0.595	0.187
63-64	LD-1_63-64 LD-1A 63-64	, ,	9/23/2009	4.5 - 5	Primary		0.577		0.874		0.844	0.247
	-	LD-1A (4.5-5)			Primary							
63-64	LD-2_63-64	LD-2 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		0.1		0.115		0.132	0.0415
63-64	LD-3_63-64	LD-3 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		0.462		0.4		0.419	0.142
63-64	LD-4_63-64	LD-4 (2.5-3)	9/23/2009	2.5 - 3	Primary		1.15		1.08		1.08	0.32
63-64	LD-5_63-64	LD-5 (10-10.5)	9/23/2009	10 - 10.5	Primary		0.624		0.514		0.594	0.113
63-64	STG-1_63-64	STG-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		0.019 J		0.0154 J		0.0168 J	ND
63-64	STG-2_63-64	STG-2 (10-10.5)	9/23/2009	10 - 10,5	Primary		0.0588		0.0395 J		0.0612	ND
63-64	STG-3_63-64	STG-3 (2.5-3)	9/23/2009	2.5 - 3	Primary		0.0349 J		0.0443		0.0573	ND
63-64	TRANS-1_63-64	TRANS-1 (0.5-1)	9/23/2009	0.5-1	Primary							
63-64	TRENCH-1_63-64	TRENCH-1 (5.5-6)	9/23/2009	5.5 - 6	Primary		1.8		1.54		1.19	0.293
63-64	TT-1_63-64	TT-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		0.0561		0.0629		0.0612	0.0231 J
63-64	TT-1_63-64	TT-1R (1.5-2)	9/28/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary							
63-64	UST-DG/HF-1_63-64	UST-DG/HF-1 (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		0.312		0.263		0.253	0.0739
63-64	UST-DG/HF-1_63-64	UST-DG/HF-1R (6.5-7)	9/28/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		3333333					

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.

PRG = Preliminary Remediation Goal

ND = Not detected and reporting detection limit is not available

Detected result exceeds ARAR or PRG
Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR or PRG
D - Result is from diluted sample

- J Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL K - Estimated, unspecified laboratory non-conformance

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit lotal PCBs are calculated as the sum of detected Arocior concentration. If all the Arociors are reported as nondetected, then the

highest reporting limit of the Aroclors is reported.

Wox Analyzed:
Only parameters that have a result greater than the selected ARAR/PRG and used in the soil and soil gas alternatives are listed

Page 4 of 6 Prepared by USEPA

							Total PCBs		VOCs	
							Total PCBs	Benzene	Naphthalene	Vinyl Chloride
.ot	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent Sample ID	ARAR = 1 mg/kg esult (mg/k; Qual	ARAR = 5 mg/kg esult (mg/k; Qual	ARAR = 17 mg/kg esult (mg/k; Qual	ARAR = 2 mg/k; esult (mg/k; Qua
	BE-1_1 BE-2_1	BE-1 (7.5-8) BE-2 (4.5-5)	9/14/2010 9/14/2010	7.5 - 8 4.5 - 5	Primary Primary	***************************************			0.86 0.012	
	BE-3_1	BE-3 (7.5-8)	9/14/2010	7.5 - 8	Primary					
	SB-3_1	SB-3 (2.5-3)	7/30/2008	2.5 - 3	Primary				1.44	
8	S-1_58	S-1	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND		0.038	
8	S-10_58	S-10A	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND		ND ND	
8 8	S-10_58 S-2_58	S-10B S-2	10/6/2009 10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet Less than 14 feet	Primary Primary		ND ND		ND 5.18	
8	S-3_58	S-3	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND		. ND	
8	S-4_58	S-4A	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		0.4957		0.548	
8	S-4_58	S-4B	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND		ND	
8	S-5_58	S-5	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND		ND	
8 8	S-6_58	S-6A	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND ND		ND ND	
8 8	S-6_58 S-7_58	S-6B S-7	10/6/2009 10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet Less than 14 feet	Primary Primary		ND		ND ND	
8	S-8_58	S-8	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND		. ND	
8	S-9_58	S-9	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND		. ND	
0	SB-FILL-1_60	SB-FILL-1 (5.5-6)	11/13/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		100000000000000000000000000000000000000			
)	SB-FILL-2_60	SB-FILL-2 (5.5-6)	11/13/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		ND	ND	ND	ND
0	SB-FILL-3_60	SB-FILL-3 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary					
)	SB-FILL-4_60 SB-PAD-1_60	SB-FILL-4 (5.5-6) SB-PAD-1 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008 11/13/2008	5.5 - 6 6.5 - 7	Primary Primary			ND		::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
0	SB-PAD-2_60	SB-PAD-1 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary			ND ND		. ND
0	SB-PAD-3_60	SB-PAD-3 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary					ND
0	SB-PAD-4_60	SB-PAD-4 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary		60000000000000000	ND		ND
2	SB-4_62	SB-4 (5.5-6)	5/14/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		0.501		9	
2	SB-5_62	SB-5 (5.5-6)	5/14/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		ND		1.97	
2	SB-6_62	SB-6 (6.5-7)	5/14/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary		0.154	ND	1.17	ND
5	SB-1_66 SB-2_66	SB-1 (4.5-5) SB-2 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008 5/14/2008	4.5 - 5 4.5 - 5	Primary Primary		ND ND		ND ND	
5	SB-3_66	SB-3 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008	4.5 - 5	Primary		0.045	ND	: ND	ND
3	B-54_68	B-54 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary		************			
3	B-54_68	B-54 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Duplicate	B-54 (1.5-2)	000000000000000			ND
3	B-54_68	B-54 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary					ND ND
8	B-54_68	B-54 (1-1.5)	6/22/1994	1 - 1.5	Primary					
3	B-54_68	8-54 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary					ND ND
8 8	B-56_68 B-56_68	B-56 (1.5-2) B-56 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995 5/1/1995	1.5 - 2 5 - 5.5	Primary Primary					ND ND
8	B-50_68	B-57 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	0.5 - 1	Duplicate	B-57 (0.5-1)				. ND
8	B-57_68	B-57 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	0.5 - 1	Primary	()				
8	B-57_68	B-57 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary		000000000000000000000000000000000000000			ND
8	B-57_68	B-57 (3.5-4)	5/1/1995	3.5 - 4	Primary		- 20002222222			
8	B-57_68	B-57 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary					ND
8	B-58_68	B-58 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		: ND : ND
3 3	B-58_68 B-59_68	B-58 (5-5.5) B-59 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995 6/22/1994	5 - 5.5 0.5 - 1	Primary Primary					
8	B-59_68	B-59 (1.5-2)	6/22/1994	1.5 - 2	Primary					ND
3	B-59_68	B-59 (5-5.5)	6/22/1994	5 - 5.5	Primary					ND
3	B-60_68	B-60 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	0.5 - 1	Primary		000000000000000000000000000000000000000			
3	B-60_68	B-60 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary					ND ND
3	B-60_68	B-60 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary					ND
3 3	B-61_68 B-61_68	B-61 (1.5-2) B-61 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995 5/1/1995	1.5 - 2 5 - 5.5	Primary Primary					: ND : ND
3	B-61_68 B-62_68	B-61 (5-5.5) B-62 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995 6/22/1994	5 - 5.5 1.5 - 2	Primary					ND ND
3	B-62_68	B-62 (3-3.5)	6/22/1994	3 - 3.5	Primary		22222222222222222222222222222222222222			
3	B-62_68	B-62 (5-5.5)	6/22/1994	5 - 5.5	Primary					ND
3	B-63_68	B-63 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary					
3	B-63_68	B-63 (1.5-2)	6/22/1994	1.5 - 2	Primary					ND
3	B-63_68	B-63 (3-3.5)	6/22/1994	3 - 3.5	Primary					
3	B-63_68 B-64_68	8-63 (5-5.5) 8-64 (3.5-4)	6/22/1994 5/1/1995	5 - 5.5 3.5 - 4	Primary Duplicate	B-64 (3.5-4)		i in ND		ND ND
3	B-64_68	B-64 (3.5-4)	5/1/1995	3.5 - 4	Primary	U-0-(3.3-4)		ND ND		ND ND
3	B-65_68	B-65 (3-3.5)	6/22/1994	3 - 3.5	Primary			ND ND		. ND
3	B-66_68	B-66 (2-2.5)	6/22/1994	2 - 2.5	Primary			ND		ND
3	GP-1_68	GP-1, S-1 (5-5.5)	1/12/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary			0.82 U		0.82 U
3	GP-1_68	GP-1, S-2 (7.5-8)	1/12/1995	7.5 - 8	Primary			0.14 U		0.14 U
3	GP-1_68	GP-1, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Primary			0.0076 U		0.0076 U
3	GP-2_68 GP-2_68	GP-2, S-2 (7-7.5) GP-2, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995 1/12/1995	7 - 7.5 11.5 - 12	Primary Primary			0.0058 U 0.0015 U		0.0058 U 0.027
3	GP-2_68 GP-5_68	GP-2, 5-4 (11.5-12) GP-5, S-1 (5.5-6)	1/12/1995	5.5 - 6	Primary			0.0015 U		0.027
3	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-2 (7-7.5)	1/12/1995	7 - 7.5	Primary			0.2 U		0.2 U
3	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Duplicate	GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)		0.0058 U		0.0058 U
3	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Primary			0.0058 U		0.0058 U
3-64	B-1_63-64	B1-1 (2.1-2.6)	5/26/2010	2.1 - 2.6	Primary				0.16 J	
3-64	B-1_63-64	B1-2 (8.5-10)	5/26/2010	8.5 - 10	Primary					

Page 5 of 6 Prepared by USEPA

					•••••		Total PCBs		VOCs	
							Total PCBs	Benzene	Naphthalene	Vinyl Chloride
Lot	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent Sample ID	ARAR = 1 mg/kg esult (mg/k; Qual	ARAR = 5 mg/kg esult (mg/k; Qual	ARAR = 17 mg/kg esult (mg/k; Qual	ARAR = 2 mg/kg esult (mg/k; Qual
63-64	B-10_63-64	B10-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary				0.96	
63-64	B-11_63-64	B11-1 (2-6)	5/26/2010	2 - 6	Primary		366666666666666666666666666666666666666			
63-64	B-12_63-64	B12-1 (2.1-2.8)	5/26/2010	2.1 - 2.8	Primary					
63-64	B-12_63-64	B12-2 (6.4-7.5)	5/26/2010	6.4 - 7.5	Primary		200000000000000000000000000000000000000			
63-64	B-2_63-64	B2-1 (7.5-10)	5/26/2010	7.5 - 10	Primary					
63-64	B-2_63-64	B2-2 (10-12.5)	5/26/2010	10 - 12.5	Primary					
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-1 (5-7)	5/26/2010	5 - 7	Primary		300000000000000000000000000000000000000			
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-1 (5-7)	5/26/2010	5 - 7	Primary				1.4	
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-2 (7-9)	5/26/2010	7 - 9	Primary					
63-64	B-4_63-64	B4-1 (2.5-4)	5/26/2010	2.5 - 4	Primary			0.31	0.31	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
63-64	B-4_63-64	B4-1 (8-10)	5/26/2010	8 - 10	Primary					
63-64	B-4_63-64	B4-2 (8-10)	5/26/2010	8 - 10	Primary					
63-64	B-5_63-64	B5-1 (1-4)	5/26/2010	1 - 4	Primary		200000000000000000000000000000000000000			
63-64	B-5_63-64	B5-2 (9-11)	5/26/2010	9 - 11	Primary			0.38 J		
63-64	B-6_63-64	86-1 (1-2.5)	5/26/2010	1 - 2.5	Primary		383333333333		1.1	
63-64	B-6_63-64	B6-2 (5-5)	5/26/2010	5 - 5	Primary					
63-64	B-7_63-64	B7-1 (8.5-9)	5/26/2010	8.5 - 9	Primary			0.38 J	0.093 J	
63-64	B-7_63-64	B7-2 (10-10.5)	5/26/2010	10 - 10.5	Primary			1.7	0.44	
63-64	B-8_63-64	B8-1 (5-5.5)	5/26/2010	5 - 5.5	Primary			0.59		
63-64	B-8_63-64	88-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary			2.9	0.084 J	·····
63-64	B-8_63-64	B8-2d (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Duplicate	B8-2 (10-11)			0.15 J	
63-64	B-9_63-64	B9-1 (5-6)	5/26/2010	5 - 6	Primary		000000000000000000000000000000000000000			
63-64	B-9_63-64	B9-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary				0.21 J	
63-64	HF-2_63-64	HF-2 (11-12.5)	9/23/2009	11 - 12.5	Primary		ND	ND	ND	ND
63-64	HF-2_63-64	HF-2R (11-12.5)	9/28/2009	11 - 12.5	Primary			3.32	************	: ND
63-64	HF-3_63-64	HF-3 (7-7.5)	9/23/2009	7 - 7.5	Primary		ND	ND	ND	ND
63-64	HF-3 63-64	HF-3R (7-7.5)	9/28/2009	7 - 7.5	Primary		33333333333333	: ND	3663346633666	: ND
63-64	HF-4_63-64	HF-4 (11-11.5)	9/23/2009	11 - 11.5	Primary		ND	0.00053 J	ND	ND
63-64	HF-5_63-64	HF-5 (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		ND	ND	ND	ND
63-64	HF-6_63-64	HF-6 (10.5-11)	9/23/2009	10.5 - 11	Primary		ND	ND	ND	ND
63-64	HF-7_63-64	HF-7 (16-16.5)	9/23/2009	16 - 16.5	Primary		ND	ND	ND	ND
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWA (2-2.5)	9/23/2009	2 - 2.5	Primary		ND	0.0021	0.694	ND
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWB (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		0.518	3.71	ND	ND
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWC (11.5-12)	9/23/2009	11.5 - 12	Primary		0.171	0.378	ND	ND
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWD (18-18.5)	9/23/2009	18 - 18.5	Primary		ND	ND	ND	ND
63-64	LD-1 63-64	LD-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		ND	0.0017	ND	ND
63-64	LD-1A_63-64	LD-1A (4.5-5)	9/23/2009	4.5 - 5	Primary		1.7	0.0062	0.06	ND
63-64	LD-2_63-64	LD-2 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		0.1546	ND	0.0508	ND
63-64	LD-3_63-64	LD-3 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		0.506	0.0015 J	0.353	ND
63-64	LD-4 63-64	LD-4 (2.5-3)	9/23/2009	2.5 - 3	Primary		0.2478	0.0016	0.215	ND
63-64	LD-5 63-64	LD-5 (10-10.5)	9/23/2009	10 - 10.5	Primary		0.1	2.7	0.342	ND
63-64	STG-1_63-64	STG-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		ND	ND	ND	ND
63-64	STG-2_63-64	STG-2 (10-10.5)	9/23/2009	10 - 10.5	Primary		0.3	3.9	0.413	ND
63-64	STG-3 63-64	STG-3 (2.5-3)	9/23/2009	2.5 - 3	Primary		0.3	0.00066 J	0.072	ND
63-64	TRANS-1_63-64	TRANS-1 (0.5-1)	9/23/2009	0.5-1	Primary		ND	0.00000 7	40000000000000	200000000000000000000000000000000000000
63-64	TRENCH-1_63-64	TRENCH-1 (5.5-6)	9/23/2009	5.5 - 6	Primary		0.4	0.0562 J	0.432	ND
63-64	TT-1_63-64	TT-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		ND	0.181	0.0324 J	ND
63-64	TT-1_63-64	TT-1R (1.5-2)	9/28/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		300000000000000000000000000000000000000	: ND	3,0324 3	: ND
63-64	UST-DG/HF-1 63-64	UST-DG/HF-1 (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		ND	3.06 J	ND	. ND
63-64	UST-DG/HF-1_63-64	UST-DG/HF-1R (6.5-7)	9/28/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary				200000000000000000000000000000000000000	ND ND
33-04	001-00/111-1_00-04	001 DO/111-IN (0:0-7)	3,20,2003	0,3 - 7	i initially		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	140	4444949494949494	. 140

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.

PRG = Preliminary Remediation Goal

ND = Not detected and reporting detection limit is not available

Detected result exceeds ARAR or PRG
Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR or PRG
D - Result is from diluted sample

- J Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL
- K Estimated, unspecified laboratory non-conformance

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit Total PCBs are calculated as the sum of detected Aroclor concentration. If all the Aroclors are reported as nondetected, then the

highest reporting limit of the Aroclors is reported.

Wox Analyzed:
Only parameters that have a result greater than the selected ARAR/PRG and used in the soil and soil gas alternatives are listed

Page 6 of 6 Prepared by USEPA

								VOCs	
							Naphthalene	Trichloroethylene	Total Xylenes
Lot ID	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent sample ID	PRG = 0.62 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	PRG = 0.02 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	PRG = 6.5 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)
1	B-3	B-3(1-2)_102517	10/25/2017	1 - 2	Primary		5,3	0.36 U	3.35
1	в-з В-3	B-3(2-3)_102517	10/25/2017	2-3	Primary		9,3 0.58	0.005 U	0.056
1	B-4	B-4(0-1)_100617	10/6/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.93 U	0.29 U	0.29 U
1	B-4	B-4(1-3)_100617	10/6/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.24 J	0.0055 UJ	0.015 J+
1	B-5	B-5(0.5-1.5)_101317	10/13/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.19 U	0.0047 U	0.0047 U
1	B-5	B-5(5-6.5)_101317	10/13/2017	5 - 6.5	Primary		0.18 U	0.0048 U	0.0048 U
1	B-12	B-12(0-1)_101317	10/13/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.15 J	0.42 U	25.1
1	B-12	B-12(1-3)_101317	10/13/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.097 J	0.52 U	24.8
1	B-79	B-79(1-2)_102617	10/26/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.27	0.0061 U	1.34 J+
1	B-79	B-79(5-6)_102617	10/26/2017	5 - 6	Primary		0.19 U	0.23 U	0.13 J
1	B-96 B-96	B-96(1-2)_121518 B-96(2-3.5)_121518	12/15/2018 12/15/2018	1 - 2 2 - 3.5	Primary Primary		0.2 U 0.0036 U	0.0044 U 0.0044 U	0.0044 U 0.0044 U
1	B-97	B-97(11-13)_121518	12/15/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.0030 U	0.0044 U	0.0044 U
1	B-97	B-97(1-2)_121518	12/15/2018	1-13	Primary		0.0093	0.0048 U	0.006 J
1	B-97	DUP-4_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 2	Duplicate	B-97(1-2) 121518	0.2 U	0.0042 U	0.0335 J
1	B-97	B-97(2-3.8)_121518	12/15/2018	2 - 3.8	Primary	, -,	0.2 U	0.0038 U	0.0038 U
1	B-98	B-98(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.14 J	NR	NR
1	B-98	B-98(1-2.9)_121418	12/14/2018	1 - 2.9	Primary		0.17 J	0.0038 U	0.0038 U
57	B-6	B-6(3.5-4.5)_101717	10/17/2017	3.5 - 4.5	Primary		0.19 U	0.0059 U	0.0059 U
57	B-6	B-6(5-5.5)_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		7.4	0.0052 U	0.0052 U
57	B-7	B-7(0.5-1.5)_101017	10/10/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.19 U	0.28 U	3.46
57	B-7	B-7(5-6)_101017	10/10/2017	5 - 6	Primary		0.19 U	0.0042 U	0.0067
57	B-8	B-8(1.5-2.5)_101017	10/10/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		0.19 U	0.0044 U	0.0044 U
57	B-8	B-8(5-6.25)_101017	10/10/2017	5 - 6.25	Primary		0.19 U	0.25 U	0.25 U
57	B-9	B-9(0-1)_101617	10/16/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.49 J	0.0056 UJ	0.0056 UJ
57 57	B-9 B-10	B-9(5-6)_101617	10/16/2017	5 - 6 3 - 5	Primary		0.22 U 0.22 U	0.0059 U 0.0054 U	0.017 0.0054 U
57	B-71	B_10(3_5)_101617 B-71(3-5)_101617	10/16/2017 10/16/2017	3-5	Primary Primary		0.2 U	0.0034 U	0.0034 U
58	B-71	B-13(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0-1	Primary		0.2 U	0.04	0.174
58	B-13	B-13(1-3)_101117	10/11/2017	1-3	Primary		0.33	0.28 U	124
58	B-14	B-14(0-1)_101717	10/17/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.051 J	0.0052 U	0.0052 U
58	B-14	B-14(7-7.5)_101717	10/17/2017	7 - 7.5	Primary		0.18 U	0.0048 U	0.0048 U
58	B-15	B-15(0.25-1.25)_101717	10/17/2017	0.25 - 1.25	Primary		0.19 U	0.0058 U	0.0058 U
58	B-15	B-15(5-6)_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 6	Primary		0.19 U	0.0047 U	0.0047 U
58	B-16	B-16(0-1)_101217	10/12/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.29	2	11.1
58	B-16	B-16(7-7.75)_101217	10/12/2017	7 - 7.75	Primary		2.1	0.25 U	2.22 J
58	B-17	B-17(0.25-1.25)_101717	10/17/2017	0.25 - 1.25	Primary		1.8 U	0.0051 U	0.0051 U
58	B-17	B-17(5-6.5)_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 6.5	Primary	D 47/F C F) 404747	0.2 U	0.0046 U	0.0046 U
58	B-17	DUP-6_101717	10/17/2017	5 - 6.5	Duplicate	B-17(5-6.5)_101717	0.2 U	0.0054 U	0.0073
59 59	B-18 B-18	B-18(0-1)_101217	10/12/2017	0 - 1 5 - 6	Primary Primary		0.18 U 0.2 U	0.0053 U 0.0046 U	0.0053 U 0.0046 U
59	B-99	B-18(5-6)_101217 B-99(0-1)_121518	10/12/2017 12/15/2018	0-1	Primary		0.031 J	0.0054 UJ	0.0040 U
59	B-99	B-99(11-13)_121518	12/15/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.0041 U	0.0046 U	0.0046 U
59	B-99	B-99(1-2.9)_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 2.9	Primary		0.22 U	0.0068 U	0.0068 U
59	B-103	B-103(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.071 J	0.0045 U	0.0045 U
59	B-103	B-103(5-7)_121418	12/14/2018	5 - 7	Primary		0.0039 U	0.0052 U	0.0052 U
59	B-104	B-104(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.014 J	0.0046 U	0.00092 J
59	B-104	B-104(7-8.7)_121418	12/14/2018	7 - 8.7	Primary		0.0028 J	0.0046 U	0.0046 U
59	B-104	DUP-3_121418	12/14/2018	7 - 8.7	Duplicate	B-104(7-8.7)_121418	0.0021 J	0.0052 U	0.0052 U
60	B-20	B-20(3.5-4)_101817	10/18/2017	3.5 - 4	Primary		0.21 U	0.0051 U	0.0051 U
60	B-20	B-20(5-6)_101817	10/18/2017	5 - 6	Primary		0.2 U	0.012 J+	0.0055 UJ
60	B-22	B-22(0-1)_100617	10/6/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.088 J	0.0048 U	0.0048 U
60	B-22	B-22(1-3)_100617	10/6/2017	1-3	Primary		0.18 U	0.0044 U	0.0044 U
60 60	B-23 B-23	B-23(0-1)_100517 B-23(1-3)_100517	10/5/2017	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		0.18 U 0.19 U	0.0068 0.7	0.0045 U 0.33 U
60	B-23 B-95	B-95(0-1)_121318	10/5/2017 12/13/2018	0-1	Primary		0.19 0	0.7 0.25 U	0.0346 J+
60	B-95	B-95(0-1)_121318 B-95(11-13)_121318	12/13/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.0022 J	0.23 U 0.0072 U	0.0072 U
60	B-95	B-95(1-2.8)_121318	12/13/2018	1 - 2.8	Primary		0.011 J	0.0047 UJ	0.0118 J
60	B-105	B-105(0.7-1.7)_121518	12/15/2018	0.7 - 1.7	Primary		0.021 J	0.0093 J+	0.0068 UJ
60	B-105	B-105(1.7-3.2)_121518	12/15/2018	1.7 - 3.2	Primary		0.077 U	0.0044 U	0.0044 U
61	B-24	B-24(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.044 J	NR	NR
61	B-24	B-24(1.5-3.5)_100517	10/5/2017	1.5 - 3.5	Primary		0.79 J	0.0055 U	0.0078 J+
61	B-24	DUP-3_100517	10/5/2017	1.5 - 3.5	Duplicate	B-24(1.5-3.5)_100517	0.85 J	0.0058 U	0.0081 J+
61	B-25	B-25(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.36 U	0.0052 U	0.0052 U
61	B-25	B-25(5-5.5)_100517	10/5/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		0.37 U	0.31 U	0.31 U
61	B-26	B-26(0.5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.2 U	0.21 J+	0.0097 J+
61	B-26	B-26(5-5.8)_100517	10/5/2017	5 - 5.8	Primary		0.12 J	1.6	0.67
61	B-27	B-27(0,5-1.5)_100517	10/5/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.049 J	0.0054 U	0.0076 J+
	B-27	B-27(5-5.5)_100517	10/5/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		0.091 J	0.0055 U	0.0055 U
61 61	B-68	B-68(1-2)_102417	10/24/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.22 U	0.024	0.0077 U

Page 1 of 4 Prepared by USEPA

								VOCs	
							Naphthalene	Trichloroethylene	Total Xylenes
Lot ID	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent sample ID	PRG = 0.62 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	PRG = 0.02 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	PRG = 6.5 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)
62	B-28	B-28(0.5-1.5) 100917	10/9/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.95 U	0.006 U	0.006 U
62	B-28	B-28(0.5-1.3)_100917 B-28(1.5-2.75) 100917	10/9/2017	1.5 - 2.75	Primary		0.11 J	0.007 U	0.007 U
62	B-93	B-93(1-2)_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 2	Primary		68	NR	NR
62	B-93	B-93(2-3)_121518	12/15/2018	2 - 3	Primary		53	0.55 U	0.55 U
63	B-29	B-29(0-1)_092917	9/29/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.058 J	0.0054 U	0.0054 U
63	B-29	B-29(1-3)_092917	9/29/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.059 J	0.0056 U	0.0091
63	B-30	B-30(0-1)_100417	10/4/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.3 J	0.0078 U	0.0078 U
63	B-30	B-30(3-3.8)_100417	10/4/2017	3 - 3.8	Primary		0.4	0.0072 U	0.022
63	B-31	B-31(1-2)_101817	10/18/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.28	0.0065 U	0.0065 U
63	B-31	B-31(5-5.5)_101817	10/18/2017	5 - 5.5 1 - 2	Primary		0.33 0.094 J	0.0071 U	0.012
63 63	B-32 B-32	B-32(1-2)_100417 B-32(2-4)_100417	10/4/2017 10/4/2017	2 - 4	Primary Primary		0.34	0.0072 UJ 0.0066 UJ	0.0072 UJ 0.0066 UJ
63	B-32	B-33(0.5-1.5)_100417	10/4/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.063 J	0.037	0.13 J
63	B-33	B-33(3.5-4.5)_100417	10/4/2017	3.5 - 4.5	Primary		0.085 J	0.0058 U	0.015 J+
63	B-85	B-85(0-0.5)_121218	12/12/2018	0 - 0.5	Primary		0.0092 J	0.006 U	0.006 U
63	B-85	B-85(2.5-3.5)_121218	12/12/2018	2.5 - 3.5	Primary		0.27 J	0.42 U	0.42 U
63	B-87	B-87(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.17	0.0018 J	0.0071 J
63	B-87	B-87(1-3)_121318	12/13/2018	1 - 3	Primary		0.14	0.0063 J	0.0028 J
63	B-87	DUP-2_121318	12/13/2018	1 - 3	Duplicate	B-87(1-3)_121318	0.15	0.14 J	0.22 J
64	B-34	B-34(0-1)_100617	10/6/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.3	0.0054 U	8.96
64	B-34	B-34(5-5.5)_100617	10/6/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		1.1	2.5 U	92.7
64 64	B-35 B-35	B-35(1-2)_100417	10/4/2017 10/4/2017	1-2	Primary		0.19 2.5	0.036 0.44 U	4.76 J 54.7
64	B-35 B-36	B-35(2-3.8)_100417 B-36(0-1)_100417	10/4/2017	2 - 3.8 0 - 1	Primary Primary		2.3 0.2	0.0043 U	0.0043 U
64	B-36	B-36(3-3.7)_100417	10/4/2017	3 - 3.7	Primary		0.65	0.0043 C	0.0043 U
64	B-37	B-37(0-1)_100417	10/4/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.18 U	0.005 UJ	0.0243 J+
64	B-37	B-37(1-3)_100417	10/4/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.93 U	0.0049 UJ	0.0049 UJ
64	B-38	B-38(0-1)_100917	10/9/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.95 U	0.28 U	1.1 J
64	B-38	DUP-4_101017	10/9/2017	0 - 1	Duplicate	B-38(0-1)_100917	0.19 U	0.26 U	2.6 U
64	B-38	B-38(1-3)_100917	10/9/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.21 U	0.005 U	0.01
64	B-38	B-38(FILL)_100917	10/9/2017	-3 - 0	Primary		0.22 J	0.0054 U	0.0054 U
64	B-41	B-41(0-1)_092617	9/26/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.93 U	0.0052 U	0.0052 U
64	B-41	B-41(5-7)_092717	9/27/2017	5 - 7	Primary		0.19 U	0.0042 U	0.0042 U
64 64	B-42	B-42(0.3-1.3)_100217	10/2/2017	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		0.94 U	0.0053 U	0.0053 U
64	B-42 B-69	B-42(7-9)_100217 B-69(0-1)_092717	10/2/2017 9/27/2017	7 - 9 0 - 1	Primary Primary		0.054 J 0.18 U	0.0075 U 0.0043 U	0.0075 U 0.0043 U
64	B-69	B-69(1-3)_092717	9/27/2017	1-3	Primary		0.053 J	0.0043 U	0.0025 J
64	B-70	B-70(0-1)_092717	9/27/2017	0-1	Primary		0.18 U	0.005 U	0.005 U
64	B-70	B-70(5-7)_092717	9/27/2017	5 - 7	Primary		1 U	0.0051 U	0.0094 J
64	B-74	B-74(0-1)_100417	10/4/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.86 U	0.004 U	0.0151
64	B-74	B-74(3-4)_100417	10/4/2017	3 - 4	Primary		0.2 U	0.39 U	16.6
64	B-75	B-75(0-1)_092917	9/29/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.18 U	0.0045 U	0.0045 U
64	B-75	B-75(1-3)_092917	9/29/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.21 U	0.48 U	0.48 U
64	B-86	B-86(0.3-1.3)_121118	12/11/2018	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		1.1	0.0052 UJ	0.0052 UJ
64	B-86	B-86(1.3-3,3)_121118	12/11/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Primary		0.35 J	0.0064 UJ	0.0064 UJ
64 64	B-88	B-88(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.19	0.0011 J	0.0382 J
64 64	B-88 B-88	B-88(11-13)_121318 B-88(1-3)_121318	12/13/2018 12/13/2018	11 - 13 1 - 3	Primary Primary		0.064 J 0.11	0.0079 UJ 0.3 UJ	0.0079 UJ 0.18 J
64	B-89	B-89(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018	0-1	Primary		0.11 0.011 J	0.00073 J	0.0014 J
64	B-89	B-89(1-3)_121318	12/13/2018	1-3	Primary		0.41	0.34 U	0.34 U
64	B-90	B-90(0-1)_121218	12/12/2018	0-1	Primary		0.017 J	0.0049 U	0.0049 U
64	B-90	B-90(11-13)_121218	12/12/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.069	0.53 U	0.48 J
64	B-90	B-90(1-2.2)_121218	12/12/2018	1.2 - 2	Primary		0.039 J	0.0061 U	0.0051 J
64	B-91	B-91(0-1)_121518	12/15/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.058 J	0.0046 U	0.00066 J
64	B-91	B-91(11-13)_121518	12/15/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.019	0.0058 U	0.0011 J
64	B-91	B-91(1-3)_121518	12/15/2018	1 - 3	Primary		0.27 J	0.0012 J	0.0029 J
64	B-92	B-92(0-1)_121218	12/12/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.019 J	0.0048 UJ	0.0012 J
64	B-92	B-92(1-2.5)_121218	12/12/2018	1 - 2.5	Primary		0.044 J	0.0023 J	0.0048 UJ
64	B-94	B-94(0-1)_121318	12/13/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.18 U	0.0045 U	0.0045 U
64 65	B-94 B-39	B-94(1-3)_121318 B-39(0.5-1.5)_100317	12/13/2018	1 - 3 0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.11 0.2 U	0.48 U 0.0049 U	6.5 0.0049 U
65	B-39	B-39(0.5-1.5)_100317 B-39(5-7)_100317	10/3/2017 10/3/2017	0.5 - 1.5 5 - 7	Primary Primary		0.2 U	0.0049 0	0.0049 U
65	B-39 B-40	B-40(0-1)_092617	9/26/2017	0-1	Primary		0.2 U	0.0076 0.0065 U	0.0058 U
65	B-40	B-40(5-7)_092717	9/27/2017	5 - 7	Primary		0.22 U	0.0061 U	0.0061 U
65	B-43	B-43(0-1)_092617	9/26/2017	0-1	Primary		0.061 J	0.0039 U	0.0039 U
65	B-43	B-43(5-7)_092617	9/26/2017	5 - 7	Primary		0.73	0.0047 U	0.0042 J
65	B-76	B-76(0-1)_102317	10/23/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.068 J	0.0063 U	0.0063 U
65	B-76	B-76(1-3)_102317	10/23/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0.19 U	0.0052 U	0.0052 U
cr	B-76	DUP-7_102317	10/23/2017	1 - 3	Duplicate	B-76(1-3)_102317	0.076 J	0.0063 U	0.0063 U
65									
66 66	B-44 B-44	B-44(0-1)_100217 B-44(5-5.5)_100217	10/2/2017 10/2/2017	0 - 1 5 - 5.5	Primary Primary		0.94 U 1.5	0.0047 U 0.0054 U	0.0047 U 1.63 J

Page 2 of 4 Prepared by USEPA

								VOCs	
							Naphthalene	Trichloroethylene	Total Xylenes
Lot ID	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent sample ID	PRG = 0.62 mg/kg Result Qual {mg/kg}	PRG = 0.02 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)	PRG = 6.5 mg/kg Result Qual (mg/kg)
66	8-78	B-78(0.5-1.5)_102517	10/25/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.2 U	0.0047 U	0.0047 U
66	B-78	B-78(5-7)_102517	10/25/2017	5 - 7	Primary		0.32 J	0.007 U	0.007 U
66	B-84	B-84(11-13)_121218	12/12/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.04 J	0.0047 U	0.0047 U
66	B-84	B-84(1-2)_121218	12/12/2018	1 - 2	Primary		0.011 J	0.005 UJ	0.005 UJ
66	B-84	B-84(2-4)_121218	12/12/2018	2 - 4	Primary		0.22 J	0.0049 U	0.0049 U
67	B-51	B-51(1-2)_092817	9/28/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.26	0.0042 UJ	0.0042 UJ
67	B-51	B-51(5-7)_092817	9/28/2017	5 - 7	Primary		0.24	0.028	0.022
67 67	B-52	B-52(0-1)_102317	10/23/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.53 J	0.0057 U	10.5
67	B-52 B-53	B-52(1-3)_102317 B-53(0-1)_092817	10/23/2017 9/28/2017	1 - 3 0 - 1	Primary Primary		0.13 J 0.049 J	0.0077 U 0.0056 U	0.0077 U 0.0056 U
67	B-53	B-53(1-3)_092817	9/28/2017	1-3	Primary		3,9	0.0058 U	0.0058 U
67	B-54	B-54(1-2)_092817	9/28/2017	1 - 2	Primary		3.3	0.0045 U	0.0045 U
67	B-54	B-54(7-8)_092817	9/28/2017	7 - 8	Primary		0.25 U	0.0093 U	0.0093 U
67	B-55	B-55(0.5-1.5)_100317	10/3/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.93 U	0.012 J+	NR
67	B-55	B-55(3.5-5)_100317	10/3/2017	3.5 - 5	Primary		0.12 J	0.0055 U	0.0055 U
67	B-81	B-81(0.3-1.3)_121218	12/12/2018	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		0.078	0.25 U	2.61
67	B-81	B-81(5-7)_121218	12/12/2018	5 - 7	Primary		0.24 J	0.0047 U	0.0047 U
68	B-56	B-56(1-2)_092717	9/27/2017	1 - 2	Primary		0.16 J	0.038 J+	0.0082 J+
68	B-56	B-56(2-4)_092717 B-57(1-2)_100317	9/27/2017	2 - 4	Primary		0.21 U 0.4 U	5,4 0.0049 U	4.64 0.0049 U
68 68	B-57 B-57	B-57(1-2)_100317 B-57(2-4)_100317	10/3/2017 10/3/2017	1 - 2 2 - 4	Primary Primary		0.4 U	0.0049 U	0.0049 U
68	B-58	B-58(1-2)_092717	9/27/2017	1-2	Primary		0.3	0.36 U	79
68	B-58	B-58(6-8)_092717	9/27/2017	6-8	Primary		0.2 U	0.0043 U	0.0043 U
68	B-59	B-59(12-13.5)_100317	10/3/2017	9 - 10.5	Primary		0.2 U	0.0046 U	0.0046 U
68	B-59	B-59(5-7)_100317	10/3/2017	2 - 4	Primary		0.19 U	0.0058 U	0.0058 U
68	B-59	DUP-2_100317	10/3/2017	2 - 4	Duplicate	B-59(5-7)_100317	0.37 U	0.006 U	0.006 U
68	B-59	B-59(FILL)_100317	10/3/2017	-3 - 0	Primary		0.18 U	0.0047 U	0.0047 U
68	B-60	B-60(0-1)_092617	9/26/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.058 J	0.0043 U	0.0043 U
68	B-60	B-60(5-7)_092617	9/26/2017	5 - 7	Primary		0.044 J	0.005 U	0.005 U
68	B-60	B-60(FILL)_092617	9/26/2017	-4 - 0	Primary		0.14 J	0.0043 U	0.0043 U
68 68	B-77 B-77	B-77(0-1)_092817 B-77(1-3)_092817	9/28/2017 9/28/2017	0 - 1 1 - 3	Primary Primary		0.18 U 0.18 U	0.0048 U 0.0073 U	0.0048 U 0.0073 U
68	B-77	DUP-1_092817	9/28/2017	1-3	Duplicate	B-77(1-3)_092817	0.18 U	0.0073 U	0.0073 U
68	B-80	B-80(0.3-1.3)_121218	12/12/2018	0.3 - 1.3	Primary	5 //(1 5/_05251/	0.093 J	10	0.69 J
68	B-80	B-80(1.3-3.3)_121218	12/12/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Primary		0.095	0.0028 J	0.00054 J
68	B-82	B-82(11-13)_121118	12/11/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.0013 J	0.0075 UJ	0.0075 UJ
68	B-82	B-82(1-2)_121118	12/11/2018	1 - 2	Primary		0.039 J	0.88 J	2 UJ
68	B-82	B-82(5-7)_121118	12/11/2018	5 - 7	Primary		0.0066 J	0.0057 UJ	0.0057 UJ
68	B-83	B-83(0.3-1.3)_121118	12/11/2018	0.3 - 1.3	Primary		0.23	0.11 J	1.89
68	B-83	B-83(1.3-3.3)_121118	12/11/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Primary		0.12 J	NR	0.0093 J
68	B-83	DUP-1_121118	12/11/2018	1.3 - 3.3	Duplicate	B-83(1.3-3.3)_121118	0.21 J	NR 0.0053 H	NR 0.0053 II
69 69	B-61 B-61	B-61(0-1)_101117 B-61(5-6)_101117	10/11/2017 10/11/2017	0 - 1 5 - 6	Primary Primary		0.077 J 0.19 U	0.0053 U 0.0044 U	0.0053 U 0.0044 U
69	B-62	B-62(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0-1	Primary		0.19 U	0.0044 U	0.0044 U
69	B-62	B-62(5-5.5)_101117	10/11/2017	5 - 5.5	Primary		0.14 J	0.0072 U	0.0072 U
69	B-63	B-63(0-1)_101117	10/11/2017	0 - 1	Primary		0.18 U	0.0065 U	0.0074
69	B-63	B-63(1-3)_101117	10/11/2017	1 - 3	Primary		0,64 J	0.0047 U	0.0047 U
69	B-101	B-101(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0 - 1	Primary		0.029	0.0058 U	0.095
69	B-101	B-101(11-13)_121418	12/14/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.2 U	0.0043 U	0.0043 U
69	B-101	B-101(5-6)_121418	12/14/2018	5 - 6	Primary		0.33	0.0045 U	0.0045 U
69	B-102	B-102(0-1)_121418	12/14/2018	0-1	Primary		0.2 U	0.0045 U	0.00304 J
69 70	B-102	B-102(1-2.9)_121418	12/14/2018	1 - 2.9	Primary		0.055 U	0.0044 U 0.035 J	0.0044 U
70 70	B-19 B-19	B-19(0-1)_101117 B-19(2-4)_101117	10/11/2017 10/11/2017	0 - 1 2 - 4	Primary Primary		0.19 U 0.19 U	0.035 J 0.0051 U	0.0059 U 0.0051 U
70	B-19 B-64	B-64(1.5-2.5)_101117	10/11/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		0.19 U	0.0051 U	0.0051 U
70	B-64	DUP-5_101117	10/11/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Duplicate	B-64(1.5-2.5) 101117	0.19 U	0.0049 U	0.0049 U
70	B-64	B-64(2.5-4.5)_101117	10/11/2017	2.5 - 4.5	Primary	. ,	0.19 U	0.0057 UJ	0.0057 UJ
70	B-65	B-65(0.5-1.5)_101317	10/13/2017	0.5 - 1.5	Primary		0.19 U	0.02	0.57 J
70	B-65	B-65(1.5-2.7)_101317	10/13/2017	1.5 - 2.7	Primary		0.19 U	0.0053 U	0.0053 U
70	B-66	B-66(1.5-2.5)_101017	10/10/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		0.18 U	0.037	0.0055 U
70	B-66	B-66(2.5-4.5)_101017	10/10/2017	2.5 - 4.5	Primary		0.048 J	0.0068 UJ	0.0311 J
70	B-67	B-67(1.5-2.5)_101017	10/10/2017	1.5 - 2.5	Primary		0.92 U	0.026	0.0056 U
70	B-67	B-67(2.5-3.8)_101017	10/10/2017	2.5 - 3.8	Primary		5,4	0.39 U	12.94
70 70	B-100	B-100(11-13)_121418	12/14/2018	11 - 13	Primary		0.44 J 0.061	0.0066 U	0.0066 U
70 70	B-100 B-100	B-100(2.2-3.2)_121418 B-100(3.2-4.2)_121418	12/14/2018	2.2 - 3.2 3.2 - 4.2	Primary Primary			0.4 U	0.13 J
70	B-100	B-100(3.2-4.2)_121418	12/14/2018	3.2 - 4.2	Primary		0.031	0.0048 UJ	0.0037 J

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.

PRG = Preliminary Remediation Goal

Detected result exceeds ARAR or PRG

Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR or PRG

NR = Not reportable

J - Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL

J+- Estimated High J-- Estimated Low U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

Total Xylenes are calculated as the sum of detected isomers concentrations. If all the isomers are reported as nondetected, then the highest reporting limit of the isomers is reported.

Page 3 of 4 Prepared by USEPA

					VOCs	
				Naphthalene	Trichloroethylene	Total Xylenes
				PRG = 0.62 mg/kg	PRG = 0.02 mg/kg	PRG = 6.5 mg/kg
Lot Location Sample ID ID	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent sample ID	Result Qual (mg/kg)	Result Qual (mg/kg)	Result Qual (mg/kg)
Samp	ole Date					

Only parameters that have a result greater than the selected ARAR/PRG and used in the soil and soil gas alternatives are listed

								VOCs	
							Naphthalene	Trichloroethene	Total Xylene
							PRG = 0.62 mg/kg	PRG = 0.02 mg/kg	PRG = 6.5 mg/kg
.ot	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent Sample ID	esult (mg/k; Qual		
	BE-1_1	BE-1 (7.5-8)	9/14/2010	7.5 - 8	Primary		0.86		: ND
	BE-2_1	BE-2 (4.5-5)	9/14/2010	4.5 - 5	Primary		0.012		0.0023 J
	BE-3_1	BE-3 (7.5-8)	9/14/2010	7.5 - 8	Primary				. ND
	SB-3_1	SB-3 (2.5-3)	7/30/2008	2.5 - 3	Primary		1.44		2.11
В	S-1_58	S-1	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		0.038	ND	121
8	S-10_58	S-10A	10/6/2009	less than 14 feet	Primary		ND	ND	ND
8	S-10_58	S-10B	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND	ND	6.39
8	S-2_58	S-2	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		5.18	0.597 J	344
В	S-3_58	S-3	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND	ND	ND
В	S-4_58	S-4A	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		0.548	ND	3.43
3	S-4_58	S-4B	10/6/2009	less than 14 feet	Primary		ND	ND	ND
8	S-5_58	S-5	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND	ND	ND
8	S-6_58	S-6A	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND	ND	ND
8	S-6_58	S-6B	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND	ND	1.81
8	S-7_58	S-7	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND	ND	ND
8	S-8_58	S-8	10/6/2009	less than 14 feet	Primary		ND	ND	0.174
8	S-9_58	S-9	10/6/2009	Less than 14 feet	Primary		ND	ND	ND
0	SB-FILL-1_60	SB-FILL-1 (5.5-6)	11/13/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary				
0	SB-FILL-2_60	SB-FILL-2 (5.5-6)	11/13/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		ND	ND	ND
0	SB-FILL-3_60	SB-FILL-3 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary				**********
0	SB-FILL-4_60	SB-FILL-4 (5.5-6)	11/13/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary				
0	SB-PAD-1 60	SB-PAD-1 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary			7.06	ND
0	SB-PAD-2_60	SB-PAD-2 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary			ND	ND
0	SB-PAD-3 60	SB-PAD-3 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary			0.414 J	ND
0	SB-PAD-4_60	SB-PAD-4 (6.5-7)	11/13/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary			ND	ND
2	SB-4_62	SB-4 (5.5-6)	5/14/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		9		333333333333333
2	SB-5_62	SB-5 (5.5-6)	5/14/2008	5.5 - 6	Primary		1.97		
2	SB-6_62	SB-6 (6.5-7)	5/14/2008	6.5 - 7	Primary		1.17	ND	1.99
6	SB-1_66	SB-1 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008	4.5 - 5	Primary		ND	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	********
6	SB-2_66	SB-2 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008	4.5 - 5	Primary		ND		
6	SB-3_66	SB-3 (4.5-5)	5/14/2008	4.5 - 5	Primary		ND	0.13 J	ND
8	B-54_68	B-54 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	44-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-1	
8	B-54_68	B-54 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2		B-54 (1.5-2)		0.0074	
8	B-54_68	B-54 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary	5 5 1 (1.5 2)		0.013	
8	B-54_68	B-54 (1-1.5)	6/22/1994	1 - 1.5	Primary			2000000000000000000000000000000000000	
8	B-54_68	B-54 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary			ND	
8	B-56_68	B-56 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary			ND	
8	B-56_68	B-56 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary			ND	
8	B-57_68	B-57 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	0.5 - 1	Duplicate	B-57 (0.5-1)		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
8	B-57_68	B-57 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	0.5 - 1	Primary	D-37 (0.3-1)			
8	B-57_68	B-57 (0.5-1) B-57 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary			ND	
8	B-57_68	B-57 (3.5-4)	5/1/1995	3.5 - 4	Primary				
8	B-57_68	B-57 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary			::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	
8	B-58_68		5/1/1995	1.5 - 2				0.014	
8	_	B-58 (1.5-2)		5 - 5.5	Primary			0.0025	
8	B-58_68	B-58 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995		Primary			0.0025	
8 8	B-59_68	B-59 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary			ND ND	
	B-59_68	B-59 (1.5-2)	6/22/1994	1.5 - 2	Primary			ND ND	
8	B-59_68	B-59 (5-5.5)	6/22/1994	5 - 5.5	Primary			ND	
8	B-60_68	B-60 (0.5-1)	5/1/1995	0.5 - 1	Primary				
8	B-60_68	B-60 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary			ND ND	
8 8	B-60_68	B-60 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary			ND ND	
	B-61_68	B-61 (1.5-2)	5/1/1995	1.5 - 2	Primary				
8	B-61_68	B-61 (5-5.5)	5/1/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary			ND ND	
8	B-62_68	B-62 (1.5-2)	6/22/1994	1.5 - 2	Primary		99999999999	ND	
8	B-62_68	B-62 (3-3.5)	6/22/1994	3 - 3.5	Primary		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
8	B-62_68	B-62 (5-5.5)	6/22/1994	5 - 5.5	Primary			ND	
8	B-63_68	B-63 (0.5-1)	6/22/1994	0.5 - 1	Primary				
8	B-63_68	B-63 (1.5-2)	6/22/1994	1.5 - 2	Primary			ND	
8	B-63_68	B-63 (3-3.5)	6/22/1994	3 - 3.5	Primary				
8	B-63_68	B-63 (5-5.5)	6/22/1994	5 - 5.5	Primary		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	ND	
8	B-64_68	B-64 (3.5-4)	5/1/1995	3.5 - 4		B-64 (3.5-4)		ND	ND
8	B-64_68	B-64 (3.5-4)	5/1/1995	3.5 - 4	Primary			ND	ND
8	B-65_68	B-65 (3-3.5)	6/22/1994	3 - 3.5	Primary			ND	ND
8	B-66_68	B-66 (2-2.5)	6/22/1994	2 - 2.5	Primary			ND ND	ND
8	GP-1_68	GP-1, S-1 (5-5.5)	1/12/1995	5 - 5.5	Primary			0.46 J	0.82
8	GP-1_68	GP-1, S-2 (7.5-8)	1/12/1995	7.5 - 8	Primary			0.14 U	0.14
8	GP-1_68	GP-1, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Primary			0.0076 U	0.0076
8	GP-2_68	GP-2, S-2 (7-7.5)	1/12/1995	7 - 7.5	Primary			0.014	0.0058
8	GP-2_68	GP-2, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Primary		900000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0015 U	0.0015
8	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-1 (5.5-6)	1/12/1995	5.5 - 6	Primary			0.15 U	0.15
8	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-2 (7-7.5)	1/12/1995	7 - 7.5	Primary			0.2 U	0.2
8	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12		GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)		0.0058 U	0.0058
8	GP-5_68	GP-5, S-4 (11.5-12)	1/12/1995	11.5 - 12	Primary	. ,		0.0058 U	0.0058
3-64	B-1_63-64	B1-1 (2.1-2.6)	5/26/2010	2.1 - 2.6	Primary		0.16 J	988888888888888888888888888888888888888	999999999999999999999999999999999999
3-64		,,	5/26/2010	8.5 - 10	Primary			arananan maninin	arana arang ar

Page 1 of 2 Prepared by USEPA

								VOCs	
							Naphthalene	Trichloroethene	Total Xylene
Lot	Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Parent Sample ID	0, 0	PRG = 0.02 mg/kg esult (mg/k _i Qual	0, 0
63-64	B-10_63-64	B10-1 (6-7)	5/26/2010	6 - 7	Primary	***************************************	1.8		
63-64	B-10_63-64	B10-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary		0.96		
63-64	B-11_63-64	B11-1 (2-6)	5/26/2010	2 - 6	Primary				
63-64	B-12_63-64	B12-1 (2.1-2.8)	5/26/2010	2.1 - 2.8	Primary				
63-64	B-12_63-64	B12-2 (6.4-7.5)	5/26/2010	6.4 - 7.5	Primary				
63-64	B-2_63-64	B2-1 (7.5-10)	5/26/2010	7.5 - 10	Primary				
63-64	B-2_63-64	B2-2 (10-12.5)	5/26/2010	10 - 12.5	Primary				
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-1 (5-7)	5/26/2010	5 - 7	Primary		9000000000000000		
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-1 (5-7)	5/26/2010	5 - 7	Primary		1.4		
63-64	B-3_63-64	B3-2 (7-9)	5/26/2010	7 - 9	Primary		300000000000000000000000000000000000000		
63-64	B-4 63-64	B4-1 (2.5-4)	5/26/2010	2.5 - 4	Primary		0.31		0.64
63-64	B-4 63-64	B4-1 (8-10)	5/26/2010	8 - 10	Primary		388888888888		
63-64	B-4 63-64	B4-2 (8-10)	5/26/2010	8 - 10	Primary				
63-64	B-5_63-64	B5-1 (1-4)	5/26/2010	1 - 4	Primary				
63-64	B-5_63-64	B5-2 (9-11)	5/26/2010	9 - 11	Primary				
63-64	B-6 63-64	B6-1 (1-2.5)	5/26/2010	1 - 2.5	Primary		1.1		
63-64	B-6 63-64	B6-2 (5-5)	5/26/2010	5 - 5	Primary				1.5
63-64	B-7_63-64	B7-1 (8.5-9)	5/26/2010	8.5 - 9	Primary		0.093 J		2.3 J
63-64	B-7_63-64	B7-2 (10-10.5)	5/26/2010	10 - 10.5	Primary		0.44		1.94 J
	_								0.35 J
63-64	B-8_63-64	B8-1 (5-5.5)	5/26/2010	5 - 5.5	Primary		0.004		
63-64	B-8_63-64	B8-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary	00 2 (40 44)	0.084 J		6.39
63-64	B-8_63-64	B8-2d (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Duplicate	B8-2 (10-11)	0.15 J		0.96
63-64	B-9_63-64	B9-1 (5-6)	5/26/2010	5-6	Primary		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
63-64	B-9_63-64	B9-2 (10-11)	5/26/2010	10 - 11	Primary		0.21 J	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	
63-64	HF-2_63-64	HF-2 (11-12.5)	9/23/2009	11 - 12.5	Primary		ND	ND	ND
63-64	HF-2_63-64	HF-2R (11-12.5)	9/28/2009	11 - 12.5	Primary		ND	ND ND	11.6
63-64	HF-3_63-64	HF-3 (7-7.5)	9/23/2009	7 - 7.5	Primary		ND	ND	ND
63-64	HF-3_63-64	HF-3R (7-7.5)	9/28/2009	7 - 7.5	Primary			ND	ND
63-64	HF-4_63-64	HF-4 (11-11.5)	9/23/2009	11 - 11.5	Primary		ND	ND	0.0012 J
63-64	HF-5_63-64	HF-5 (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		ND	ND	1.84 J
63-64	HF-6_63-64	HF-6 (10.5-11)	9/23/2009	10.5 - 11	Primary		ND	ND	ND
63-64	HF-7_63-64	HF-7 (16-16.5)	9/23/2009	16 - 16.5	Primary		ND	ND	0.237 J
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWA (2-2.5)	9/23/2009	2 - 2.5	Primary		0.694	ND	0.0087
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWB (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		ND	ND	1.33 J
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWC (11.5-12)	9/23/2009	11.5 - 12	Primary		ND	ND	ND
63-64	HF-NW_63-64	HF-NWD (18-18.5)	9/23/2009	18 - 18.5	Primary		ND	ND	ND
63-64	LD-1_63-64	LD-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		ND	ND	0.0103
63-64	LD-1A_63-64	LD-1A (4.5-5)	9/23/2009	4.5 - 5	Primary		0.06	ND	0.0109
63-64	LD-2_63-64	LD-2 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		0.0508	ND	0.554 J
63-64	LD-3_63-64	LD-3 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		0.353	ND	0.0063
63-64	LD-4_63-64	LD-4 (2.5-3)	9/23/2009	2.5 - 3	Primary		0.215	ND	0.0056
63-64	LD-5_63-64	LD-5 (10-10.5)	9/23/2009	10 - 10.5	Primary		0.342	ND	65
63-64	STG-1_63-64	STG-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		ND	ND	ND
63-64	STG-2_63-64	STG-2 (10-10.5)	9/23/2009	10 - 10.5	Primary		0.413	ND	8.34
63-64	STG-3_63-64	STG-3 (2.5-3)	9/23/2009	2.5 - 3	Primary		0.072	ND	0.022
63-64	TRANS-1_63-64	TRANS-1 (0.5-1)	9/23/2009	0.5-1	Primary		3000 D	400000000000000000000000000000000000000	
63-64	TRENCH-1_63-64	TRENCH-1 (5.5-6)	9/23/2009	5.5 - 6	Primary		0.432	ND	27.9
63-64	TT-1_63-64	TT-1 (1.5-2)	9/23/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		0.0324 J	ND	0.28 J
63-64	TT-1_63-64	TT-1R (1.5-2)	9/28/2009	1.5 - 2	Primary		300000000000000000000000000000000000000	: ND	ND
63-64	UST-DG/HF-1_63-64	UST-DG/HF-1 (6.5-7)	9/23/2009	6.5 - 7	Primary		ND	: ND	ND
63-64	UST-DG/HF-1_63-64 UST-DG/HF-1_63-64	UST-DG/HF-1R (6.5-7)		6.5 - 7 6.5 - 7			147414741414141414141414141414141		ND ND
		021-DG/UL-TV (0:2-1)	9/28/2009	0.3 - /	Primary			· ND	IND

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.

PRG = Preliminary Remediation Goal

ND = Not detected and reporting detection limit is not available

Detected result exceeds ARAR or PRG

Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR or PRG

D - Result is from diluted sample

- J Estimated Value, result > MDL and < RL

K - Estimated, unspecified laboratory non-conformance
U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit
Total Xylenes are calculated as the sum of detected concentrations. If the sum the null, the highest reporting limit of the isomers is reported.

Only parameters that have a result greater than the selected ARAR/PRG and used in the soil and soil gas alternatives are listed

Page 2 of 2 Prepared by USEPA

LOT ID: SITE ID: SAMPLE DEPTH (FT BGS) COLLECTION DATE:					1 E-5 6.40-6.40 3/13/2018	1 E-5 5.73-5.73 6/5/2018	1 E-5 4.67-4.67 2/13/2019	1 E-6 5.18-5.18 3/13/2018	1 E-6 5.18-5.18 3/13/2018	1 E-6 5.5-5.5 6/12/2018	1 E-6 4.46-4.46 2/14/2019	1 E-7 5.24-5.24 3/13/2018	1 E-7 5.2-5.2 6/7/2018	1 E-7 4.74-4.74 2/15/2019
Lab Analyte	CAS	ARAR ^[1]	Basis	Units	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Duplicate	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
VOCs (ug/l)														
Acetone	67-64-1	6000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/I	56 J	8.9 U	6 R	31 J	64 J	55	32 J	6 U	80	6 U
Benzene	71-43-2	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.036 J	0.047 J	0.031 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	96-12-8	0.02	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	2 U	20	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 UJ	2 U	20	2 U	20
Dichloropropene, 1,3- (TRANS)	10061-02-6	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.76	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
lexanone, 2-	591-78-6	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3 R	3 U	2.1 J	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 R
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10 R	10 U	10 R	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 R
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/I	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	50	5U	5 U
etrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	79-34-5	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
Foluene	108-88-3	600	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/I	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.24	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Frichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	120-82-1	9	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U
Frichloroethane, 1,1,2-	79-00-5	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.39	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
richloroethylene	79-01-6	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/I	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.11 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.1 J	0.068 J
inyl Chloride	75-01-4	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 UJ	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 UJ
vlene, m.p	179601-23-1	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.12 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	4	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
(ylene, o-	95-47-6	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	0.15 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.16 J	0.5 U	1.2	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
SVOCs (ug/l)														
Benz[a]anthracene	56-55-3	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.016 J	0.48 U	0.47 U	0.0057 J	0.0047 J	0.019 J	0.0039 J	0.0036 J	0.1 U	0.0038 J
enzo[a]pyrene		0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.33 U	0.48 U	0.47 U	0.098 U	0.098 U	0.025 J	0.094 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.097 U
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.33 U	0.48 U	0.47 U	0.098 U	0.098 U	0.024 J	0.094 U	0.0059 J	0.1 U	0.007 J
is(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	4.9 U	4.80	4.7 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5.1 UJ	8	5.1 U	5.1 U	4.9 U
cresol, p-	106-44-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	99U	9.6 U	9.4 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	10 UJ	9.4 U	10 U	10 U	9.7 U
Dioxane, 1,4-	123-91-1	0.4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.087 J	0.077 J	0.074 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.17 J	0.2 U	0.078 J	0.2 U	0.085 J
ndeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.33 U	0.48 U	0.47 U	0.098 U	0.098 U	0.022 J	0.094 U	0.012 J	0.1 U	0.0042 J
lethvlnaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	30	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	4.9 U	0.48 U	0.2 J	4.9 U	4.9 U	5.1 UJ	0.0067 J	5.1 U	0.1 U	0.097 U
entachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/I	9.94	960	0.94 U	980	9.8.0	10 UJ	9.4 U	10 U	10 U	970
letals (ug/l)				Ü										
duminum	7429-90-5	200	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	87.6	30.7	46.8 J+	20 U	20 U	30.9	17.4 J	154	10.8 J	29.6
ntimony	7440-36-0	6	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	2 U	2 U	2 U	0.33 J	0.36 J	3.4	3.4 J-	1.4 J	2.5	1.3 J
rsenic	7440-38-2	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	12.1	4.9 J	3.5	4.8	4.7	3.2	2.1	5.7	4.8	1.8
arium	7440-39-3	2000	USEPA/NJDEP - MCL	ug/l	170 J+	175	159	93.2 J+	96.8 J+	219	138	155 J+	352	115
ervllium	7440-41-7	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
admium	7440-43-9	4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	1 R	1 U	1 U	1 R	1 R	1 U	0.19 J	0.18 J	0.59 J	0.088 J
on	7439-89-6	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	20700	20100 J	13500	1550	1590	670	45.5 J	1690	165 J	610
ead	7439-92-1	5	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1.4	0.55 J	1 U	2	2.1	3.3	1.4	2	1.4	1.5
langanese	7439-96-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	1450	1630 J	1920	991	1010	919	139	104	44.4	90.8
Selenium	7782-49-2	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	23.9	8.8	9	15.7	15.7	10	3.2 J	19.7	17.7	7.7
Sodium	7440-23-5	50000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/i	86400	78700	62200	24800	24900	79100	40200	40700	91400	41300

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

[1] ARAR is the lowest value of the NJOWQS, NJDEP MCL and USEPA MCL. NJGWQS basis is Groundwater Quality Criterion (GWQC) or Practical Quantitation Level (PQL).

Detacted result exceeds ARAR
Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR

1. Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL

1. Estimated High

1. Estimated Low

U. Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

D. Result is from a diluted sample

- D Result is from a diluted sample
- R Rejected value

LOT ID:					57	57	57	57	57	57	58	58	58	58	58
SITE ID:					MW-116	MW-116	MW-116	MW-118	MW-118	MW-118	MW-114	MW-114	MW-114	MW-115	MW-115
SAMPLE DEPTH (FT BGS)					5.09-5.09	6.02-6.02	5.99-5.99	4.00-4.00	5.52-5.52	6.35-6.35	9.52-9.52	10.05-10.05	10.24-10.24	7.15-7.15	7.53-7.53
COLLECTION DATE:		ARAR ^[1]			3/8/2018	6/6/2018	2/19/2019	3/8/2018	6/11/2018	2/18/2019	3/8/2018	6/6/2018	2/19/2019	3/9/2018	6/6/2018
Lab Analyte	CAS	ARAR	Basis	Units	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
VOCs (ug/l)															
Acetone	67-64-1	6000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	280 J	6 U	6 U	71000 J	51000 J	60000 J	6 U	61	6 U	2400 J	6 U
Benzene	71-43-2	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.064 J	0.049 J	0.2 U	3.3 J+	200 U	0.2 U	0.12 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	2.6 J+	0.55
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	96-12-8	0.02	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	2 UJ	20	2 U	200 U	2000 U	20	2 U	2U	2 U	20	2 U
Dichloropropene, 1,3- (TRANS)	10061-02-6	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.2 UJ	0.2 U	0.2 U	20 U	200 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	20 U	200 U	8.4	550	8 J-	0.61	480	270
Hexanone, 2-	591-78-6	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5.7 J	3 U	5.6 J	300 R	3000 UJ	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 R	3 R	3 U
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10 R	10 U	10 R	1000 R	18000 U	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 R	10 R	10 U
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5 UJ	5 U	5 U	500 U	5000 U	2.4 J	5 U	50	6 U	5 U	5 U
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	79-34-5	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 UJ	0.2 U	0.2 U	20 U	200 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.5 UJ	0.5 U	0.5 UJ	50 U	500 U	0.21 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 UJ	0.59	0.36 J
Toluene	108-88-3	600	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 UJ	0.2 U	0.2 U	230	270	130	18	4.8	1	210	60
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	120-82-1	9	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.3 UJ	0.3 U	0.3 U	30 U	300 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U
Trichloroethane, 1,1,2-	79-00-5	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 UJ	0.2 U	0.2 U	20 U	200 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	6.8	0.2 U	0.2 U
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 UJ	0.2 U	0.2 U	20 U	200 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.6	0.39
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.02 UJ	0.02 U	0.02 UJ	20	20 UJ	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 UJ	0.061 J	0.02 U
Xylene, m,p	179601-23-1	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3.2 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	61	500 U	29	3000	0.5 U	0.65	1700	710
Xylene, o-	95-47-6	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 UJ	0.23 J	0.18 J	50 U	500 U	5.8	360	0.5 U	0.41 J	810	340
SVOCs (ug/l)															
Benzíalanthracene	56-55-3	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.033 J	0.041 J	0.028 J	5 U	0.3 J	0.1 J	0.5 U	9.4 U	0.097 U	5.1 U	9.5 U
Benzofalpyrene	50-32-8	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.1 U	0.094 U	0.095 U	5 U	5.2 U	0.065 J	0.5 U	9.4 U	0.097 U	5.1 U	9.5 U
Benzoibifluoranthene	205-99-2	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.012 J	0.013 J	0.0097 J	50	0.27 J	0.058 J	0.50	9.4 U	490	51U	9.50
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	1.2 J	47 U	4.8U	50 U	52 U	12	5 U	9.4 U	4.9 U	3.1 J	48 U
Cresol, p-	106-44-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	2.3 J	94 U	95U	61.1	56 J	120 J+	9.9 U	19 U	9.7 U	10 U	95 UJ
Dioxane, 1,4-	123-91-1	0.4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	4	2.8	2.4	20 U	21 UJ	0.94	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.005 J	0.0071 J	0.0044 J	5U	5.2U	0.04 J	0.5 U	9.4 U	0.097 U	51U	9.5 U
Methylnaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	30	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5.1 U	4.7 U	0.017 J	50 U	0.22 J	0.33 J	5.9	9.4 U	0.32 J	7	48 U
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	100	94U	9.5 U	100 U	100 U	9.9.U	0.99 R	19 U	9.70	10 R	95 U
Metals (ug/l)				-3.											
Aluminum	7429-90-5	200	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	22.1 J+	27.7	24.5	2220	1820	2330	30.2 J+	46.8	17.3 J	30.3 J+	26.2
Antimony	7440-36-0	6	NJGWQS - GWQC		0.32 J	0.31 J	24.5 2 UJ	1.2 J	0.72 J	2330 2 U	2 U	40.6 2 U	2 UJ	0.33 J	20.2 2 U
,	7440-38-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	21.7	16.2	7.1	14.2 J-	7.3	4.7	20	1.6	1 U	5.2	2.6
Arsenic Barium	7440-38-2	2000	USEPA/NJDEP - MCL	ug/l		10.2 4010	7.1 2290	74.2 J- 580	7.3 494	4. <i>1</i> 831	131	1.0	96.1	9.2 105	324
		2000		ug/l	4910										
Beryllium	7440-41-7 7440-43-9	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	1 U 1 U	1 U 1 U	1 U 1 U	<i>1.2 J</i> + 0.59 J	0.68 J 0.16 J	1 2 U	1 U 1 U	1 U 1 U	1 U 1 U	1 U 1 U	1 U 1 U
Cadmium		4		ug/l							1 U 17800				
Iron	7439-89-6	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	90000	63600	52700	142000	154000	144000		12400	7780	5740	20200
Lead	7439-92-1	5	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1.6	2 J	1.2	568	26	13.8	1 U	0.28 J	1 U	1 U	1 UJ
Manganese	7439-96-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10500	6520	6420	15800	7240	8430	2310	1460	1030	236	622
Selenium	7782-49-2	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	79.8	55.4 J	24.6	29.1 J-	21.8	17.7	6.2	5.6 J	5.6	3.1 J	6.5 J
Sodium	7440-23-5	50000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	4000000	3970000	2600000	410000	378000	325000	162000	160000	153000	25000	117000

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

[1] ARAR is the lowest value of the NJGWQS, NJDEP MCL and USEPA MCL. NJGWQS basis is Groundwater Quality

Detected result exceeds ARAR

Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR

J - Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL

J+ - Estimated High

- Estimated Low

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

D - Result is from a diluted sample

R - Rejected value.

- R Rejected value

Only chemicals that have a result greater than the ARAR are listed

Page 2

LOT ID: SITE ID:					58 MW-115	58 MW-115	58 MW-124	60 E-8	60 E-8	60 E-8	60 MW-117	60 MW-117	60 MW-117	62 E-4	62 E-4
SAMPLE DEPTH (FT BGS) COLLECTION DATE:					7.95-7.95 2/19/2019	7.95-7.95 2/19/2019	10.45-10.45 2/14/2019	4.30-4.30 3/14/2018	3.2-3.2 6/4/2018	5-5 2/14/2019	3.20-3.20 3/8/2018	4.38-4.38 6/6/2018	3.73-3.73 2/19/2019	3.95-3.95 3/14/2018	4.2-4.2 6/11/2018
Lab Analyte	CAS	ARAR ^[1]	Basis	Units	Primary	Duplicate	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
VOCs (ug/l)	***************************************		***************************************			naannaannahamannaannaannaanna	SANSKA ARABAMA ARABAMA ARABAMA				***************************************	***************************************			**************************************
Acetone	67-64-1	6000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	6 U	6 U	240 J	6 U	6 U	6.2 J	280 J	6 U	300 U	6 U	30 J
Benzene	71-43-2	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	2.1	1.9	0.11 J	0.2 U	0.046 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.043 J	0.049 J
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	96-12-8	0.02	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	2 U	20	20	20	2.0	2.0	2 U	2U	2U	20	2 U
Dichloropropene, 1,3- (TRANS)	10061-02-6	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	200	200	4900	0.2 U	0.18 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Hexanone, 2-	591-78-6	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3 R	3 R	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 R	3 R	3 U	1.8 J	3 R	3 UJ
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10 R	10 R	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 R	10 R	10 U	330 J	10 R	10 U
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5 U	5 U	5 U	5U	6 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	79-34-5	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.43	0.52	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.24 J	0.24 J	0.5 U	0.12 J	0.5 U	0.17 J	0.17 J	0.5 U	0.12 J	0.085 J	0.089 J
Toluene	108-88-3	600	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	43	38	2100	0.2 U	0.056 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	120-82-1	9	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.099 J	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U
Trichloroethane, 1.1.2-	79-00-5	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.49	0.48	5.4	4.2	5.8	4.1	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.89	0.8	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.11 J	0.2 U	0.2 U
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.02 UJ	0.02 UJ	0.02 U	0.057	0.078	0.083	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 UJ	0.02 U	0.02 UJ
Xylene, m,p	179601-23-1	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	260	250	24000	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	4.4 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.26 J	0.5 U
Xylene, o-	95-47-6	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	210	210	8700	0.5 U	0.28 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
SVOCs (ug/l)				-3.											
Benz[a]anthracene	56-55-3	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.49 U	0.016 J	0.17 J	0.098 U	0.2 U	0.0029 J	0.99 U	0.0037 J	0.0029 J	0.099 U	0.5 U
Benzofalpyrene	50-32-8	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.49 U	0.49 U	3.8U	U 890.0	0.20	0.095 UJ	0.99 U	0.095 U	0.097 U	0.099 U	0.5 U
Benzofbìfluoranthene	205-99-2	0.2	NJGWOS - PQL	ug/l	190	0.49 U	0.24 J	0.098 U	0.2 U	0.095 UJ	0.99 U	0.095 U	0.097 U	0.099 U	0.5 U
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	49U	49U	4.7 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	4.7 UJ	1.2 J	4.8 U	24 U	50	5 U
Cresol. p-	106-44-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.7R	9.8 R	95U	980	980	9.4 UJ	9.9 U	9.5 U	49 U	99U	990
Dioxane. 1.4-	123-91-1	0.4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	1.1	0.96	0.65	7.4	20	5.4	0.85 J	0.71
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.49 U	0.49 U	0.29 J	0.098 U	0.2 U	0.095 UJ	0.99 U	0.095 U	0.097 U	0.099 U	0.5 U
Methylnaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	30	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	2.4 J	1.9 J	3.4 J	4.9 U	0.2 U	0.05 J	0.99 U	0.095 U	0.1 J+	5 U	0.5 U
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.7 R	9.8 R	9.5U	980	980	96U	9.90	95U	49 U	990	9.9 U
Metals (ug/l)				-3.											
Aluminum	7429-90-5	200	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	20.8	21.3	19.4 J	20 U	7.7 J	15 J	40.6	95.4	59.3	26.8	33.9
Antimony	7440-36-0	6	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	2 UJ	2 UJ	2 UJ	2 U	2.7.5	2 UJ	1.1 J	2 U	2 U	0.52 J	0.27 J
Arsenic	7440-38-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC		0.74 J	1	2.8	7.2	3.1 J	2.5	8	5.2	6.3	9.1	2.6
Barium	7440-38-2	2000	USEPA/NJDEP - MCL	ug/l	328	335	160	7.2 296 J+	284	291	86.3	203	0.3 177	9.7 281 J+	246
		2000	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	326 1 U	335 1 U	100 1 U		204 1 U	291 1 U	00.3 1 U	203 1 U		2013+ 1 U	246 1 U
Beryllium Cadmium	7440-41-7 7440-43-9	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	1 U	1 U 1 U	1 U 1 U	1 U 1 R	1 U	1 U	0.18 J	1 U 1 U	1 U 0.17 J	1 U 0.18 J	1 U 0.082 J
	7440-43-9 7439-89-6	4 300		ug/l	1 U 10900	1 U 11200	1 U 15300	1 K 16800	13500 J	13100	0.18 J 278	2260	5580	0.18 J 5110	0.082 J 4340
Iron		5	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l											4340 7.4
Lead	7439-92-1	-	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	10	1 U	10	0.55 J	10	0.45 J	8.9	1.9 J	17.7	7.1	
Manganese	7439-96-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	286	263	850	726	625 J	637	71.1	624	1350	2380	753
Selenium	7782-49-2	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5.8	6.4	6.7	24.5	10.2	9	8.7	13 J	8.8	25.3	6
Sodium	7440-23-5	50000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	225000	229000	357000	82300	61900	70900	285000	613000	402000	56100	66600

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

[1] ARAR is the lowest value of the NJGWQS, NJDEP MCL and USEPA MCL. NJGWQS basis is Groundwater Quality

Detected result exceeds ARAR

Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR

J - Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL

J+ - Estimated High

- Estimated Low

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

D - Result is from a diluted sample

R - Rejected value.

- R Rejected value

LOT ID: SITE ID: SAMPLE DEPTH (FT BGS) COLLECTION DATE:					62 E-4 3.25-3.25 2/14/2019	63 MW-107 5.54-5.54 3/6/2018	63 MW-107 6.05-6.05 6/7/2018	63 MW-107 4.95-4.95 2/13/2019	63 MW-108 4.30-4.30 3/6/2018	63 MW-108 4.55-4.55 6/5/2018	63 MW-108 4.55-4.55 6/5/2018	63 MW-108 3.9-3.9 2/15/2019	63 MW-110 5.65-5.65 3/14/2018	63 MW-110 5.12-5.12 6/7/2018
Lab Analyte	CAS	ARAR ^[1]	Basis	Units	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Duplicate	Primary	Primary	Primary
VOCs (ug/l)														
Acetone	67-64-1	6000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	61 J	44 J	250	810 J	38 J	6 U	7.4 U	49 J	47 J	170
Benzene	71-43-2	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/i	0.04 J	46	33	15	14	10	9.7	11	9.2 J+	9.1
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	96-12-8	0.02	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	20	20	20	20	20	2 U	20	20	20	2 U
Dichloropropene, 1,3- (TRANS)	10061-02-6	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/i	48	14	24	9.6	28	14	13	11	0.25	0.2
Hexanone, 2-	591-78-6	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3 R	3 R	2 J	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 U	3 R	3.3 J	2.9 J
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10 R	3.1 J	10 U	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 U	10 R	47 J	32
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/i	50	5 U	5 U	5 U	50	5 U	5 U	5 U	50	50
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	79-34-5	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.38	0.2 U	0.28	0.24	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.057 J	0.2 U
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.5 U	0.22 J	0.3 J	0.15 J	0.11 J	0.1 J	0.096 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
Toluene	108-88-3	600	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/i	0.2 U	22	10	3	0.79	0.47	0.47	0.7	0.43	0.51
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	120-82-1	9	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.081 J	0.3 U
Trichloroethane, 1,1,2-	79-00-5	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5.6	0.2 U	0.26	0.2 U	3.3	3.9	4	0.2 U	0.19 J	0.29
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/i	0.2 U	0.55	0.59	0.34	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.02 U	0.13 J	0.02 U	0.068	0.15 J+	0.095	0.079	0.051	0.034 J	0.053
Kylene, m,p	179601-23-1	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	220	270	72	21	8.2	8	7.5	1	8.0
Kylene, o-	95-47-6	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	28	47	23	19	12	12	14	1.1	2
SVOCs (ug/l)														
Benz[a]anthracene	56-55-3	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.095 U	0.03 J	10 U	0.056 J	0.076 J	1.9 U	190	0.29 J	0.98 U	10 U
Benzolalpyrene	50-32-8	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.095 U	0.49 U	10 U	0.036 J	0.13 J	1.9 U	1.9 U	0.38 J	0.98 U	10 U
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.095 UJ	0,033 J	100	0.055 J	10	1.9 U	190	0.38 J	0.98 U	10 U
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	4.8 U	4.9 U	52 U	1.7 J	50	48 U	48 U	1.9 J	4.9 U	20 U
Cresol, p-	106-44-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.5 U	5.2 J	14 J	2.9 J	10 U	96 U	95 U	9.4 U	9.8 U	40 U
Dioxane, 1,4-	123-91-1	0.4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.29	0.1 J	0.2 U	0.077 J	0.81	0.51	0.48	0.41	11	6.5
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.095 U	0.49 U	10 U	0.029 J	10	1.9 U	190	0.26 J	0.98 U	10 U
Vethvinaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	30	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.076 J	5.6	7.4 J	3	5 U	48 U	48 U	0.23 J	2.3 J	0.99 J
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/i	9.5 U	9.8 U	100 U	0.42 J	10 U	3.8 UJ	38UJ	9.4 U	9.80	40 U
Metals (ug/l)														
Aluminum	7429-90-5	200	NJGWQS - GWQC	ua/l	38.2	47	54.9	600	114	50.4	67.9	1910	20 U	65.1
Antimony	7440-36-0	6	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	2 UJ	0.5 J	0.33 J	1.3 J	0.35 J	2 U	2 U	1.1 J	2 U	2 U
Arsenic	7440-38-2	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	2.5	10.1	6.7	6.5	6.8	5,4 J	5.6 J	5.3	19	10.9
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	USEPA/NJDEP - MCL	ug/l	302	494	447	291	253	258	285	297	206 J+	221
Beryllium	7440-41-7	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	10	1 U	1 U
Cadmium	7440-43-9	4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10	0.13 J	0.17 J	0.088 J	0.13 J	0.099 J	0.12 J	0.45 J	0.1 J	1 U
ron	7439-89-6	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9300	20100	15100	10800	12100	9890 J	10900 J	7070	14700	16400
_ead	7439-99-1	5	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	3.7	36.4	39.2	54.2	15.4	6.1	7.1	109	3.3	6.6
Vanganese	7439-92-1	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/i	722	1280	1080	816	756	621 J	689 J	109 686	1160 J	1150
Selenium	7782-49-2	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	8.6	26.4	18.6	8.7	20.3	12	13.1	11.6	24.8	10.4
Sodium	7440-23-5	50000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	95000	29600	26600	14500	20.3 35000	25300	28000	45300	134000	10.4

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

[1] ARAR is the lowest value of the NJGWOS, NJDEP MCL and USEPA MCL. NJGWOS basis is Groundwater Quality

Detected result exceeds ARAR

Application Limit exceeds ARAR

J - Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL

J+ - Estimated High

- Estimated Low

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

D - Result is from a diluted sample

B - Rejected value

- R Rejected value

LOT ID: SITE ID: SAMPLE DEPTH (FT BGS) COLLECTION DATE:	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	М			63 MW-110 6.15-6.15 2/14/2019	63 MW-111 2.95-2.95 3/14/2018	63 MW-111 3.1-3.1 6/7/2018	63 MW-111 3.04-3.04 2/14/2019	64 E-3 4.42-4.42 3/13/2018	64 E-3 4.25-4.25 6/5/2018	64 E-3 3.71-3.71 2/13/2019	64 MW-105 2.65-2.65 3/13/2018
Lab Analyte	CAS	ARAR ^[1]	Basis	Units	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
VOCs (ug/l)												
Acetone	67-64-1	6000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	100 J	6 R	20	20 J	240 J	6 U	280 J	29 J
Benzene	71-43-2	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	5.6	2.4	1.5	2.2	0.42	0.25	0.12 J	0.54
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	96-12-8	0.02	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	2 U	20	2 U	20	2 U	20	2U	20
Dichloropropene, 1,3- (TRANS)	10061-02-6	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 UJ	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.28	0.72	0.41 U	0.43	0.077 J	0.2 U	0.2 U
Hexanone, 2-	591-78-6	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3.7 J	3 R	1.3 J	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 R	3 R
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 R	10 R
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5U	5 U	5 U	50	50	5 U	5 U	5 U
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	79-34-5	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.069 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.65	0.2 U
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.18 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
Toluene	108-88-3	600	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.36	1.1	0.47	0.31	0.11 J	0.13 J	0.064 J	0.054 J
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	120-82-1	9	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 UJ	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U
Trichloroethane, 1,1,2-	79-00-5	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.25	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	4	3.4	6.7	0.2 U
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.17 J	0.12 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.079 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.02 U	0.066	0.02 U	0.11	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Xylene, m,p	179601-23-1	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	1.3	2.6	1.2 U	0.5 U	0.34 J	0.5 U	0.5 U
Xylene, o-	95-47-6	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	1	8.9	8.9	10	0.62	0.65	0.5 U	0.21 J
SVOCs (ug/l)												
Benz[a]anthracene	56-55-3	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.027 J	0.011 J	10	0.016 J	0.49 U	1.90	0.94 U	0.0053 J
Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.63 U	0.20	10	0.094 U	0.49 U	1.90	0.94 U	U 890.0
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.63 UJ	0.2 U	10	0.01 J	0.49 U	190	0.94 U	0.098 U
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	4.7 U	4.9U	5.1 U	4.7U	4.9 U	9.70	4.7 U	4.9U
Cresol, p-	106-44-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.4 U	9.8 U	10 U	9.4 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.4 U	9.8 U
Dioxane, 1,4-	123-91-1	0.4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5.3	0.14 J	0.11 J	0.48	0.19 J	0.19 J	0.11 J	0.2 U
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.63 U	0.2 U	10	0.0087 J	0.49 U	190	0.94 U	0.098 U
Methylnaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	30	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.13 J	4.9 U	1 U	0.051 J	4.9 U	9.7 U	0.73 J	4.9 U
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.4 U	980	10 U	9.40	9.7 U	3.9 UJ	1.9 U	980
Metals (ug/l)												
Aluminum	7429-90-5	200	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	143	21.7	57.4	76.2	43.3	54.9	70.6 J+	27.3
Antimony	7440-36-0	6	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	2 UJ	2 U	2 U	2 UJ	0.29 J	2 U	1.7 J	3.3
Arsenic	7440-38-2	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	9.1	8.1	4.1	4.2	11.7	4.9 J	3.3	10.1
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	USEPA/NJDEP - MCL	ug/l	318	882 J+	627	743	282 J+	287	209	40.3 J+
Beryllium	7440-41-7	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Cadmium	7440-43-9	4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	4.3	1 R	1 U	0.11 J	0.13 J	1 U	1 U	1 J-
Iron	7439-89-6	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	18000	4230	2670	3340	14700	14500 J	4800	13100
Lead	7439-92-1	5	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	39.9	4.9	10.7	14.6	1.7	2.1	1.4 J+	14.7
Manganese	7439-96-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	1310	1130 J	714	1040	1100	1090 J	439	702
Selenium	7782-49-2	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.5	21.2	7.1	8.7	39.4	14.9	7.9	32.1
Sodium	7440-23-5	50000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	109000	36800	36800	48700	60800	57600	39100	73000

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

[1] ARAR is the lowest value of the NJGWOS, NJDEP MCL and USEPA MCL. NJGWOS basis is Groundwater Quality

Detected result exceeds ARAR

Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR

J - Estimated Value, result -MDL and <RL

J - Estimated High

- Estimated Low

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

D - Result is from a diluted sample

R - Relected value

- R Rejected value

LOT ID:					64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64
SITE ID:					MW-105	MW-105	MW-105	MW-106	MW-106	MW-106	MW-109	MW-109	MW-109	MW-109	MW-112	MW-112	MW-112
SAMPLE DEPTH (FT BGS)					3-3	2.54-2.54	2.54-2.54	3,12-3,12	5.01-5.01	4.19-4.19	4.02-4.02	4.02-4.02	4.33-4.33	3.61-3.61	5.15-5.15	4.62-4.62	5.3-5.3
COLLECTION DATE:					6/5/2018	2/13/2019	2/13/2019	3/13/2018	6/4/2018	2/15/2019	3/9/2018	3/9/2018	6/5/2018	2/15/2019	3/9/2018	6/7/2018	2/14/2019
Lab Analyte	CAS	ARAR ^[1]	Basis	Units	Primary	Primary	Duplicate	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Duplicate	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
VOCs (ug/l)																	
Acetone	67-64-1	6000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	140	17 J	18 J	140 J	6 U	31 J	63 J	86 J	32	29 J	48 J	80	42 J
Benzene	71-43-2	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.75	0.33	0.32	89 J	82	73	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.8	0.48	0.4	0.083 J
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	96-12-8	0.02	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	20	2.0	20	2 U	20	2.0	20	2 U	20	20	20	2 U	20
Dichloropropene, 1,3- (TRANS)	10061-02-6	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.09 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	880	500	120	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.057 J	0.2 U	0.57	0.25	0.2 U
Hexanone, 2-	591-78-6	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3 U	3 R	1.9 J	35 J	35	3 R	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 R
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10 U	10 R	10 R	200 J	10 U	10 R	4.7 J	4.5 J	10 U	10 R	10 R	8.6 J	10 R
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	50	5 U	5U	9.2	50	6 U	5 U	5 U	50	6 U	5 U	5 U	50
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	79-34-5	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.52	0.2 U	0.29
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	3.5	1.6	0.5 U	0.084 J	0.5 U					
Toluene	108-88-3	600	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.068 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	59 J	12	4.6	0.13 J	0.13 J	0.1 J	0.067 J	0.17 J	0.063 J	0.2 U
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	120-82-1	9	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/I	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.073 J	0.3 U						
Trichloroethane, 1.1.2-	79-00-5	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.64	0.2 U	0.2 U	3.7 J	0.2 U	3.5	0.2 U	0.2 U	2
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.1 J	0.098 J	0.097 J	35	11	0.2 U							
Vinvl Chloride	75-01-4	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.02 U	0.021	0.02 U	0.92	1.1	02U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 UJ	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Xylene, m,p	179601-23-1	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.14 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	4000	1900	410	0.55	0.53	0.31 J	0.83	1.9	0.66	0.5 U
Xylene, o-	95-47-6	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ua/l	0.23 J	0.2 J	0.19 J	140 J	170	61	0.58	0.54	0.5 U	0.56	1.6	0.65	0.5 U
SVOCs (ug/l)				-0.													
Benzíalanthracene	56-55-3	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.19 U	0.067 J	0.06 J	2 U	9.6 U	1.6U	0.021 J	0.015 J	0.023 J	0.01 J	0.1 U	2 U	0.0048 J
Benzojalpyrene	50-32-8	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.19 U	0.075 J	0.058 J	2Ú	9.6 U	1.6 U	0.017 J	0.02 J	0.48 U	0.0063 J	0.011 J	20	0.095 U
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.19 U	0.071 J	0.06 J	2 U	960	16UJ	0.019 J	0.026 J	48 U	0.0065 J	0.0085 J	žŪ	0.095 U
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/I	4.8 U	2.8 J	3 J	9.8 U	96 U	4.7U	5U	5 U	4.8 U	4.7U	5.1 U	10 U	4.7 U
Cresol, p-	106-44-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	96U	1.6 J	93 U	14 J	19013	9.4 U	10 U	10 U	96 U	9.4 U	10 U	20 U	95U
Dioxane, 1.4-	123-91-1	0.4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	16	1.3	0.49	3.3	3.7	4.7	2.2	1.2	2.1	1.1
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.19 U	0.046 J	0.034 J	2 U	9.6 U	1.6 U	0.013 J	0.015 J	0.48 U	0.094 U	0.0055 J	2 U	0.095 U
Methylnaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	30	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	4.8 U	0.052 J	0.048 J	24	31	6.7	0.1	0.11	4.8 U	0.049 J	0.1 U	2 U	0.042 J
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.38 UJ	0.19 U	0.19 U	3.9 R	19 UJ	9.4 U	0.26 J	0.2 J	0.96 UJ	9.40	10 U	20 U	9.5 U
Metals (ug/l)																	
Aluminum	7429-90-5	200	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	34.8	141	170	36.5	130	71.7	116	150	102	74.3	20 U	51.7	5.1 J
Antimony	7440-36-0	6	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3.2	5.9	6.4	1,4 J	0.87 J	0.37 J	0.69 J	0.74 J	20	2 UJ	0.33 J	0.32 J	0.25 J
Arsenic	7440-38-2	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	5.2 J	4.3	4.7	38.6	28 J	11.9	9.7	9.2	8 J	4.4	5.1	6.7	3.9
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	USEPA/NJDEP - MCL	ug/l	240	678	702	284 J+	397	289	245	240	286	284	163	223	257
Bervllium	7440-41-7	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/i	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Cadmium	7440-43-9	4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.36 J	0.78 J	0.78 J	0.1 J	1 U	10	0.2 J	0.16 J	0.11 J	10	10	1 U	0.12 J
Iron	7439-89-6	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	16200 J	18400	19000	16000	31200 J	29200	20900	20400	28500 J	25100	11900	10300	9540
Lead	7439-92-1	5	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	36.5	42.8	47.6	12.8	26.5	24.4	20.7	21	14.6	9.1	0.83 J	8.2	0.33 J
Manganese	7439-92-1	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	594 J	653	673	1040	1020 J	1100	957	927	878 J	1060	494	563	514
Selenium	7782-49-2	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	12.8	10.2	10.7	89	41.5	36	18.2	17.5	11.4	13.1	12.8	12.2	10.3
Sodium	7440-23-5	50000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	69400	70400	72800	302000	290000	181000	54900	52000	53600	66000	95600	66700	93800
Socialii	1 -11 0-23-3	30000	MUGNICO - GWCC	ugл	uanuu	70400	7.2000	UULUUU	Zauvuu	101000	U+5UU	UZUUU	UNGUU	00000	30000	uuruu	auuuu

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
[1] ARAR is the lowest value of the NJGWQS, NJDEP MCL and USEPA MCL. NJGWQS basis is Groundwater Quality
Detected result exceeds ARAR
Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR
J - Estimated Value, result > MDL and < RL
J+ - Estimated High
J- - Estimated Low
U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit
D - Result is from a diluted sample
R - Rejected value

- R Rejected value

Only chemicals that have a result greater than the ARAR are listed

Table 3-6 GW Wells Only - ARAR 7-17 Page 6

LOT ID: SITE ID:			***************************************	************	65 MW-101	65 MW-101	65 MW-101	66 E-2	66 E-2	66 E-2	66 E-2
SAMPLE DEPTH (FT BGS)					6,22-6,22	7.4-7.4	7.33-7.33	E-2 2.85-2.85	E-2 3.8-3.8	E-2 3.8-3.8	E-2 3.42-3.42
COLLECTION DATE:					3/14/2018	6/5/2018	2/12/2019	3/14/2018	6/12/2018	6/12/2018	2/13/2019
Lab Analyte	CAS	ARAR[1]	Basis	Units	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Duplicate	Primary
VOCs (ug/l)					***************************************		пичинаничний иниалич		sasaaannanafiiinnaasiina		
Acetone	67-64-1	6000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	6 R	7.3 U	6 R	6 R	34	34	6 R
Benzene	71-43-2	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.046 J	0.078 J	0.14 J	0.14 J	0.2 U
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	96-12-8	0.02	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	2 U	20	2 U	2 U	2 UJ	2UJ	20
Dichloropropene, 1,3- (TRANS)	10061-02-6	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.094 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Hexanone, 2-	591-78-6	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3 R	3 U	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 U	3 R
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10 R	7.5 J	6.2 J	10 R	10 U	10 U	10 R
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5 U	50	5 U	5 U	5 U	5U	6 U
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	79-34-5	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
Toluene	108-88-3	600	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	120-82-1	9	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U
Trichloroethane, 1,1,2-	79-00-5	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.02 U	0.071	0.9	0.02 U	0.02 UJ	0.02 UJ	0.02 U
Xylene, m,p	179601-23-1		NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
Xylene, o-	95-47-6	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
SVOCs (ug/l)											
Benz[a]anthracene	56-55-3	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.098 U	0.098 U	0.096 U	0.088 J	0.014 J	0.013 J	0.0077 J
Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.098 U	U 890.0	0.096 U	0.13	0.099 U	0.099 U	0.095 U
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.098 U	49U	0.096 U	0.074 J	0.099 U	0.099 U	0.0057 J
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	4.9U	4.9 U	1.5 J	4.9 U	5 U	50	4.7U
Cresol, p-	106-44-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
Dioxane, 1,4-	123-91-1	0.4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.18 J	0.14 J	0.2	0.51	0.51	0.45	0.17 J
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.098 U	4.9 UJ	0.096 U	0.063 J	0.099 U	0.099 U	0.095 U
Methylnaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	30	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.098 U	0.098 U	0.096 U	4.9 U	0.099 U	0.099 U	0.0049 J
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.8 U	0.2 U	0.19 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	0.19 U
Metals (ug/l)											
Aluminum	7429-90-5	200	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	25.9	9 J	77.6 J+	89.2	7.2 J	7.1 J	43.6 J+
Antimony	7440-36-0	6	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	22.7	1.8 J	1.8 J	2 U	2 U	2 U	0.44 J
Arsenic	7440-38-2	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	13.2	5.1 J	3	10.6	3.2	2.9	1.3
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	USEPA/NJDEP - MCL	ug/l	86.3 J+	112	98.4	99.5 J+	103	100	42.6
Beryllium	7440-41-7	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Cadmium	7440-43-9	4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.28 J	1 U	1 U	1 R	1 U	1 U	1 U
Iron	7439-89-6	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	85.1 J	1290 J	1740	507	385	370	252
Lead	7439-92-1	5	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1 U	1 U	1 U	3.7	0.43 J	0.37 J	1.8 J+
Manganese	7439-96-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	125	726 J	865	317	339	330	177
Selenium	7782-49-2	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	71.6	14.9	11	33.7	10.6	10	5 J
Sodium	7440-23-5	50000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	32800	38000	35200	38800	42300	47600	19700

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

[1] ARAR is the lowest value of the NJGWOS, NJDEP MCL and USEPA MCL. NJGWOS basis is Groundwater Quality

Detected result exceeds ARAR

Reporting Desection Limit exceeds ARAR

J - Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL

J+ - Estimated High

- Estimated Low

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

D - Result is from a diluted sample

R - Rejected value

- R Rejected value

Only chemicals that have a result greater than the ARAR are listed

LOT ID: SITE ID: SAMPLE DEPTH (FT BGS) COLLECTION DATE:					66 MW-102 7.50-7.50 3/6/2018	66 MW-102 8.78-8.78 6/12/2018	66 MW-102 8.39-8.39 2/12/2019	66 MW-104 4.23-4.23 3/14/2018	66 MW-104 5.05-5.05 6/7/2018	66 MW-104 4.9-4.9 2/13/2019	67 MW-103 3.18-3.18 3/6/2018	67 MW-103 4.05-4.05 6/12/2018	67 MW-103 4.22-4.22 2/13/2019
Lab Analyte	CAS	ARAR ^[1]	Basis	Units	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
VOCs (ug/l)													
Acetone	67-64-1	6000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	36 J	63	6 U	14 J	220	73 J	6 U	24	6 R
Benzene	71-43-2	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2	0.21	0.16 J	0.2 U	0.03 J	0.2 U
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	96-12-8	0.02	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	20	2 UJ	20	2 U	20	2 U	20	2 UJ	2.0
Dichloropropene, 1,3- (TRANS)	10061-02-6	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.096 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.094 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	1.5	2.2	0.78	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Hexanone, 2-	591-78-6	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	1.2 J	3 U	1.6 J	3 R	3 U	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 R
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10 R	4.6 J	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 R
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5U	5 U	5 U	6 U	5 U	5 U	5U	6 U	5 U
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	79-34-5	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.098 J	0.087 J
Toluene	108-88-3	600	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.053 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	120-82-1	9	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U
Trichloroethane, 1.1.2-	79-00-5	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.13 J	0.11 J
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.02 U	0.02 UJ	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02	0.02 UJ	0.02 UJ	0.02 U
(ylene, m,p	179601-23-1	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.46 J	0.38 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
Kylene, o-	95-47-6	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
SVOCs (ug/l)	00 11 0		11001140 01140	wg,,	0.0 0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	56-55-3	0.4	NJGWQS - PQL		0.040.1	0.012 J	0.0045 J	0.052 J	0.11 J	0.024 J	0.033 J	5 U	0.098 U
Benz[a]anthracene	50-33-8	0.1 0.1	NJGWQS - PQL NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.049 J 0.064 J	0.012 J	0.0045 J 0.096 U	0.052 J 0.044 J	0.11 J 0.12 J	0.024 J 0.021 J	0.033 J 0.038 J	5 U	0.098 U
Benzo[a]pyrene Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.064 J 0.049 J	0.012 J 0.01 J	0.096 U	0.044 J	0.72 J 0.076 J	0.021 J 0.018 J	0.03 J	5 U	0.098 U
	117-81-7	3	NJGWQS - PQL NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	4.9 U	4.9 U	4.8 U			4.7U		5 UJ	4.9 U
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate		3 50		ug/l			4.8 U 9 6 U	5 U	510		4.8 U		
Cresol, p-	106-44-5		NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.0 U 3.5	10 U	10 U 0.38	9.4 U	9.7 U	10 UJ	9.8 U 0.32
Dioxane, 1,4-	123-91-1 193-39-5	0.4	NJGWQS - GWQC NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	2.3 0.039 J	5.9 0.0076 J	3.3 0.096 U	0.48 0.022 J	0.38 0.054 J	0.4 0.01 J	0.86 0.021 J	1.4 5 U	0.32 0.098 U
ndeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene		0.2		ug/l									000000
Vethylnaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	30	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	4.9 U	4.9 U	0.096 U	5 U	0.047 J	0.0096 J	4.8 U	5 U	0.098 U
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	98U	9.8 U	0.19 U	10 U	10 U	0.19 U	9.7 U	10 U	0.2 U
Vietals (ug/l)													
Aluminum	7429-90-5	200	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	61.1	48.6	20 U	34.9	58.8	43.6 J+	20 U	9.9 J	20 U
Antimony	7440-36-0	6	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.98 J	2 U	2 U	0.27 J	2 U	1.1 J	3.3	0.93 J	10.4
Arsenic	7440-38-2	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	10.3	5.9	6.6	11.9	4.8	3,1	7.3	5.1	2
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	USEPA/NJDEP - MCL	ug/l	168	235	213	223 J+	195	146	52.2	73.4	64.6
Beryllium	7440-41-7	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Cadmium	7440-43-9	4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.28 J	0.11 J	0.095 J	1 R	0.09 J	1 U	1 U	0.23 J	0.15 J
ron	7439-89-6	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10200	28900	18400	14200	9810	8970	944	409	101 J
_ead	7439-92-1	5	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	12.8	5.3	1.1 J+	7.8	10.4	7.2	4.5	18.7	9.7
vlanganese	7439-96-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	2670	2520	2500	1500	1160	1160	48.1	30.7	17.1
Selenium	7782-49-2	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	35.8	18	20.5	37.2	13.7	11.3	7.4	6.6	4.2 J
Sodium	7440-23-5	50000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	55800	81300	66400	72900	59800	47100	239000	123000	54500

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
[1] ARAR is the lowest value of the NJGWQS, NJDEP MCL and USEPA MCL. NJGWQS basis is Groundwater Quality

Detected result exceeds ARAR

Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR

J - Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL

J - Estimated High

J - Estimated High

J - Estimated Low

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

D - Result is from a diluted sample

R - Rejected value

- R Rejected value

Only chemicals that have a result greater than the ARAR are listed

LOT ID: SITE ID: SAMPLE DEPTH (FT BGS) COLLECTION DATE:					68 E-1 6.18-6.18 3/12/2018	68 E-1 7-7 6/5/2018	68 E-1 7.04-7.04 2/12/2019	68 MW-123 5.63-5.63 3/12/2018	68 MW-123 6.55-6.55 6/5/2018	68 MW-123 6.5-6.5 2/12/2019	69 MW-120 5.06-5.06 3/8/2018	69 MW-120 6.1-6.1 6/6/2018	69 MW-120 6.16-6.16 2/19/2019	69 MW-121 3.30-3.30 3/8/2018	69 MW-121 4.13-4.13 6/6/2018	69 MW-121 3.96-3.96 2/19/2019
Lab Analyte	CAS	ARAR ^[1]	Basis	Units	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
VOCs (ug/l)		***************************************				***************************************		***************************************	***************************************			***************************************				
Acetone	67-64-1	6000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	21 J	48	6R	22 J	6 U	6 R	87 J	21	6 U	52 J	12 U	6 U
Benzene	71-43-2	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.077 J	0.035 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	96-12-8	0.02	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	2 U	2.0	2U	20	2 U	20	2 U	20	2U	20	1 J	20
Dichloropropene, 1,3- (TRANS)	10061-02-6	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.21	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Hexanone, 2-	591-78-6	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3 U	3 U	3 R	3 U	3 U	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 R	3 R	3 U	1.8 J
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5 J	4.6 J	10 R	3.8 J	3.6 J	2.7 J	10 R	10 U	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 R
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5 U	50	5 U	5 U	5 U	50	5 U	5 U	5 U	50	5 U	5 U
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	79-34-5	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.39	0.56	0.5	0.087 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 UJ	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 UJ
Toluene	108-88-3	600	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.052 J	0.2 U
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	120-82-1	9	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U
Trichloroethane, 1,1,2-	79-00-5	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.26	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.08 J	0.2 U	0.091 J
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.44	0.13	0.057	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 UJ	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 UJ
Xylene, m,p	179601-23-1	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.98	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.43 J	0.33 J	0.5 U
Xylene, o-	95-47-6	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	0.2 J	0.2 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.22 J	0.16 J
SVOCs (ug/l)																
Benzfalanthracene	56-55-3	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.0055 J	0.0061 J	0.0032 J	0.005 J	0.0035 J	0.0039 J	0.011 J	0.015 J	0.0094 J	0.029 J	0.024 J	0.012 J
Benzofalpyrene	50-32-8	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.099 U	0.20	0.095 U	0.099 U	0.098 U	0.094 U	0.1 U	0.096 U	0.094 U	0.1 U	0.094 U	0.093 U
Benzolbifluoranthene	205-99-2	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.099 U	0.2 U	0.095 U	0.099 U	0.098 U	0.094 U	0.0066 J	0.011 J	0.0093 J	0.016 J	0.0071 J	0.0052 J
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	4.9 U	4911	1.3 J	490	4.9 U	1.2 J	5 U	48U	4.7 U	5.1 U	4.7 U	4.7 U
Cresol, p-	106-44-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.9 U	9.8 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	9.8 U	9.4 U	10 U	9.6 U	9.4 U	10 U	9.4 U	9.3 U
Dioxane, 1,4-	123-91-1	0.4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3.2	3.5	2.6	13	8.5	6.5	0.19 J	0.18 J	0.19 J	1.8	1.9	1
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.099 U	0.2 U	0.095 U	0.099 U	0.098 U	0.094 U	0.1 U	0.008 J	0.005 J	0.01 J	0.094 U	0.093 U
Methylnaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	30	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.099 U	0.2 U	0.011 J	4.9 U	4.9 U	0.0041 J	5 U	4.8 U	0.0088 J	5.1 U	4.7 U	0.011 J
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	9.9 U	9.8U	0.19 U	990	9.8 U	0.19 U	10 U	96U	9.4 U	10 U	9.4 U	93U
Metals (ug/l)				_												
Aluminum	7429-90-5	200	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	99.5	126	20 U	240	37	140	80.2	55.1	258	53	45.8	17.4 J
Antimony	7440-36-0	6	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U	6.8	2.9	2.8 J	0.32 J	2 U	2 UJ
Arsenic	7440-38-2	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	5.5	3.5 J	3.7	8.7	513	4.6	10.1	7.3	4.1	7.2	5,3	3.7
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	USEPA/NJDEP - MCL	ug/l	195	205	188	208	273	241	488	462	307	553	726	614
Bervllium	7440-41-7	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1.0	1.0	1 U	1 U	1 U	10	1 U	1 U	10	1 U	1 U	1 U
Dadmium	7440-43-9	4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	1.0	10	111	10	1 U	10	0.46 J	0.43 J	0.69 J	0.14 J	1 U	1 U
Iron	7439-89-6	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	13300	11800 J	9620	15000	13600 J	12400	14100	12200	10000	12000	13500	18900
_ead	7439-92-1	5	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1.3	1.1	1 U	1.2	1 U	1 U	25.3	12.6 J	10.3	4.2	2.6 J	0.36 J
Vanganese	7439-96-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	3010	2870 J	2990	2730	2520 J	2570	490	638	444	476	395	794
Selenium	7782-49-2	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	19.8	11.8	10.4	23.8	15	14.1	22.5	15.7 J	7.7	21	15.9 J	11.7
Sodium	7440-23-5	50000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	64100	68600	55700	78900	96000	73000	240000	247000	168000	328000	395000	239000

Notes:
ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
[1] ARAR is the lowest value of the NJGWQS, NJDEP MCL and USEPA MCL. NJGWQS basis is Groundwater Quality
Detected result exceeds ARAR
Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR
J - Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL
J+ - Estimated High

- U Result is from a diluted sample

 D Result is from a diluted sample
- R Rejected value

Only chemicals that have a result greater than the ARAR are listed

Table 3-6 GW Wells Only - ARAR 7-17 Page 9

LOT ID:					69	69	69	70	70	70	Site-Wide	Site-Wide	Site-Wide	Site-Wide	Site-Wide
SITE ID:					MW-122	MW-122	MW-122	MW-119	MW-119	MW-119	MW-201	MW-202	MW-203	MW-204 7.03-7.03	MW-205
SAMPLE DEPTH (FT BGS) COLLECTION DATE:					5.30-5.30 3/8/2018	6.12-6.12 6/6/2018	6.35-6.35 2/19/2019	3.50-3.50 3/6/2018	4.42-4.42 6/6/2018	4.21-4.21 2/14/2019	7.22-7.22 2/13/2019	8.06-8.06 2/14/2019	6.34-6.34 2/13/2019	7.03-7.03 2/18/2019	7.3-7.3 2/19/2019
Lab Analyte	CAS	ARAR ^[1]	Basis	Units	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
VOCs (ug/l)			nanconno con con con con con con con con c												
Acetone	67-64-1	6000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	34000	6U	6 U	28 J	6 U	37 J	15 J	160 J	36 J	6 U	6 U
Benzene	71-43-2	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.044 J	0.033 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	1.3	23	0.037 J	0.2 U	1.1
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1.2-	96-12-8	0.02	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	20	20	2.0	20	20	20	2 U	20	20	20	2.0
Dichloropropene, 1.3- (TRANS)	10061-02-6	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	100 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.096 J	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Ethylbenzene		700	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	1.6	1.1	7.3	0.2 U	0.2 U	3.3
Hexanone, 2-	591-78-6	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	1100 J	1,3 J	1.7 J	1.3 J	3 U	3.5 J	2.7 J	3 R	3 R	3 U	3 R
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	6.5 J	10 U	10 R	10 R	10 U	10 R	10 R	8.1 J	10 R	10 U	10 R
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	5 U	5U	5 U	50	6 U	5 U	5 U	50	6 U	5 U	5 U
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	79-34-5	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.14 J	0.25	1.1	0.2 U	0.2 U
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1	NJDEP MCL	ug/l	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 UJ	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	1.2 J
Toluene	108-88-3	600	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	1.3	0.52	0.092 J	0.064 J	3.3
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	120-82-1	9	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	75 J	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U
Trichloroethane, 1,1,2-	79-00-5	3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.37	2.2	7.6	0.2 U	0.2 U
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.11 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 UJ	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 UJ
Xviene, m,p	179601-23-1	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	0.16 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.19 J	0.5 U	6.6	26	0.52	0.5 U	25
Xylene, o-	95-47-6	1000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.5 U	0.17 J	0.22 J	0.5 U	0.16 J	0.5 U	2.2	33	0.48 J	0.15 J	15 J-
SVOCs (ug/l)	30-41-0	1000	14001140-01140	ugn	0.00	0.11 5	0.22.0	0.0 0	0.103	0.00	6.6	00	0.403	0.10 3	100-
(4 /															
Benz[a]anthracene	56-55-3	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.1 U	0.096 U	0.093 U	0.0065 J	0.039 J	0.094 U	0.0091 J	0.97 U	0.095 U	0.12	0.094 U
Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	0.1	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.1 U	0.096 U	0.093 U	0.1 U	0.093 U	0.094 U	0.096 U	0.089 J	0.095 U	0.043 J	0.094 U
Benzo[b]fluoranthene		0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.1 U	0.096 U	4.7 U	0.1 U	0.032 J	0.094 U	0.0052 J	0.97 U	0.095 U	0.02 J	0.094 U
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	5.1 U	4.8 U	4.7U	5U	4.6 U	1.8 J	1.9 J	4.7 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.7 U
Cresol, p-	106-44-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10 U	9.6 U	9.3 U	10 U	9.3 U	9.4 U	9.6 U	4.2 J	9.5 U	9.7 U	9.4 U
Dioxane, 1,4-	123-91-1	0.4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.33	0.37	0.35	0.2 U	0.98	0.69	5.5	1.4	0.069 J	0.64 J	0.073 J
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene		0.2	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	0.1 U	0.096 U	0.093 U	0.1 U	0.02 J	0.094 U	0.096 U	0.97 U	0.095 U	0.0076 J	0.094 U
Methylnaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	30	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.1 U	0.096 U	0.019 J	5 U	4.6 U	0.0029 J	0.17	0.74 J	0.014 J	0.83	0.033 J
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.3	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	10 U	9.6 U	9.3 U	10 U	9.3 U	94U	0.19 U	9.7 UJ	0.19 U	97U	9.4 U
Metals (ug/l)															
Aluminum	7429-90-5	200	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	20 U	22.2	5.5 J	96.9	111	9.4 J	30.8 J+	87.8	42 J+	49.4	48.8
Antimony	7440-36-0	6	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.32 J	2 U	2 UJ	3.1	0.44 J	0.57 J	2 U	2 UJ	2 U	2 UJ	2 UJ
Arsenic		3	NJGWQS - PQL	ug/l	8.5	7.1	2.2	2.2	6.2	4.1	12.9	17.6	2.4	26.7	1
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	USEPA/NJDEP - MCL	ug/l	162	174	125	219	1430	735	1170	515	345	281	408
Beryllium	7440-41-7	1	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Cadmium	7440-43-9	4	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	0.091 J	1 U	1 U	2.8	0.44 J	2.6	10	1 U	1 U	1 U	0.12 J
Iron	7439-89-6	300	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	18900	17400	11700	1510	15300	14100	22500	33400	7700	8680	227
Lead	7439-92-1	5	NJGWQS - GWQC/PQL	ug/l	7	3.6 J	0.71 J	6.5	7.9 J	2	1.5 J+	0.63 J	1 U	1.6	1 U
Manganese	7439-96-5	50	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	2150	1520	1080	81.7	192	370	3040	2330	1960	10100	3640
Selenium	7782-49-2	40	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	11.2	8.4 J	5	6.8	14.8 J	11.5	9.8	11.6	5.8	7	7.4
Sodium	7440-23-5	50000	NJGWQS - GWQC	ug/l	82100	55500	36400	198000	505000	281000	151000	80900	95400	198000	211000

Notes:

ARAR = Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

[1] ARAR is the lowest value of the NJGWQS, NJDEP MCL and USEPA MCL. NJGWQS basis is Groundwater Quality

Detected result exceeds ARAR

Reporting Detection Limit exceeds ARAR

J - Estimated Value, result >MDL and <RL

J+ - Estimated High

- Estimated Low

U - Not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

D - Result is from a diluted sample

R - Rejected value.

- R Rejected value

Only chemicals that have a result greater than the ARAR are listed

Table 3-6 GW Wells Only - ARAR 7-17 Page 10

TABLE 3-7 CALCULATION OF RISK BASED CONCENTRATIONS VISITOR SCENARIO

Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

EXPOSURE EQUATIONS: Non Cancer

RBC-ing nc = $\frac{\text{THQ * AT nc * C2 * BW}}{\text{THQ * AT nc * C2 * BW}}$

EF * ED * IRs * FS* 1/RfD * C1

Parameter		Description		Value	Reference
		Child			
IRs	=	Ingestion rate of soil - child	mg/day	200	USEPA 2014
EF	=	Exposure Frequency - child	days/yr	52	Ramboll 2020
ED	=	Exposure Duration - child	years	6	USEPA 2014
FS	=	Fraction soil contact at Site	unitless	1	Ramboll 2020
BW	=	Body Weight - child	kg	15	USEPA 2014
ATnc	=	Averaging Time - noncancer	years	6	USEPA 1989
THQ	=	Target Hazard Quotient	unitless	1	USEPA 1991
RfD	=	Reference Dose	mg/kg-d	Chemical-specific	ATSDR 2019
C1	=	Units conversion factor 1	kg/mg	0.000001	Universal
C2	=	Units conversion factor 2	days/yr	365	Universal
RBC-ing nc	=	Noncancer risk-based soil soncentration-incidental ingestion	mg/kg	Chemical-specific	Calculated
Chemical of Potential	CAS	Toxicity Values		RBC - Non Cance	r ¹
Concern		RfD		RBC-ing nc	
Metals					
Copper	7440-50-8	0.001		526	

Notes:

NA = not available.

NC = not calculated.

[1] Risk-based concentrations were not calculated for the dermal contact and inhalation of dust exposure pathways. This is because there is no dermal absorption factor or inhalation toxicity value available for copper

Cancer-based concentrations were also not calculated, since copper is not classified as a carcinogen.

References:

Ramboll 2020. Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment, Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site. Newark, New Jersey. March 25, 2020.

ATSDR 2019. Minimal Risk Levels. RfD is the intermediate minimal risk level (0.01 mg/kg/day) with a subchronic to chronic uncertainty factor of 10, per USEPA Region 2 (Ramboll, 2020).

USEPA 2014. Memorandum: Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance: Update of Standard Default Exposure Factors. February 6, 2014. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. OSWER Directive 9200.1-120. USEPA 1991. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I. Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part B, Development of Risk-based Preliminary Remediation Goals). EPA/540/R-92/003. Washington D.C., December 1991.

USEPA 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part A, Interim Final, OSWER Directive 9285.701A. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, USEPA, Washington D.C., December.

Table 3-8 Calculation of Lead Risk Based Concentrations ¹ Indoor Worker Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

Variable	Description of Variable	Units	GSDI and PhBo from Analysis of NHANES 2009-2014				
PbB _{fetal} , 0.95	Target PbB in fetus (e.g., 2-8 µg/dL)	μg/dL	5				
R _{fetal/maternal}	Fetal/maternal PbB ratio		0.9				
BKSF	Biokinetic Slope Factor	μg/dL per μg/day	0.4				
GSD _i ²	Geometric standard deviation PbB		1.8				
PbB₀²	Baseline PbB	μg/dL	0.6				
IRs ³	Soil ingestion rate (including soil-derived indoor dust)	g/day	0.050				
AF _{S, D}	Absorption fraction (same for soil and dust)		0.12				
EF _{S, D} ⁴	Exposure frequency (same for soil and dust)	days/yr	219				
AT _{S, D} ⁵	Averaging time (same for soil and dust)	days/yr	365				
PRG in Soil for no more than	PRG in Soil for no more than 5% probability that fetal PbB exceeds target PbB ⁶						

Notes:

¹ Model Source: U.S. EPA (1996). Recommendations of the Technical Review Workgroup for Lead for an Interim Approach to Assessing Risks Associated with Adult Exposures to Lead in Soil. Model version date: 6/14/2017. U.S. EPA Technical Review Workgroup for Lead, Adult Lead Committee Available online at: https://www.epa.gov/superfund/lead-superfund-sites-software-and-users-manuals#update

Highlighted input parameters indicate receptor and/or site-specific values. All other parameters are model default values.

²GSDi and PbB₀ are updated values, obtained from USEPA, Update of the Adult Lead Methodology's Default Baseline Blood Lead Concentration and Geometric Standard Deviation Parameters. OSWER 9200.2-82. May 2017.

3This soil ingestion rate for the indoor worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of the April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁴This exposure frequency for the indoor worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁵This averaging time for the indoor worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of the April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁶ The acceptable USEPA threshold that estimated fetal blood lead levels exceed the target blood level is 5%.

^{-- =} Not applicable; ug/g = micrograms per gram; ppm = parts per million; ug/dL = micrograms per deciliter; ug/day = micrograms per day g/day = grams per day; days/yr = days per year

Table 3-9 Calculation of Lead Risk Based Concentrations ¹ Outdoor Worker Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

Variable	Description of Variable	Units	GSDI and PbBo from Analysis of NHANES 2009- 2014				
PbB _{fetal, 0.95}	Target PbB in fetus (e.g., 2-8 µg/dL)	μg/dL	5				
Rfetal/maternal	Fetal/maternal PbB ratio		0.9				
BKSF	Biokinetic Slope Factor	μg/dL per μg/day	0.4				
GSD _i ²	Geometric standard deviation PbB		1.8				
PbB₀²	Baseline PbB	μg/dL	0.6				
IRs ³	Soil ingestion rate (including soil-derived indoor dust)	g/day	0.067				
AF _{s, D}	Absorption fraction (same for soil and dust)		0.12				
EF _{s, D} ⁴	Exposure frequency (same for soil and dust)	days/yr	219				
AT _{S, D} ⁵	Averaging time (same for soil and dust)	days/yr	365				
PRG in Soil for no more t	PRG in Soil for no more than 5% probability that fetal PbB exceeds target PbB $^{\rm 6}$						

Notes:

¹ Model Source: U.S. EPA (1996). Recommendations of the Technical Review Workgroup for Lead for an Interim Approach to Assessing Risks Associated with Adult Exposures to Lead in Soil. Model version date: 6/14/2017. U.S. EPA Technical Review Workgroup for Lead, Adult Lead Committee Available online at: https://www.epa.gov/superfund/lead-superfund-sites-software-and-users-manuals#update
Highlighted input parameters indicate receptor and/or site-specific values. All other parameters are model default values.

²GSDi and PbB₀ are updated values, obtained from USEPA, Update of the Adult Lead Methodology's Default Baseline Blood Lead Concentration and Geometric Standard Deviation Parameters. OSWER 9200.2-82. May 2017.

³This soil ingestion rate for the outdoor worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of the April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁴This exposure frequency for the outdoor worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁵This averaging time for the outdoor worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of the April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁶ The acceptable USEPA threshold that estimated fetal blood lead levels exceed the target blood level is 5%.

⁻⁻⁼ Not applicable; ug/g = micrograms per gram; ppm = parts per million; ug/dL = micrograms per deciliter; ug/day = micrograms per day g/day = grams per day; days/yr = days per year

Table 3-10 Calculation of Lead Risk Based Concentrations ¹ Utility Worker Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

Variable	Description of Variable	Units	GSDI and PbBo from Analysis of NHANES 2009- 2014
PbB _{fetal, 0.95}	Target PbB in fetus (e.g., 2-8 μg/dL)	µg/dL	5
R _{fetal/maternal}	Fetal/maternal PbB ratio		0.9
BKSF	Biokinetic Slope Factor	μg/dL per μg/day	0.4
GSD _i ²	Geometric standard deviation PbB		1.8
PbB₀²	Baseline PbB	μg/dL	0.6
IRs ³	Soil ingestion rate (including soil-derived indoor dust)	g/day	0.067
AFs, D	Absorption fraction (same for soil and dust)		0.12
EF _{s, D} ⁴	Exposure frequency (same for soil and dust)	days/yr	13
AT _{S, D} ⁵	Averaging time (same for soil and dust)	days/yr	91
PRG in Soil for no more	e than 5% probability that fetal PbB exceeds target PbB ⁶	ppm	3,292

Notes:

¹ Model Source: U.S. EPA (1996). Recommendations of the Technical Review Workgroup for Lead for an Interim Approach to Assessing Risks Associated with Adult Exposures to Lead in Soil. Model version date: 6/14/2017. U.S. EPA Technical Review Workgroup for Lead, Adult Lead Committee Available online at: https://www.epa.gov/superfund/lead-superfund-sites-software-and-users-manuals#update

Highlighted input parameters indicate receptor and/or site-specific values. All other parameters are model default values.

²GSDi and PbB₀ are updated values, obtained from USEPA, Update of the Adult Lead Methodology's Default Baseline Blood Lead Concentration and Geometric Standard Deviation Parameters. OSWER 9200.2-82. May 2017.

³This soil ingestion rate for the utility worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of the April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁴This exposure frequency for the utility worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁵This averaging time for the utility worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of the April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁶ The acceptable USEPA threshold that estimated fetal blood lead levels exceed the target blood level is 5%.

⁻⁻⁼ Not applicable; ug/g = micrograms per gram; ppm = parts per million; ug/dL = micrograms per deciliter; ug/day = micrograms per day g/day = grams per day; days/yr = days per year

Table 3-11 Calculation of Lead Risk Based Concentrations ¹ Construction Worker Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

Variable	Description of Variable	Units	GSDI and PbBo from Analysis of NHANES 2009-2014					
PbB _{fetal, 0.95}	Target PbB in fetus (e.g., 2-8 µg/dL)	μg/dL	5					
R _{fetal/maternal}	Fetal/maternal PbB ratio		0.9					
BKSF	Biokinetic Slope Factor	μg/dL per μg/day	0.4					
GSD _i ²	Geometric standard deviation PbB		1.8					
PbB₀²	Baseline PbB	µg/dL	0.6					
IRs ³	Soil ingestion rate (including soil-derived indoor dust) ³	g/day	0.100					
AFs, D	Absorption fraction (same for soil and dust)		0.12					
EF _{S, D} ⁴	Exposure frequency (same for soil and dust) ⁴	days/yr	65					
AT _{S, D} ⁵	Averaging time (same for soil and dust)	days/yr	91					
PRG in Soil for no mor	PRG in Soil for no more than 5% probability that fetal PbB exceeds target PbB 6							

Notes:

¹ Model Source: U.S. EPA (1996). Recommendations of the Technical Review Workgroup for Lead for an Interim Approach to Assessing Risks Associated with Adult Exposures to Lead in Soil. Model version date: 6/14/2017. U.S. EPA Technical Review Workgroup for Lead, Adult Lead Committee Available online at: https://www.epa.gov/superfund/lead-superfund-sites-software-and-users-manuals#update

Highlighted input parameters indicate receptor and/or site-specific values. All other parameters are model default values.

²GSDi and PbB₀ are updated values, obtained from USEPA, Update of the Adult Lead Methodology's Default Baseline Blood Lead Concentration and Geometric Standard Deviation Parameters. OSWER 9200.2-82. May 2017.

³This soil ingestion rate for the construction worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of the April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁴This exposure frequency for the construction worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁵This averaging time for the construction worker was obtained from Appendix F (Table F.4) of the April 2020 Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment prepared by Ramboll.

⁶ The acceptable USEPA threshold that estimated fetal blood lead levels exceed the target blood level is 5%.

^{-- =} Not applicable; ug/g = micrograms per gram; ppm = parts per million; ug/dL = micrograms per deciliter; ug/day = micrograms per day g/day = grams per day; days/yr = days per year

TABLE 3-12 CALCULATION OF RISK BASED CONCENTRATIONS INDOOR WORKER SCENARIO

Vapor Intrusion - Soil to Indoor Air

Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

Inhalation of Indoor Air:													
IA no	=		THQ * AT nc *	* C2		IA o	: =	TR * ATc	* C2				
u.v.iis			D * ET * (1/C3		-	,,,,		R * (1/C3) * EI		-			
			D E1 (1100	,, (11110)				, ,	<i>y</i>				
Target Soil Gas Concentration :						Risk-	based Soil Concentr	ation:					
Csg (noncancer and cancer)	=		IA n	c or IA c			RBC (noncancer and	d cancer)	=	(Csg-noncancer or Csg-c	cancer)/ [C1 / (Kd / H') + (6	θw / H'*pb) + (θa /pb)]	
			6	lpha		-							
Parameter			Des	cription			Units	Va	lue	Refe	rence		
EF	=	Exposure Fre	equency - adult				days/yr	2	50	USEPA 2014			
ED	=	Exposure Du	ration - adult				years	2	25	USEPA 2014			
ET	=		e indoors - adu	lt			hours/day		8	USEPA 2014			
ATc	=	Averaging Til					years		0	USEPA 1989			
ATnc	=	Averaging Til	me - noncance	•			years	2	25	USEPA 1989			
THQ	=	Target Hazar					unitless		1	USEPA 1991			
TR	=	Target Cance					unitless		0001	USEPA 1991			
RfC	=	Reference Co					mg/m ³		ıl-specific	See Ramboll 20			
IUR	=		tion Unit Risk				(mg/m ³) ⁻¹		al-specific	See Ramboll 20)20		
C1	=	Units convers					L/m ³		000	Universal			
C2	=	Units convers					days/yr		65	Universal			
C3	=	Units convers					hours/day	2	24	Universal			
IA-nc	=	Indoor Air Co	oncentration - r	oncancer			mg/m ³						
IA-c	=	Indoor Air Co	oncentration - c	ancer			mg/m ³						
Csg-noncancer	=	Target soil ga	as concentration	n - noncancer			mg/m ³						
Csg-cancer	=	Target soil ga	as concentration	n - cancer			mg/m ³						
RBC-noncancer	=	Soil risk-base	ed concentration	n - noncancer			mg/kg	Chemica	al-specific	Calculated Valu	ies		
RBC-cancer	=	Soil risk-base	ed concentration	n - cancer			mg/kg						
Alpha	=	Soil gas - Ind	oor Air Attenua	tion Factor			unitless						
Kd	=	Soil-water pa	rtition coefficier	nt (fraction organi	c carbon * Koc)	ı	L/kg						
H'	=	Henry's Law	constant				unitless						
₩	=	Water-filled s	oil porosity				unitless	0.0)55				
0 a	=	Air-filled soil	porosity				unitless	0.	32	Values for sand; Ramb	ooll, 2020		
ρb	=	Dry soil bulk	density				kg/L	1.	66				
Chemical of Potential	Toxicity	/ Values				Csg - Noncancer	Csg - Cancer			RBC - Noncancer	RBC- Cancer	RBC- Cancer	RBC- Cancer
Concern			Alpha	IA-nc	IA-c			Kd	H'	THQ = 1	ILCR = 10-6	ILCR = 10-5	ILCR = 10-4
	RFC	IUR				Inhalation	Inhalation			Inhalation	Inhalation	Inhalation	Inhalation
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)		T	1 22521		T 225 25		T		T 0 15 0 :	5.05.00	T		
Trichloroethene (TCE)	2.0E-03	4.1E-03	2.9E-04	8.8E-03	3.0E-03	3.1E+01	1.0E+01	3.4E-01	2.4E-01	5.3E-02	1.80E-02	1.8E-01	1.8E+00
Total Xylenes	1.0E-01	NA	2.9E-04	4.4E-01	NC	1.5E+03	NC	7.7E-01	2.0E-01	6.5E+00	NC	NC	NC
Naphthalene	3.0E-03	3.4E-02	2.9E-04	1.3E-02	3.6E-04	4.6E+01	1.3E+00	4.0E+00	8.3E-03	2.2E+01	6.2E-01	6.2E+00	6.2E+01

Notes:

Ramboll 2020. Final Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment, Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site. Newark, New Jersey. March 25, 2020.

USEPA 2014. Memorandum: Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance: Update of Standard Default Exposure Factors. February 6, 2014. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. OSWER Directive 9200.1-120.

USEPA 1991. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I. Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part B, Development of Risk-based Preliminary Remediation Goals). EPA/540/R-92/003. Washington D.C., December 1991

USEPA 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part A, Interim Final, OSWER Directive 9285.701A. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, USEPA, Washington D.C., December.

NA = not available.

EVENOURE FOUNTIONS

NC = not calculated.

Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site (0013620.22)

Table 3-13 Preliminary Remediation Goals for Soil Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersy

					Human He	aith RBCs ^{2, 3, 4}								To Be Considered				
Chemical of Potential Concern ¹	Visi	tor			Indoor Worker			Outdoor Worker	Utility Worker	Construction Worker	Ecological RBCs ⁵	ARARs ⁶	Representative Historical Fill	NJDEP Impact to Groundwater Soil	Human Health PRGs ⁹	Basis for Human Health PRG	Ecological PRGs Lots 67 and 69 ⁹	Basis for Ecologica PRG
Concern	Based on Target HQ = 1	Based on IEUBK Model	Based on Target ILCR = 10 ⁻⁶	Based on Target ILCR 10 ⁵	Based on Target ILCR 10 ⁻⁴	Based on Target HQ = 1	Based on ALM Model	RBC Based on ALM Model	RBC Based on ALM Model	RBC Based on ALM Model	KBCs		Average Value ⁷	Remediation Standards ⁸	Sitewide	nealth PRO	Lots 67 and 69	PRO
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)		•						*						•	-		•	
Benzene									-	-		5		0.01	5	ARAR	5	ARAR
Cumene									-	-	0.04	NA	-		NA NA		0.04	Ecological RBC
Ethyl Benzene		-						-	-	-	0.27	110,000		13	110,000	ARAR	0.27	Ecological RBC
2-Hexanone			-	-	-	-			-	-	0.36	NA	-	-	NA .	-	0.36	Ecological RBC
Toluene									-		0.15	91,000	·	7	91,000	ARAR	0.15	Ecological RBC
1,1,1-Trichloroethane									-		0.04	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.3	NA NA		0.04	Ecological RBC
Trichloroethene	-		0.02	0.18	1 80	0.05		-	-	-		10	-	0 01	0.02	ILCR 10 ⁻⁶	0.05	HQ = 1
Total Xylenes					L	6.50					0.10	170,000	<u> </u>	19	6.50	HQ = 1	0.10	Ecological RBC
Semivolatile Organic Compounds (SV				,	·	·	r				1.10		T	r			1.40	F 1 : 1880
PAHs (High MW)								-	-	-	1.10	NA 17	1.37	0.8	NA 17	ARAR	1.10	Ecological RBC ESC
Benzo(a)anthracene		-						-	-	-	1.10	2	1.37	0.8	2	ARAR ARAR	1.10	ESC
Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene		-		-		-	-		-		1.10	17	1.89	0.2	17	ARAR	1.10	ESC
									-		1.10	30,000	1.51	NA NA	30,000	ARAR	1.10	Ecological RBC
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene Benzo(k)fluoranthene									-		1.10	170	1 79	25	170	ARAR	1.10	ESC
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate								-		-	0.02	140		1.200	140	ARAR	0.02	Ecological RBC
Carbazole	-								_		0.07	96	-	NA	96	ARAR	0.07	Ecological RBC
Chrysene		-		-		-			_	_	1.10	1,700	-	80	1,700	ARAR	1.10	Ecological RBC
Dimethylphthalate									_		0.35	NA			NA	70001	0.35	Ecological RBC
Di-n-butylphthalate											0.01	68,000	 	760	68,000	ARAR	0.01	Ecological RBC
Fluoranthene	 		·					·		l	1.10	24,000	t	1,300	24,000	ARAR	1.10	Ecological RBC
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene								l	-	-	1.10	17	1,41	7	17	ARAR	1.10	ESC
Naphthalene			0.62	6.20	62	22						17	t	25	0.62	ILCR 10 ⁻⁶	6	ILCR 10 ⁻⁵
Pyrene									_	_	1.10	18,000		840	18,000	ARAR	1.10	Ecological RBC
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	<u> </u>	L		<u> </u>	L	<u></u>	L	Å		J	L	.	<u> </u>		I		L	L
PCBs (total)					I			_	_	T	0.04	1	T	0.2	1 1	ARAR	0.04	Ecological RBC
Arocior-1254								_	_		0.04				1	ARAR	0.04	Ecological RBC
Araciar-1260											0.04	1			1 1	ARAR	0.04	Ecological RBC
Aroclor-1262								_			0.04	1			1	ARAR	0.04	Ecological RBC
Inorganics		L			<u> </u>		L			<u> </u>	L	·	<u> </u>	L				
Aluminum		T	T	T	T	T	T	T		T	50	NA	T	6,000	NA		50	Ecological RBC
Antimony										_	0.27	450	<u> </u>	6	450	ARAR	0.27	Ecological RBC
	l		 		l			.		 			}					
Arsenic			-						-	-		19	-	19	19	ARAR	19	ARAR
Barium	-		-		-				-	-	330	59,000	-	2,100	59,000	ARAR	330	Ecological RBC
Cadmium								-	-	-	0.36	78	11	2	78	ARAR	0.36	ESC
Chromium (total)								-	-	-	23	NA			NA NA		23	Ecological RBC
Chromium VI								-	-	-	0.34	NA			NA		0.34	Ecological RBC
Copper	526	-				-			1	-	28	45,000		11,000	526	HQ = 1	28	Ecological RBC
Cyanide (total)										-	0.10	680		20	680	ARAR	0.10	Ecological RBC
Lead		567					1,050	784	3,292	441	11	800	574	90	800	ARAR	1.10	ESC
Manganese									-		220	5,900		65	5,900	ARAR	220	Ecological RBC
Mercury											0.01	65		0.1	65	ARAR	0.01	Ecological RBC
Nickel									-		38	23,000		48	23,000	ARAR	38	Ecological RBC
Selenium											0.52	5,700	-	11	5,700	ARAR	0.52	Ecological RBC
Vanadium	İ										7.80	1,100	l	NA NA	1,100	ARAR	7.80	Ecological RBC
Zinc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										46	110,000	580	930	110,000	ARAR	46	ESC
Additional Chemicals		l			l		L				40	110,000	000		,	,,,,,,		
	1		T	1	1	1	1			1			7	1			0.0000000	P1-2 (2001
2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	1 "		I	1		1			-		0.0000032	NA NA			NA	-	0.0000032	Ecological RBC

Table 3-13 Preliminary Remediation Goals for Soil Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersy

Notes:

ARAR - Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

RBC = risk-based concentration

NA - Not available

- -- = not a chemical of potential concern for receptor/pathway
- 1. Soil concentrations are presented in units of milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)
- 2. The soil RBCs for caroinogenic effects (incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk, 120) are based on a target cancer risk range of 10⁶ to 10⁴. The soil RBCs for non-cancer hazards (Hazard Quotient, HQ) are based on a target hazard index of 1. See Table 3-7 and 3-12 for calculation of risk-based concentrations.
- 3 See Section 3.7.2 for further discussion on derivation of the child visitor lead RBC.
- 4. RBCs for lead were developed using the Adult Lead Model (ALM) for the indoor worker, outdoor worker, at tify worker, and construction worker. Calculations are presented in Tables 3-8 through 3-11.
- 5. Ecological RBCs are the ecological screening criteria (ESC) as presented in the Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment by Ramboll Inc. (April 2020)
- 6. ARARs are the New Jersey nonresidential direct contact soil remediation standards, as presented in Table 1B of NJAC 2:26D
- 7. Representative historical fill values are from retracted New Jersey Department of Protection (NDEP), N.J.A.C. 7.28E Technical Requirements for Site Remediation, Table 4-2, (November 2009). While these values are no longer supported by NJDEP, they are listed here as a point of comparison
- 8. ARARs applicable to non-residential use of soil include the NIDEP NRDCSRS (N.J.A.C. 7.260.4.3). NIDEP "Guidance Document for Development of Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standards" is a soil TBC. Site-specific Impact to Groundwater Soil Screening Levels (IGWSSLs)
 - were not developed for a soil-fill comparison because ARARs were available for comparison and exceedance determination.
- 9. PRGs were selected based on the following:
 - a. Human Health: Lowest concentration between human health RBC (lowest value between HQ=1 or 10⁴ cancer risk or lead model) if available and the ARAR (if available). For lead, the ARAR was selected as the final PRG, see report text for explanation.
 - Ecological (Lots 67 and 69 only): Lowest concentration between human health RBC, ecological RBC and ARAR, as available.
 - b. If human health and/or ecological RBC value in (a) is lower than the NJDEP historical fill average value (if available), then the human health and/or ecological PRG was set at the historical fill average value.

Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site (0013620.22)

Page 2 of 2

Table 3-14 Demonstration of Cumulative Hazard and Cancer Risk for Soil Preliminary Remediation Goals

Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

Recentor	Chemical of Potential	Non-cancer Hazard-Based	Cancer risk- Based RBC [1]	Preliminary Remediation Goal		PRG-associated Risk and Hazard ^[3]				
Receptor	Concern	RBC [1]	Dased NDC -	(PRG) ^[2]	Cancer		Non-cancer			
		mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	ILCR	HI	Target Organ ^[4]			
Future Child Visitor	Copper	526	NC	526		1	Gastrointestinal			
Tuture Office Visitor				Total Risk/Hazard:		1	(no HI breakdown needed)			
	Naphthalene	22	0.62	0.62	1E-06	0.03	Respiratory; Nervous			
Future Indoor Worker	Trichloroethene	0.05	0.02	0.02	1E-06	0.4	Immune; Development; Cardiovascular			
Tataro indoor Monto	Total Xylenes	6.5	NC	6.5	NC	1	Nervous			
				Total Risk/Hazard:	2E-06	1.4				
				Worker HI break	down by target organ:	1.0	Nervous			
						0.03	Respiratory			
Notes:						0.4	Immune; Development; Cardiovascular			

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram

HI - hazard index associated with soil PRG

ILCR - incremental lifetime cancer risk associated with soil PRG

RBC - risk-based concentration

NC - not calculated; COPC not classified as a carcinogen

[1]Cancer risk and non-cancer hazard RBCs, where applicable, were calculated based on an target cancer risk of 10⁻⁶ and a target hazard quotient (HQ) of 1, as shown on Table 3-13

- [2] Indicates the final selected PRG, as presented on Table 3-13
- [3] The target risk/hazard associated with the PRG, as calculated using the following equation:

[4] Primary target organ information as presented in Table 5.02 in Appendix A of the Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment (Ramboll, 2020)

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAINED
No Action	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Under this response action, no active response action will be taken to address concerns regarding waste. The no action alternative is required to be considered by the NCP to provide a baseline against which all other alternatives may be compared.	Effectiveness: would not be effective in reducing toxicity, mobility, or volume for potential source material or principal threat waste and would not meet RAOs. Implementability: Because no action would be taken, this option can be implemented. Relative cost: No capital, administrative, or O&M cost.	Yes
Removal	Mechanical Transfer	Containerization or Transport Vehicle	Waste would be pumped, vacuumed or otherwise transferred into DOT-approved containers or transport vehicles. Solid and separate phase liquid waste would be segregated. Dewatering for UST removal may be required because the groundwater table is shallow (approximately 4 to 10 feet bgs) and UST contents may be in contact with groundwater. Containerization of dewatering liquids for subsequent characterization is anticipated.	Effectiveness: Removal would be ancillary to subsequent disposal and would thereby be effective in reducing mobility in the environment. No change of waste volume or toxicity would occur without subsequent treatment. Implementability: The presence of subsurface utilities (e.g., water line) would need to be assessed prior to UST closure by removal. Implementation would be restricted to UST-certified contractors. Dewatering is anticipated with collection of post-removal compliance soil samples above the water table. Relative cost: No maintenance is required. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
Disposal	Disposal (off-site)	Solid Waste Landfill, Used Oil Recycling, or Treatment and Disposal	Wastes are transported to an appropriately licensed facility for disposal. Treatment prior to disposal may be necessary. Wastes must be characterized prior to disposal. Disposal restrictions may require pretreatment prior to disposal.	Effectiveness: Disposal would be effective in preventing direct contact and reducing mobility in the environment. Treatment to meet land disposal requirements would reduce waste volume and/or toxicity. Implementability: The presence of subsurface utilities (e.g., water line) would need to be assessed prior to UST closure by removal. Relative cost: No maintenance is required. Requires waste characterization, disposal fees, and trucking costs. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes

2020.06.30 Table 4-1 Waste Page 1 of 1

GENERAL RESPONSE	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAINE D
ACTION No Action	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Under this response action, no active response action will be taken to address concerns regarding contaminated soil. The no action alternative is required to be considered by the NCP to provide a baseline against which all other alternatives may be compared.	Effectiveness: The no action alternative would not meet ARARs or reduce unacceptable risks to human health or the environment. Implementability: Because no action would be taken, this option is the easiest to implement. Relative cost: No capital, administrative, or O&M cost. Lowest cost alternative.	Yes
Institutional Controls/Access Restrictions	Land Use Restrictions	Deed Notice	File a Deed Notice whereby the owner agrees to subject the property to certain statutory and regulatory requirements that impose certain restrictions upon the use of the property, and to provide notice to subsequent owners, lessees and operators of the restrictions including the monitoring, maintenance, and reporting requirements that are outlined in the Deed Notice.	Effectiveness: Institutional controls would not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants and would not reduce COPC concentrations to protective levels. These controls alone would not be protective of human health because soil contamination exists at concentrations greater than the PRGs. The Site is zoned as commercial, and a deed notice may be implemented to keep this designation in the future. The effectiveness of institutional controls depends on the reliability of their execution, which is most likely controlled by the local government. Implementability: Deed notices have been established for some lots that bind the property owners to certain land use restrictions, notice requirements, and the obligation to inspect and maintain any engineering controls that prevent direct contact with historic fill/soil. Enhancement of existing deed notices may be feasible to allow elevated levels of contaminated soil to remain permanently on-site. Relative cost: Periodic reporting required. Generally low-cost alternative.	Yes
		Zoning/ Ordinances	Restrictions for protection of public health. Issued and enforced by a governing body or regulatory agency. The Site is a Dedicated Industrial Zone by the City of Newark.	Effectiveness: Zoning ordinances alone would not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants and would not reduce site—related contaminant concentrations to protective levels. These controls alone would not be protective of human health because soil contamination exists at concentrations greater than the PRGs. The effectiveness of ordinances depends on the reliability of their execution, which is most likely controlled by the local government. Implementability: Zoning ordinances have been established for flood zone development. Public approval of additional ordinances to further restrict Site use may be difficult to achieve initially. Ordinance enforcement would be moderately difficult. Relative cost: Generally low-cost alternative. Periodic reporting required.	Yes
	Barriers	Fencing/Signs	Erect a fence and signs around contaminated areas to restrict access and prevent contact with contaminated soils.	Effectiveness: Fencing and warning signs can be effective in reducing human exposure to contaminated soil but do not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of the contamination, which would continue to pose risks to human health and the environment. These controls would not reduce contaminant concentrations to protective levels. Fencing could reduce on-site illegal activities and thus new contaminant sources. May conflict with intended Site use. May be used in conjunction with another technology. Implementability: This process option would be easily implementable for the site since equipment for this process option is readily available. Relative cost: Requires maintenance and monitoring. Periodic inspections and maintenance as required to address damage. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
Engineering Controls	Cover Systems	Single-Layer Cap	Single-layer caps can consist of a synthetic membrane or a single layer of soil, clay, asphalt, or concrete. Single synthetic membrane caps are the simplest of caps designed to minimize infiltration and prevent direct contact.	Effectiveness: The engineered structure would be effective in preventing direct contact with contaminated soil, promoting runoff, and reducing infiltration and associated dissolution of COPC, but would not reduce toxicity or volume, and would not eliminate contact of groundwater with contaminated soil due shallow groundwater, tidal fluctuations, and flooding. Single-layer caps are relatively susceptible to loss of integrity, unless properly inspected and maintained. Primary drawbacks to single synthetic membrane caps are susceptibility to penetration by animals, weathering, and unequal settlement. Application often combined with use of institutional controls. An effective means of preventing direct contact with impacted soil/fill. Currently present as an engineering control at the Site. Implementability: This process option is technically implementable using conventional earthmoving equipment. The materials, experienced vendors, and equipment are readily available. Installing a cap within the 100-year flood zone could require NJDEP's approval, and would require soil erosion control measures to ensure the integrity of the cap as designed to ensure that the contaminants would not be released or pose risks to human and ecological receptors in case of flooding. Relative cost: Periodic inspections and maintenance as required to address damage. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		Combination Cap	Combination caps consist of a synthetic membrane liner overlain by soil, with an asphalt or concrete surface layer. This type of cap can eliminate infiltration, leachate generation, air emissions, and direct contact with contaminated media and provides better protection of groundwater compared to the single-layer cap.	Effectiveness: Due to the presence of several layers, this technology is more likely to be effective in preventing direct contact to impacted soil and historic fill than a single layer cap. A low-permeability layer would help to prevent direct contact with contaminated soil, promote runoff, reduce infiltration and associated dissolution of COPC, and reduce transmission of water or vapor through the cap but would not eliminate contact of groundwater with contaminated soil due shallow groundwater, tidal fluctuations, and flooding. This technology requires maintenance and inspection to maintain integrity. Implementability: This process option is technically implementable using conventional earthmoving equipment. The materials, experienced vendors, and equipment are readily available. Installing a cap within the 100-year flood zone would require NJDEP's approval, and would require soil erosion control measures to ensure the integrity of the cap as designed to ensure that the contaminants would not be released or pose risks to human and ecological receptors in case of flooding. Relative cost: Periodic inspections and maintenance as required to address damage. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	Yes
		Multimedia Cap	Multimedia caps typically have several layers composed of the following: a bedding layer installed on top of the contaminated soil, an impervious layer of clay, a second bedding layer and a second impervious layer, a drainage layer, and vegetative cover. Multimedia caps provide the greatest reduction of soil infiltration and durability compared to the single-layer cap.	Effectiveness: Due to the presence of several layers, this technology is more likely to retain its integrity than a single-layer cap for reducing COPC mobility. A low-permeability layer would help to prevent direct contact with contaminated soil, promote runoff, reduce infiltration and associated dissolution of COPC, and reduce transmission of water or vapor through the cap, but would not reduce toxicity or volume, and would not eliminate contact of groundwater with contaminated soil due shallow groundwater, tidal fluctuations, and flooding. A double low-permeability layer is typically applied as a remedy for waste in place (e.g., landfills, surface impoundments) and preserves open green space. This technology requires maintenance and inspection to maintain integrity. The surface of this cap is not suitable for roadways, parking, and material storage occurring at the Site. Implementability: This process option is technically implementable using conventional earthmoving equipment. The materials, experienced vendors, and equipment are readily available. Installing a cap within the 100-year flood zone could require NJDEP's approval, and would require soil erosion control measures to ensure the integrity of the cap as designed to ensure that the contaminants would not be released or pose risks to human and ecological receptors in case of flooding. Relative cost: Periodic inspections and maintenance as required to address damage. Generally high-cost alternative.	No

2020.06.30 Table 4-2 soil Page 1 of 6

ENERAL	REMEDIAL	PROCESS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAII
SPONSE TION	TECHNOLOGY	OPTIONS			D
Engineering Vertical Barriers Controls	Vertical Barriers	Slurry Wall	Slurry wall construction typically entails the excavation and backfilling of a trench with either a soil/bentonite or cement/bentonite slurry mixture. Soil/bentonite slurry walls are more flexible, achieve low hydraulic conductivities, and are cheaper than cement/bentonite slurry walls. Where superior strengths are required, cement/bentonite slurry walls can be constructed. To prevent underflow of contaminated groundwater, the slurry walls are typically keyed into underlying confining clay layers within an aquifer. Slurry walls are containment barriers that could be installed inland of the bulkhead wall to help isolate impacted soil from groundwater and the river. May be used in combination with the existing or replaced bulkhead wall to isolate impacted soil.	Effectiveness: A slurry wall would help reduce mobility of COPC and direct contact with most contaminated soil, but would not reduce toxicity or volume. Based upon subsurface voids along existing river wall between building 6 and 10, and possible wall structure tie-backs, slurry wall alignment could be 15-20 feet inland of the present wall where competent soil (needed for slurry wall trench) is likely to exist. This alignment would result in some contaminated soil "outside" of slurry wall reducing its effectiveness. Furthermore, slurry wall construction methods preclude installation along the river bank to prevent erosion or sloughing of Site soils. A slurry wall would not be effective for isolating COPC in soil. Implementability: A slurry wall would be difficult to implement. Active buried infrastructure and building foundations would need to be avoided, removed, or rerouted. Installation may be disruptive to current commercial operations. At some locations (i.e. Buildings 7, 10, and 17) there is insufficient space between river and existing buildings. Geotechnical study of barrier alignment and possible effects on adjacent structures would be needed. Relative cost: No anticipated maintenance. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	Yes
		Shoreline Revetment	Riprap or interlocking concrete block is placed on a prepared subgrade to absorb the energy of waves or flowing water as defense against erosion to help protect the slope and preserve the existing uses of the shoreline.	Effectiveness: Revetment would be installed to enhance or in lieu of the existing bulkhead. Revetment would be placed on a prepared slope and sized according to anticipated maximum flow velocities. Some encroachment into access routes that are immediately inland of the existing wall (i.e., Lots 60 and 61) would be inevitable. At some locations (i.e., Buildings 7, 10, and 17), there are space limitations between the river and existing buildings. If buildings remain, river encroachment is likely. Revetment installation could be complemented by installing berms to control surface water. A geomembrane could be placed under the revetment to reduce potential Site and river interaction (soil and groundwater). Implementability: Implementation of shoreline revetment would require landowner consent and coordination with future redevelopment plans which may pose some difficulty. Inactive river wall pipes would be sealed. Would require a geotechnical investigation for geomembrane installation termination design. If the design includes working below the mudflat to install a geomembrane, the difficulty of construction would be relatively high. Relative costs: Requires maintenance to address damage as identified through routine inspection, especially following extreme precipitation events, to maintain effectiveness. Generally moderate-cost alternative, depending on maintenance requirements from extreme events.	Yes
		Sheet Piling	Sheet pile barrier walls are formed by driving interlocking sheet piles constructed of steel, wood, concrete, or plastic to isolate the contaminated soil from the surrounding environment.	Effectiveness: A barrier would be installed to protect the riverbank from erosion and slumping and reduce potential infiltration from and potential exfiltration to the river. The barrier would reduce the mobility of COPC and exposure by direct contact with contaminated soil, but would not reduce toxicity or volume. If extended above ground surface, a barrier could also help prevent river flooding. Would not serve as an earth retaining structure unless waling and buried tiebacks are also installed. Existing occupied buildings could limit wall placement to more inland portions of the Site. May be used in combination with or in lieu of the existing bulkhead. At some locations (i.e., Buildings 7 and 10), there are space limitations between the river and existing buildings. If buildings remain, river encroachment is likely. Implementability: Demonstration of permit equivalencies would require several months. Geotechnical study of barrier design and possible effects on adjacent structures needed. Quality control is required to ensure proper interlocking of the sheets. Active buried infrastructure and building foundations may need to be removed, avoided or rerouted. Installation may be disruptive to current commercial operations. At some locations (i.e., Buildings 7, 10, and 17) there is insufficient space between river and existing buildings. If buildings remain, river encroachment is likely which would increase the difficulty of implementation. Inactive river wall pipes would be sealed. This technology would be implemented with difficulty using specialty equipment and contractors. Relative cost: Requires maintenance to address damage as identified through routine inspection of exposed portions of the barrier. Generally high-cost alternative.	Yes
		Soil Berm	An earthen dike would be placed along the riverbank to help contain river flow onto the Site during flooding events.	Effectiveness: A dike would likely be an ancillary technology to another form of vertical barrier as a component of an alternative depending on ground surface elevation and relative barrier height to raise the total elevation of the remedy and help control surface water movement onto the Site from the river and potential offsite transport of soil containing COPCs. Soil berms would not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of COPC. If extended above ground surface, a barrier could also help prevent river flooding. Would not serve as an earth retaining structure. Existing occupied buildings could limit berm placement to more inland portions of the Site. May be used in combination with the existing bulkhead. At some locations (i.e., Buildings 7, 10, and 17), there is insufficient space between the river and existing buildings. If buildings remain, river encroachment is likely. Implementability: An earthen berm would be readily implemented. Bulkhead stabilization or improvement could be required if a soil berm is used in combination with the existing bulkhead. Conventional earthmoving equipment and contractors would install clean (i.e., non-contaminated) fill. At some locations (i.e., Buildings 7, 10, and 17) there is insufficient space between river and existing buildings. If buildings remain, river encroachment is likely which would increase the difficulty of implementation.	Yes
				Relative cost: Requires maintenance to address damage as identified through routine of the berm. Generally low-cost alternative.	

2020.06.30 Table 4-2 soil Page 2 of 6

GENERAL RESPONSE	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAI D
A CTION Removal	Excavation	Mechanical	Contaminated soil is excavated using conventional excavation equipment. Depending upon the vertical extent of the contaminated soils, dewatering may be required. Sheeting and shoring may be required, depending upon depth and geotechnical conditions. Removal of soil below the groundwater table is not anticipated due the volume of water and soil that would be generated, given the extent of urban fill.	Effectiveness: Excavation is effective for removal where equipment can access the contaminated materials and has space to maneuver. Depending on the extent of excavation, it could completely remove the contamination exceeding the PRGs or leave some residual contamination. However, excavation alone would not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of the contaminants. Excavation is a common construction technique. The excavated soils can either be treated and placed back into the excavation or shipped off-site for treatment/disposal. In the latter case, backfilling with clean (i.e. contaminant free) fill, of appropriate geotechnical properties may be necessary. Implementability: Excavation is technically and administratively feasible at the Site. The presence of subsurface infrastructure such as utilities, tanks and vaults, buildings and building foundations, bulkheads and bulkhead tie-backs may interfere with or prevent excavation. Site redevelopment prior to or in coordination with remediation could mitigate these potentially interfering subsurface features. Requires confirmation sampling. Relative cost: No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	Yes
reatment	In-Situ Treatment (Biological)	Phytoremedia- tion	The use of plants to remediate environmental media in situ. May or may not involve periodic harvesting of plants, depending upon method utilized. Most effective where constituent containing soil is within ten feet of the ground surface.	Effectiveness: Metabolic reactions with certain organic compounds (e.g., solvents, explosives and crude oil) and uptake of certain metals could reduce volume and mobility of select COPC. Phytoremediation would be limited by the ability of soil to support vegetation, availability of plant species that tolerate and uptake Site contaminants, and duration of growing season. In addition, limited area not currently in use for industrial purposes or planned for future use as such make this technology unattractive. Implementability: Phytoremediation requires a long-term commitment and would be relatively labor intensive with specialized knowledge. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Based upon zoning, expected future use, and minimal open space for planting, this technology would be very difficult to implement and is not well suited for the Site. Relative cost: Requires periodic inspections, replacement/harvesting, and confirmation sampling. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	No
		Bioventing	Air is drawn through the impacted vadose zone via extraction wells equipped with low flow vacuums to promote biodegradation by providing only enough oxygen to sustain microbial activity in the vadose zone.	Effectiveness: Applicable only to certain organic contaminants, such as heavier petroleum hydrocarbons (i.e., not readily treated by SVE). This technology would not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of inorganic COPC. Highly dependent on soil geotechnical properties such as air permeability and homogeneity. May require engineering controls due to residual inorganics. Implementability: Implementation of bioventing would be moderately difficult and would need to be coordinated with future Site development to avoid interference with intended land use. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Requires a continuous source of energy, blower operation, and confirmation sampling. Relative cost: Generally moderate-cost alternative. No anticipated long-term maintenance.	Yes
	In-Situ Treatment (Physical)	Electrokinetic Remediation	Application of low intensity direct electrical current across electrode pairs implanted in the ground on each side of a chemical containing area of soil, causing electro-osmosis and ion migration. Chemical constituents migrate toward respective electrodes depending upon their charge. Process may be enhanced through use of surfactants or reagents to increase chemical constituent removal rates at the electrodes. Process separates and extracts heavy metals, radionuclides, and organic chemical constituents from saturated or unsaturated soils, sludges, and sediments.	Effectiveness: The presence of buried features such as utility lines, building foundations, irregular/heterogeneous fill can adversely impact efficacy. Methodologies are innovative with limited application history. This technology is not well suited for this Site due to the prevalence of buried metal and soil heterogeneity (density and permeability) which would limit effectiveness. Implementability: The electrokinetic technology would be relatively easy to implement in select areas where buried metal is not as abundant. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous source of energy, electrode maintenance, treatment or removal of separated chemical constituents, and confirmation sampling. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally high-cost alternative.	No
		Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE)	A vacuum (much greater air exchange than bioventing) is applied to the subsurface though a well network to create a negative pressure gradient that causes the movement of vapors toward the extraction wells. Contaminants are drawn to a collection points and extracted. Extracted vapors are treated, as necessary, and discharged to the atmosphere.	Effectiveness: Applicable only to certain volatile and semi-volatile contaminants, such as petroleum hydrocarbons. The toxicity, mobility, and volume of inorganics would not be reduced. More successful with lighter (more volatile) compounds, such as gasoline. Highly dependent on soil geotechnical properties such as air permeability and homogeneity. Due to high water table (i.e., 4 to 10 feet below ground surface) and corresponding thin vadose zone, short-circuiting to the atmosphere is likely without an impermeable cover layer. Additional treatment of contaminants after collection may be required. Retained for possible application under building foundations to mitigate vapor intrusion where the foundation subbase is likely to provide a permeable, continuous, homogenous layer below the slab. Implementability: SVE is readily implementable with conventional drilling, plumbing, and electrical trades. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. If vapor treatment is required, spent treatment media would need to be removed for disposal or regeneration. Relative cost: Requires a continuous source of energy, blower operation, and confirmation sampling. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		Air Stripping and Air Sparging	An array of injection wells is used to inject gas (e.g., air, oxygen, or ozone) under pressure to volatilize chemicals sorbed to soil, dissolved in groundwater, or present as non-aqueous phase liquid and to stimulate biodegradation in unsaturated soil. Oxygen levels, nutrients, and pH can be controlled to enhance biological activity.	Effectiveness: Applicable only to volatile organic contaminants. The toxicity, mobility, and volume of inorganics would not be reduced. Highly dependent on soil geotechnical properties such as air permeability and homogeneity. Due to high water table (i.e., 4 to 10 feet below ground surface) and corresponding thin vadose zone, short-circuiting to the atmosphere is likely without an impermeable cover layer. In addition, due to fluctuating water table, vaporized contaminants in the vadose zone at low tide could re-enter the aqueous phase at high tide, reducing overall efficiency. Implementability: Air sparging and air stripping is readily implementable with conventional drilling, plumbing, and electrical trades. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. If vapor treatment is required, spent treatment media would need to be removed for disposal or regeneration. Relative cost: Requires a continuous source of energy, blower operation, and confirmation sampling. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes

2020.06.30 Table 4-2 soil Page 3 of 6

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAIN D
Treatment	In-Situ Treatment (Physical)	Multi-phase Extraction (MPE)	MPE is a technology that extracts soil vapor and groundwater simultaneously. The groundwater table is lowered in order to dewater the saturated zone. This allows the VOCs sorbed on the previously saturated soil to be stripped by the induced vapor flow and extracted. In addition, soluble VOCs in the extracted groundwater are removed. There are two types of MPE: two-phase extraction (TPE) and Low or High Vacuum Dual-Phase extraction.	Effectiveness: Applicable only to volatile organic contaminants. The toxicity, mobility, and volume of inorganics would not be reduced. Highly dependent on soil geotechnical properties such as air permeability and homogeneity. Vadose zone thickness and variability due to tidally influenced groundwater elevations is not amenable to this technology. Implementability: MPE is implementable with moderate difficulty requiring specialized contractors. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. If vapor treatment is required, spent treatment media would need to be removed for disposal or regeneration. Dewatering could generate significant water volumes for management/treatment/disposal. Relative Cost: Requires a continuous source of energy, blower operation, and confirmation sampling. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	No
		Soil Flushing - Surfactant- Enhanced Aquifer Remediation	A surfactant solution is injected into the constituent containing zone while water is simultaneously removed to maintain hydraulic control over the movement of the surfactant solution and the mobilized chemical constituents. Surfactant flooding is followed by water flooding to remove residual chemical constituents and injected chemicals.	Effectiveness: Highly dependent on soil geotechnical properties such as permeability and homogeneity and contaminant sorption properties as influenced by soil geochemistry. The toxicity, mobility, and volume of COPC in vadose zone soil would not be reduced. Implementability: Soil fushing is implementable with moderate difficulty and would need specialized contractors. The tidal influence on the saturated zone may necessitate significant design and operational controls to maintain surfactant recovery. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, confirmation sampling, and treatment of extracted flushing liquids. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally high-cost alternative.	No
		Cosolvent Flushing	Cosolvent flushing involves injecting a solvent mixture (e.g., water plus a miscible organic solvent such as alcohol) into either vadose zone, saturated zone, or both to extract organic chemical constituents.	Effectiveness: Highly dependent on soil geotechnical properties such as air permeability and homogeneity and contaminant sorption properties as influenced by soil geochemistry. Implementability: Cosolvent fushing is implementable with moderate difficulty and would require specialized contractors. The tidal influence on the saturated zone may necessitate significant design and operational controls to maintain solvent recovery. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, confirmation sampling, and treatment of extracted flushing liquids. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally high-cost alternative	No
		Thermal Remediation	Thermal heating uses electrical resistance or gas well heating techniques to remove sorbed organics contaminants by heating the subsurface sufficiently to vaporize the organics. This technology can be applied to chemicals in both the vadose and saturated zones. The volatile organic compound vapors are recovered through vapor extraction wells.	Effectiveness: Limited to only to organic contaminants. The toxicity, mobility, and volume of COPC in vadose zone soil would not be reduced. Additional treatment of contaminants after collection is required. Shallow water table may lead to inefficiency as energy needed to vaporize organics is lost to groundwater. Implementability: Significant energy inputs (electricity or gas) is necessary, which may take several months to procure. The treatment system may require permitting. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, heating and blower operation, confirmation sampling, and treatment/disposal of condensate. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally high-cost alternative.	No
	In-Situ (Chemical)	In-situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO)	Chemical oxidation by injecting and mixing oxidizing agents such as hydrogen peroxide, sodium and potassium permanganate, ozone, sodium and potassium persulfate. Most organic contaminants are amenable to oxidation.	Effectiveness: Would reduce toxicity, mobility, and volume of organic COPC in soil. Ambient oxidant demands must be estimated, to develop a proper dosing regimen. Implementability: Would be implemented with moderate difficulty using conventional excavating equipment and potentially proprietary treatment agents. Bench scale testing and treatability/pilot study may be required during design. Relative cost: Requires post-treatment demonstration sampling and possibly multiple mixing events. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
	In-Situ Treatment (Thermal)	Steam Stripping	Steam is injected into soil so that chemical constituents are volatilized and can be removed via extraction wells.	Effectiveness: Limited to only to organic contaminants. Additional treatment of contaminants after collection is required. The toxicity, mobility, and volume of COPC in vadose zone soil would not be reduced. Significant energy inputs (electricity or gas) is necessary to generate steam. Shallow water table may lead to inefficiency as energy needed to vaporize organics is lost to groundwater. Effectiveness is highly dependent on soil geotechnical properties such as air permeability and homogeneity. Due to shallow groundwater, control and collection of steam is likely to be difficult. Implementability: Steam stripping would be difficult to implement. Significant energy inputs (electricity or gas) is necessary, which may take several months to procure. The treatment system may require permitting. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires continuous energy input, compressor and blower operation, confirmation sampling, and treatment of collected steam and condensate. Generally high-cost alternative	No
		Vitrification	Uses an electric current to melt soil or other earthen materials at extremely high temperatures (2,900 to 3,650°F). Inorganic chemical constituents are incorporated into the vitrified glass and crystalline mass and organic pollutants are destroyed by pyrolysis. In situ applications use graphite electrodes to heat soil.	Effectiveness: Interference from buried features (e.g. utilities, building foundations) are expected to adversely impact application. Impacts of heat generation on neighboring users and receptors must be accounted for. Due to shallow groundwater, significant steam generation is anticipated and energy needed to pyrolyze organics is lost to groundwater. Effective control and collection of steam is likely to be difficult. Implementability: Vitrification would be difficult to implement. Significant energy inputs (electricity or gas) is necessary, which may take several months to procure. The treatment system may require permitting. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires significant energy input, blower operation, and treatment collected steam/vapors. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally, very high-cost alternative.	No

2020.06.30 Table 4-2 soil Page 4 of 6

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAINE D
Treatment	In-Situ Treatment (Immobilization)	Stabilization/ Solidification (Organic and Inorganic Based)	Chemical immobilization of materials by injecting and mixing a stabilization/solidification agent into the soil.	Effectiveness: Stabilization/solidification would reduce mobility, but not toxicity or volume of COPC. Near-surface pressure injection of dissolved or suspended treatment agents in heterogeneous Site soils may result in uneven mixing (i.e., poor penetration in fine-grained soil, and preferential flow along utility corridors). Interference from buried features (e.g. utilities, building foundations) may adversely impact mechanical mixing in some areas. Community impacts from odors and contaminant volatilization must be controlled. Implementability: Stabilization/solidification would be readily implemented using conventional earthmoving equipment, with some specialized expertise to determine reagent type and mixing ratios. Mixing to the top of the water table is anticipated to allow incorporation of Site groundwater for hydrolysis. Extensive treatability testing and mixing regiment studies may be required during design. Relative cost: Requires stabilization/solidification agent, mixing or injection, and confirmation sampling. No anticipated maintenance. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
Treatment	Ex-Situ Treatment (Immobilization)	Stabilization/ Solidification (Organic and Inorganic Based)	Chemical immobilization of materials by excavating and mixing a stabilization/solidification agent into the soil.	Effectiveness: Stabilization/solidification would reduce mobility, but not toxicity or volume of COPC. Coarse fill materials (e.g. concrete, wood) must be separated. Community impacts from odors and contaminant volatilization must be controlled. Excavation to the top of the water table is anticipated. Implementability: Stabilization/solidification would be readily implemented using conventional earthmoving equipment, with some specialized expertise to determine reagent type and mixing ratios. Extensive treatability testing and mixing regiment studies may be required during design. Would require off-site disposal and backfilling with clean (i.e., contaminant-free) fill. Relative cost: Requires excavation, confirmation sampling, stabilization/solidification agent, mixing, and off-site disposal of treated soil. No anticipated maintenance. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
	Ex-Situ Treatment (Extraction)	Solvent Extraction	A solvent is used to extract organic chemical constituents from excavated soils. The solvent is separated from the materials and reused.	Effectiveness: Coarse fill materials (e.g. concrete, wood) must be separated. Limited to organics. Would not reduce mobility, toxicity, or volume of inorganic COPC. Results are variable depending on geotechnical and geochemical conditions. Typically applied to limited areas with significant impacts (hot spots). Implementability: Solvent extraction is implementable with moderate difficulty due to the need for specialized contractors. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires excavation, confirmation sampling, solvent, pumping/recirculation, and treatment/disposal of solvent. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally high-cost alternative.	No
	Ex-Situ Treatment (Thermal)	Thermal Desorption	Chemical constituents are separated from the excavated soils at a relatively low temperature and are condensed into a concentrated liquid form suitable for transport offsite to a traditional treatment or disposal facility.	Effectiveness: Coarse fill materials (e.g. concrete, wood) must be separated. Limited to organics. Would not reduce mobility, toxicity, or volume of inorganic COPC. Treated soil may contain residual organics or metals. Typically applied to limited areas with significant impacts (hot spots). Implementability: Thermal desorption is implementable with moderate difficulty due to the need for specialized contractors. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. PCB Aroclor concentrations above 50 ppm have not been encountered at the Site, but if reported during the pre-design investigation, appropriate actions will be taken. Relative cost: Requires excavation, confirmation sampling, a continuous power source, heating and blower operation, and treatment/disposal of condensate. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally high-cost alternative.	Yes
		Incineration	Excavated soils are thermally treated in a fluidized bed, rotary kiln, cement kiln, or infrared incinerator, which may require RCRA permitting. Incineration may be performed on-site or off-site using mobile or fixed facilities.	Effectiveness: Coarse fill materials (e.g. concrete, wood) must be separated. Limited to organics. Would not reduce mobility, toxicity, or volume of inorganic COPC. Implementability: On-site incineration would be difficult to implement due to the need for specialized contractor and permitting requirements. Requires a significant energy source, which may require months to procure and/or generate significant greenhouse gas. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Off-site incineration at an existing permitted facility is implementable but will require rail transport to an out of state facility, PCB Aroclor concentrations above 50 ppm have not been encountered at the Site, but if reported during the pre-design investigation, appropriate actions will be taken. Relative cost: Requires excavation, dewatering and confirmation sampling. On-site treatment will require significant energy input, airborne particulate removal, acid gas neutralization, disposal of captured particulates and ash, and air monitoring. Off-site treatment will require rail transport. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally, very high-cost alternative.	Yes, for off-site treatment only.
		Pyrolysis	Pyrolysis is a process of destructive distillation, using a reduced oxygen atmosphere. Organic contaminants are thermally decomposed into ions. The process converts waste into a clean product gas and an inert vitrified slag that requires no further waste treatment and is suitable for long term storage or reuse.	Effectiveness: The volume and toxicity of organic COPC and the mobility of inorganic COPC would be reduced. Significant amounts of energy are required. Coarse fill materials (e.g. concrete, wood) must be separated. Implementability: Requires a significant energy source, which may be difficult to procure and/or generate significant greenhouse gas. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires excavation, confirmation sampling, significant energy input, airborne particulate removal, acid gas neutralization, disposal of captured particulates and ash, and air monitoring. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally, very high-cost alternative	No
		Vitrification	Uses an electric current to melt soil or other earthen materials at extremely high temperatures (2,900 to 3,650°F). Inorganic chemical constituents are incorporated into the vitrified glass and crystalline mass and organic pollutants are destroyed by pyrolysis.	Effectiveness: The volume and toxicity of organic COPC and the mobility of inorganic COPC would be reduced. Significant amounts of energy are required. Coarse fill materials (e.g. concrete, wood) must be separated. Implementability: Requires a significant energy source, which may be difficult to procure and/or generate significant greenhouse gas. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires excavation, confirmation sampling, significant energy input, airborne particulate removal, acid gas neutralization, disposal of captured particulates and ash, and air monitoring. No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally, very high-cost alternative	No

2020.06.30 Table 4-2 soil Page 5 of 6

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAIN D
Treatment Ex-Situ Treatment (Biological)	Landfarming/ Composting	Soil is mixed with amendments and placed on a treatment area. Leachate collection is provided. The soil and amendments are mixed using a windrow composter, conventional tilling equipment, or other means to provide aeration. Moisture, heat, nutrients, oxygen, and pH can be controlled to enhance biodegradation. Other organic amendments such as wood chips or alfalfa are added to composting systems. Land-farmed soil would either be used as backfill in excavated areas or removed for off-site disposal.	Effectiveness: Landfarming would be effective in reducing volume and toxicity of organic COPC, but would not reduce toxicity, mobility, or volume of inorganic COPC. Coarse fill materials (e.g. concrete, wood) must be separated. Due to inorganic residuals, landfarming would be an ancillary technology to containment and/or institutional controls. Implementability: Landfarming would be difficult to implement due to significant space and time requirements conflicting with current and anticipated land use/redevelopment. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Potential community impacts from odors and contaminant volatilization must be controlled. May require procurement of off-site clean (i.e., contaminant-free) soil for backfilling excavations. Relative cost: Requires excavation, confirmation sampling, amending agents, mixing, leachate treatment/disposal, and vapor collection/treatment. No long-term maintenance. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	No	
		Biopiles	Excavated soil is mixed with amendments and placed in aboveground enclosures and aerated with blowers or vacuum pumps. Moisture, heat, nutrients, oxygen, and pH can be controlled to enhance biodegradation.	Effectiveness: Biopiles would be effective in reducing volume and toxicity of organic COPC, but would not reduce toxicity, mobility, or volume of inorganic COPC. Coarse fill materials (e.g. concrete, wood) must be separated. Due to inorganic residuals, biopiles would be an ancillary technology to containment and/or institutional controls. Implementability: Biopiles would be difficult to implement due to significant space and time requirements conflicting with current and anticipated land use/redevelopment. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Potential community impacts from odors and contaminant volatilization must be controlled. May require procurement of off-site clean (i.e., contaminant-free) soil for backfilling excavations. Relative cost: Requires excavation, confirmation sampling, mixing, leachate treatment/disposal, and vapor collection/treatment. No long-term maintenance. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	No
Treatm	Ex-Situ Treatment (Chemical)	Chemical Oxidation	Chemical oxidation by mixing oxidizing agents such as hydrogen peroxide, sodium and potassium permanganate, ozone, sodium and potassium persulfate. Most organic contaminants are amenable to oxidation.	Effectiveness: Would reduce toxicity, mobility, and volume of organic COPC in soil. Ambient oxidant demands must be estimated, to develop a proper dosing regimen. Implementability: Would be implemented with moderate difficulty using conventional excavating equipment and potentially proprietary treatment agents. Bench scale testing and treatability/pilot study may be required during design. Relative cost: Requires post-treatment demonstration sampling and possibly multiple mixing events. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
Beneficial Reuse	Beneficial reuse	On-Site Fill	Soil testing (treated or untreated) results would be used to demonstrate attainment of appropriate cleanup standards for on-site placement as excavation backfill or other beneficial use.	Effectiveness: Beneficial reuse is only suitable for material with low concentrations of contaminants. May be an ancillary technology to on-site treatment. Implementability: Beneficial reuse would be readily implementable following testing and determination of equivalency with NJDEP's "Fill Material Guidance for SRP Sites" dated April 2015. Coarse fil materials (e.g., concrete) may need to be segregated to suit reuse criteria (i.e., road base material, engineered fill, etc.). Conventional earthmoving equipment would be used for debris segregation. Off-site disposal of debris and oversized materials may be required. Relative cost: Requires soil/fill testing and possible off-site disposal of segregated unsuitable fill material. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
Disposal	Disposal (off- site)	Solid Waste or Hazardous Waste Landfill	Excavated soils are transported to an appropriate licensed facility for landfilling, or beneficial reuse. Treatment prior to disposal may be necessary.	Effectiveness: Landfill disposal is effective in preventing direct contact with and reducing the mobility of contaminants. The volume and toxicity of the waste would not be reduced unless treatment is conducted. Implementability: This technology is readily implementable. Depending on the daily capacity of the disposal facility, production rates may be limited. Soils must be characterized prior to disposal. Disposal restrictions may require pretreatment prior to disposal. Relative cost: Requires waste characterization and disposal fees. Trucking costs can be significant. No long-term maintenance. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	Yes

2020.06.30 Table 4-2 soil Page 6 of 6

GENERAL BESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAINED
No Action	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Under this response action, no active response action will be taken to address concerns regarding contaminated groundwater. The no action alternative is required to be considered by the NCP to provide a baseline against which all other alternatives may be compared.	Effectiveness: No action would not be effective in reducing toxicity, mobility, or volume for potential source material or principal threat waste and would not meet RAOs. Implementability: Because no action would be taken, this option is the easiest to implement. Relative cost: No capital, administrative, or O&M cost. Lowest cost alternative.	Yes
Institutional Controls/Access Restrictions	Use Restrictions	Classification Exception Area (CEA)	Submit to the NJDEP an application with the necessary information to establish a classification exception area, that gives notice of the fact that groundwater in the area does not meet designated use requirements.	Effectiveness: CEAs would not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants and would not reduce COPC concentrations to protective levels. These controls alone would not be protective of human health because contamination exists at concentrations greater than PRGs. CEAs have been established for some lots to prevent groundwater use for purposes other than monitoring. CEAs will remain in place as long as groundwater does not meet designated use standards. Implementability: CEAs have been established for some lots that bind the property owners to groundwater use restrictions and notice requirements. Designation of additional CEAs may be feasible. Relative cost: Groundwater monitoring and periodic reporting will be required as a component of the CEA. Generally low-cost alternative.	Yes
		Well Restriction Area (WRA)	Typically, part of a classification exception area, the NJDEP establishes a prohibition for installing wells for potable or other uses in the designated area	Effectiveness: WRAs would not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants and would not reduce COPC concentrations to protective levels. These controls alone would not be protective of human health because contamination exists at concentrations greater than PRGs. Well Restriction Areas (WRAs) have been established for lots with CEAs and will remain in place as long as groundwater does not meet designated use standards. Implementability: Designation of WRAs for additional CEAs would be required. Relative cost: Periodic reporting is required to demonstrate compliance. Generally low-cost alternative.	Yes
	Barriers	Fencing/Signage	Sumps in existing buildings and future buildings present opportunities for groundwater exposure into basements. Access restrictions via fencing or secured utility room/vault to restrict access and prevent contact with groundwater and vapors. Warning signs also.	Effectiveness: Fencing and warning signs can be effective in reducing human exposure to contaminated groundwater but do not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of the contamination, which would continue to pose risks to human health and the environment. These controls would not reduce contaminant concentrations to protective levels. May conflict with intended Site use. May be used in conjunction with another technology. Implementability: This process option would be easily implementable for the site since equipment for this process option is readily available. Relative cost: Requires maintenance and monitoring. Periodic inspections and maintenance as required to address damage. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
Engineering Controls	Subsurface Barriers	Slurry Walls	Slurry wall construction typically entails the excavation and backfilling of a trench with either a soil/bentonite or cement/bentonite slurry mixture. Soil/bentonite slurry walls are more flexible, achieve low hydraulic conductivities, and are cheaper than cement/bentonite slurry walls. Where superior strengths are required, cement/bentonite slurry walls can be constructed. To prevent underflow of contaminated groundwater, the slurry walls are typically keyed into underlying confining clay layers within an aquifer.	Effectiveness: Slurry walls are containment barriers applicable to plume control (mobility reduction) and can be used with various technologies and process options to help isolate impacted groundwater and achieve hydraulic control. Due to relatively permeable historic fill and proximity of river, would require surrounding the hydraulic control area to prevent lateral groundwater inflow. A thicker wall than would be typical may be required to resist tidal influence. Existing occupied buildings would limit wall placement and capture area. May be used in combination with the existing or replaced bulkhead wall to isolate the capture area. Based upon subsurface voids along existing river wall between building 6 and 10, and possible wall structure tie backs, slurry wall alignment could be 15-20 feet inland of the present river wall where competent soil (needed for slurry wall trench) is likely to exist. This alignment would result in some soil/fill and groundwater "outside" of slurry wall thus reducing overall effectiveness. Implementability: A slurry wall would be difficult to implement. Active buried infrastructure and building foundations would need to be avoided, removed, or rerouted. Installation may be disruptive to current commercial operations. At some locations (i.e. Buildings 7, 10, and 17) there is insufficient space between river and existing buildings. Geotechnical study of barrier alignment and possible effects on adjacent structures needed. Relative cost: No anticipated maintenance. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	Yes
		Sheet Piling	Sheet pile barrier walls are formed by driving interlocking sheet piles constructed of steel, wood, concrete, or plastic to isolate the contaminated soil from the surrounding environment. As with slurry wall, sheet piling is commonly keyed into lower confining layers to prevent groundwater underflows.	Effectiveness: A barrier would be installed to replace the deteriorated portions of the bulkhead wall to reduce influence of river water on Site groundwater (tidal effects), and reduce potential groundwater exfiltration to river thereby reducing potential mobility of groundwater COPC. Sheet piling would not reduce toxicity or volume. If extended above ground surface, a barrier could also help prevent river flooding, river sediment deposition on Site, infiltration of flood water, and serve as a Site surface water control feature. Inactive river wall pipes would be sealed. Existing occupied buildings would limit wall placement and capture area for inland portions of the Site. May be used in combination with the existing or replaced bulkhead wall to isolate the capture area. At some locations (i.e., Buildings 7, 10, and 17), there are space limitations between the river and existing buildings. If buildings remain, river encroachment is likely. Implementability: Quality control is required to ensure proper interlocking of the sheets. Active buried infrastructure and building foundations could need to be removed, avoided or rerouted. Installation may be disruptive to current commercial operations. Geotechnical study of barrier alignment and possible effects on adjacent structures needed. Relative cost: Requires maintenance to address damage as identified through routine inspection of exposed portions of the barrier. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	Yes
		Grout Curtains	Grout curtains are fixed, subsurface barriers formed by the pressure injection of grout in a regular pattern of drilled holes. Typically, the grout is injected into pipes arranged in a pattern of two or three adjacent rows. The injected grout fills open pore spaces and sets or gels in the soil voids, reducing the permeability of the grouted area.	Effectiveness: Grout curtains are similar to slurry walls although they do not require extensive trenching. Installation and propagation of grout may be difficult in cases of debris fill or heterogeneous subsurface media. Would not be applicable for installation near the river bank due to the potential for grout loss into the river. Due to relatively permeable historic fill and proximity of river, would require surrounding the hydraulic control area to prevent hydraulic communication with the river. Existing occupied buildings would limit curtain placement and capture area. Additionally, existing buried infrastructure may create preferential pathways (i.e., voids and more permeable bedding) preventing a continuous barrier. Implementability: Grout curtains would be somewhat difficult to implement due to buried infrastructure and existing buildings. Treatability studies to design the grout and injection pattern may be required and geotechnical study of barrier alignment and possible effects on adjacent structures. Installation may be disruptive to current commercial operations. Relative cost: No anticipated maintenance. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	No

Table 4-3 gw Page 1 of 8

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAINED
Engineering Controls	Subsurface Barriers	Diaphragm Walls	Diaphragm walls are barriers composed of reinforced concrete panels emplaced by slurry trenching techniques. They may be cast-in-place or precast and are capable of supporting heavy loads. Diaphragm walls can only be expected to have permeabilities comparable to cement/bentonite walls if the joints between the cast panels are made correctly. As with other containment methods, these would have to be keyed into a lower confining layer to prevent groundwater underflow.	Effectiveness: Similar to slurry walls. Due to relatively permeable historic fill and proximity of river, would require surrounding the hydraulic control area to prevent hydraulic communication with the river. Existing occupied buildings would limit wall placement and capture area. May be used in combination with the existing bulkhead wall isolate the capture area. Active existing buried infrastructure would need to be avoided, removed, or rerouted. Installation may be disruptive to current commercial operations. At some locations (i.e. Buildings 7and 10) there is limited space between river and existing buildings. Based upon subsurface voids along existing river wall between building 6 and 10, and possible wall structure tie backs, slurry wall alignment could be 15-20 feet inland of the present wall where competent soil (needed for slurry wall trench) is likely to exist. This alignment would result in some soil/historic fill "outside" of slurry wall. Implementability: Installation generates a large amount of spoils. Installation is difficult where subsurface contains coarse fill. Geotechnical study of barrier alignment and possible effects on adjacent structures needed. Relative cost: Requires maintenance to address damage as identified through periodic inspection. Generally high-cost alternative. Does not offer benefits over other less costly options.	No
	Collection Systems	Well Point Dewatering Systems	A well point dewatering system consists of an array of well points (constructed of steel pipes with perforated tips) that are driven into the aquifer and connected at the surface by a manifold hooked up to a vacuum system.	Effectiveness: As a stand-alone technology, well points would not reduce toxicity or volume of COPC but would reduce mobility. For maximum operating efficiency, lift attainable by suction pump is about 22 feet. System design parameters are dependent upon site hydrogeologic conditions. The river presents a boundary condition that will likely hinder the development of a mature cone of depression. To create appropriate capture zones, removed water volumes could be significant due to river influence (recharge). Induced infiltration of river water should be minimized to optimally address impacted groundwater by selective well point placement and possible installation of a subsurface barrier between the well points and river. Typically used for short-term withdrawal in preparation for soil excavation (i.e., manifold and pipes are not buried for freeze protection and well points may lose efficiency from biological fouling or sedimentation). No perceived benefit to removal of groundwater using well points vs. removal directly from an excavation, except for possible reduction of suspended solids via in situ filtration through the formation. Implementability: Well points would be relatively easy to install and add or replace as needed for effective dewatering on a temporary basis. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, vacuum blower, and well point maintenance. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally low-cost alternative.	No
		Ejector Wells	Ejector well construction specifications are similar to those of well points. Pumping and extraction of groundwater is achieved by bubbling air upward through the well casing and allowing the air pressure to lift the groundwater to the surface. Ejector wells are applicable for high-lift, low-flow conditions.	Effectiveness: As a stand-alone technology, wells would not reduce toxicity or volume of COPC, but would reduce mobility. Ejector wells have very low operating efficiencies. System design parameters are dependent upon site hydrogeologic conditions. The river presents a boundary condition that will likely hinder the development of a mature cone of depression. To create appropriate capture zones, removed water volumes could be significant due to river influence (recharge). Due to inherent low-flow capability and anticipated recharge rates due to river proximity, well spacing would be relatively close. Induced infiltration of river water should be minimized to optimally address impacted groundwater by selective well screen placement and possible installation of a subsurface barrier between the wells and river. Not applicable for this setting due to shallow groundwater (i.e., high-lift not required). Implementability: Ejector wells would be readily implemented with conventional drilling contractors. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, compressor, ejector well maintenance. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally low-cost alternative.	No
		Pumping Wells	Pumping wells are similar to traditional wells and are installed in a boring consisting of riser casing, well screen, and sand filter pack. The wells can be installed at regular intervals across a site to allow for the overlapping of the cones of depression (capture zones) created by simultaneous pumping to achieve the collection of contaminated groundwater and halt the migration of a plume.	Effectiveness: As a stand-alone technology, wells would not reduce toxicity or volume of COPC, but would reduce mobility. System design parameters are dependent upon site hydrogeologic conditions. The river presents a boundary condition that will likely hinder the development of a mature cone of depression. To create appropriate capture zones, removed water volumes could be significant due to river influence (recharge). Induced infiltration of river water should be minimized to optimally address impacted groundwater by selective well screen placement and possible installation of a subsurface barrier between the wells and river. There are no unacceptable health risks under the current use and CEAs are anticipated for those lots currently without one to prevent groundwater use for other than monitoring Groundwater concentrations of some COPCs were lower for the last event than prior events. Pump and treat options may address organic COPC but would not eliminate ongoing dissolution of inorganic COPC to groundwater that remains in contact with urban fill or remaining contaminated soils. Implementability: Wells would be readily implemented with conventional drilling contractors. Requires a continuous power source, pump, and well maintenance. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Relative cost: Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
Removal	Collection Systems	Subsurface Drains	Subsurface drains include any type of buried conduit used to convey and collect groundwater by gravity flow. They function like an infinite line of extraction wells, creating a continuous zone of influence enabling groundwater within these zones to flow toward the drain. Subsurface drains installed along a line or at regular intervals across a site are constructed by trench excavation in the aquifer of concern, placement of a perforated drainage pipe in the base of the trench, and backfilling of the trench with aggregate. The individual drain pipes subsequently drain into a collection sump, which can be emptied (pumped) periodically.	Effectiveness: As a stand-alone technology, drains would not reduce toxicity or volume of COPC, but would reduce mobility. Subsurface drains are most effective for shallow depths of less than 20 feet. System design parameters are dependent upon site hydrogeologic conditions. The river presents a boundary condition that will likely hinder the development of a mature cone of depression. To create appropriate capture zones, removed water volumes could be significant due to river influence (recharge). Induced infiltration of river water should be minimized to optimally address impacted groundwater by selective well screen placement and possible installation of a subsurface barrier between the wells and river. There are no unacceptable health risks under the current use and CEAs are anticipated for those lots currently without one to prevent groundwater use for other than monitoring. Groundwater concentrations of some COPCs were lower for the last event than prior events. Pump and treat options may address organic COPC but would not eliminate ongoing dissolution of inorganic COPC to groundwater that remains in contact with urban fill or remaining contaminated soils. Implementability: Wells would be readily implemented with conventional trenching equipment and pipe contractors. Relative cost: Disposal of cuttings required. Requires a continuous power source, ongoing pump, and sediment flushing/removal from collection pipes and sumps. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative	Yes

Table 4-3 gw Page 2 of 8

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAINED
Treatment	Ex-Situ (Physical)	Coagulation, flocculation, and sedimentation	Coagulation, flocculation, and sedimentation are the combination of three processes for the removal of solids in water. Sedimentation is the separation of suspended particles that are heavier than water by gravitational settling. Coagulation is a chemical technique directed towards the destabilization of colloidal particles in the water into larger particles which can settle out. Flocculation is a slow mixing technique which promotes the agglomeration of the destabilized particles to precipitate out of the water.	Effectiveness: As a stand-alone technology, these processes would not reduce toxicity or volume of COPC but would reduce mobility. Coagulation, flocculation, and sedimentation are an integral part of any aqueous treatment system and are used specifically for the removal of suspended solids. Reduction of toxicity and volume of organics and dissolved inorganics will also require treatment via other physical or chemical processes. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Implementability: This technology would be implemented with moderate difficultly via water treatment specialists. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Following start-up of possible extraction options, total suspended solids concentrations should be manageable with other options to avoid addition of coagulants. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, mixing and settling tanks, chemical additives, chemical metering, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	No
		Filtration	Filtration is the separation and removal of suspended solids from a liquid by passing the liquid through a porous medium comprised of a fibrous fabric, a screen, or a bed of granular material. To aid filtration, ground cellulose or diatomaceous earth is commonly added to the filter medium. Fluid flow through the filter media may be accomplished by gravity, by inducing partial vacuum on one side of the medium, or by exerting a mechanical pressure on a dewatered sludge enclosed by filter media.	Effectiveness: As a stand-alone technology, filtration would not reduce toxicity or volume of COPC, but would reduce mobility. Filtration is used primarily to remove any residual suspended solids remaining in the water following coagulation/sedimentation. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Implementability: This technology would be implemented with moderate difficultly via water treatment specialists. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping for elevation/pressure head, replacement or backwashing of filter media, off-site disposal of removed solids, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		Granular Activated Carbon	Chemical contaminants can be removed from water by the physical and chemical adsorption of organics onto the surface of carbon particles. Wastewater is pumped through a bed of granular activated carbon where close contact with carbon particles promotes adsorption of contaminants. Carbon adsorption removes a broad range of organic contaminants and a select number of inorganic contaminants. The exhausted carbon must be removed for disposal or regeneration.	Effectiveness: Carbon adsorption would reduce COPC mobility but would not reduce toxicity or volume. The technology is very effective for the removal of VOCs and achieves a high level of contaminant removal. Operational guidelines for this technology are that contaminant concentrations should be less than 10,000 parts per million (ppm) with suspended solids less than 50 ppm. Reduction of dissolved inorganics may require treatment via other physical or chemical processes. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Implementability: This technology would be implemented with moderate difficultly via water treatment specialists. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping for elevation/pressure head, backwashing of filter media, replacement and off-site disposal or regeneration of spent carbon, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	Yes
		Ion Exchange	Ion exchange is a process by which ions of a given species are displaced from an insoluble exchange material by ions of a different species in solution. Ion exchangers can be operated in either a batch or a continuous mode. Spent resin is usually regenerated by exposing it to a very concentrated solution of the original exchange ion, enabling a reverse exchange to take place, resulting in regenerated resin and a concentrated solution of the removed ion which can then be processed for recovery and reuse.	Effectiveness: The process is used to treat metal-containing wastes including cations and anions and certain organic substances. Ion exchange would reduce COPC mobility but would not reduce toxicity or volume. Limitations to the ion exchange process are compound selectivity/competition, pH, and suspended solids. High solid concentrations sometimes lead to resin blinding and diminishing efficiency. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Implementability: This technology would be implemented with moderate difficultly via water treatment specialists and proprietary products. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping for elevation/pressure head, replacement and off-site disposal or regeneration of spent exchange media, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	No

Table 4-3 gw Page 3 of 8

ENERAL ESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAIN
Treatment	Ex-Situ (Physical)	Chelation	Chelation is a chemical process in which ionic species, such as cationic metals, form coordination bonds with ions or molecules called ligands, modifying the properties of the metal ions. Ligands attached to insoluble species or matrices would have the effect of tying metals to the solid phase. When the removal capacity is saturated, the medium must be regenerated or replaced.	Effectiveness: Chelation would not reduce COPC toxicity or volume but would reduce mobility. The process is used to treat metal-containing waters. Limitations to the process are compound selectivity/competition, pH, and suspended solids. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Implementability: This technology would be implemented with moderate difficultly via water treatment specialists and proprietary products. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping for elevation/pressure head, replacement and off-site disposal or regeneration of chelation medium, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	No
		Air Stripping	Air stripping is a mass transfer process in which volatile contaminants in water are transferred into the air. Air stripping is frequently accomplished in a packed tower equipped with an air blower. The factors important in the removal of organics from water include Henry's Law constants, temperature, pressure, air-to-water ratios, and the surface area available for mass transfer.	Effectiveness: Air stripping would not reduce COPC toxicity, mobility or volume. Air stripping is most effective for the removal of VOCs as a pretreatment step prior to activated carbon. The recovery of volatilized hazardous gases by means of emission control apparatuses may be required for subsequent treatment. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Implementability: This technology would be implemented with moderate difficultly via water treatment specialists. Air permits may be required. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, aerator pumping, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	No
		Steam Stripping	Steam stripping uses steam to evaporate VOCs from aqueous waste streams. Stream stripping is essentially a continuous fractional distillation process carried out in a packed or tray tower. Clean steam provides direct heat to the column in which gas flows from the bottom to the top of the tower. The resulting residuals are contaminated steam condensate, recovered solvent, and stripped effluent. The organic vapor and the bottoms would require further treatment.	Effectiveness: Steam stripping will not be effective for inorganic COPC but will treat less volatile and more soluble organic wastes than will air stripping and can handle concentrations from less than 100 ppm to approximately 10 percent organics. Would not reduce COPC toxicity, mobility or volume. Implementability: This technology would be implemented with moderate difficultly. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, steam generation, disposal of recovered solvent, vapor and bottoms treatment, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally high-cost alternative. Does not offer benefits over other less costly options.	No
		Critical Fluid Extraction	Critical fluid extraction involves extraction of the aqueous constituents using a solvent and subsequent separation of the solvent and organics with reuse of the solvent. The aqueous stream enters near the top of an extractor, while the solvent is fed countercurrently into the bottom. At or near the gas' critical point, the organics in the aqueous stream dissolve into the solvent. Organicladen extract can then be removed from the top of the column while clean water exits from the bottom. The extract then goes to a separator, where the temperature and pressure are decreased, causing the organics to separate from the solvent which is recycled and returned to the extractor.	Effectiveness: Critical fluid extraction can remove chlorinated hydrocarbons, phenols, benzene and its derivatives, alcohols, ketones, acids, oil, and greases. Would not reduce COPC toxicity or volume but would reduce mobility. Implementability: This technology would be implemented with moderate difficultly. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, heating, solvent metering, organics disposal, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities Generally high-cost alternative. Does not offer benefits over other less costly options.	No
		Reverse Osmosis	Reverse osmosis uses a semipermeable membrane which will allow the passage of only certain components of a solution and a driving force to separate these components at a useful rate. The membrane is permeable to the solvent (groundwater), but impermeable to most dissolved organics and inorganics.	Effectiveness: Reverse osmosis may be used to concentrate dilute solutions of many inorganic and some organic solutes. Would not reduce COPC toxicity or volume but would reduce mobility. Reprocessing may be necessary to optimize pH, remove strong oxidants, and filter out suspended solids. Implementability: This technology would be implemented with moderate difficultly. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Requires a continuous power source, pumping, disposal of filter residue, membrane maintenance, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Reverse osmosis is a high-cost treatment alternative, suitable for low volume applications. Does not offer benefits over other less costly options	No
		Oil-Water Separation	Gravitational forces are used to separate two or more immiscible liquids having sufficiently different densities. Flow rates in continuous processes are kept low to enable liquid/liquid separation when the liquid mix is allowed to settle. Floating oil can be skimmed off the top using an oil skimmer, while the water flows out of the lower portion of the chamber. Acids may be used to break an oil/water emulsion and enhance separation to allow for greater oil removal efficiencies.	Effectiveness: Oil-water separation is usually a pretreatment process whose effectiveness is influenced by the aqueous waste stream's flow rate, temperature, and pH. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Because free-phase product has not been observed in groundwater monitoring wells, this process option will be screened out. Implementability: May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, disposal of free-phase product, and monitoring of discharge. May also require metering of acid and neutralization. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Generally moderate-cost alternative.	No

Table 4-3 gw Page 4 of 8

L ISE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAIN
	Ex-Situ (Physical)	Thickening/Dewatering	Thickening/dewatering is a process used to increase the solids content of sludge by removing a portion of the liquid fraction by such unit processes as filtration, etc.	Effectiveness: The process is generally proposed for wastewater treatment sludges (such as those that may be generated from a pump-and-treat system). There are no unacceptable health risks under the current use and CEAs are anticipated for those lots currently without one to prevent groundwater use for other than monitoring. RIR evidence suggests that cessation of illegal dumping has improved groundwater quality. Pump and treat options may address organic COPC, but would not eliminate dissolution of inorganic COPC to groundwater that remains in contact with urban fill. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Implementability: May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Sludge generation from ex-situ biological treatment could be thickened prior to disposal. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, sludge disposal, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally low-cost alternative.	No
	Ex-Situ (Chemical)	Neutralization	Neutralization is the interaction of an acid with a base to enable the adjustment of the pH to 7.0, at which level the concentrations of hydrogen and hydroxyl ions are equal.	Effectiveness: The process is generally proposed for wastewater treatment. Because pH of site groundwater is near neutral, this option is not applicable unless other treatment process options significantly alter pH (e.g., chemical precipitation) or acidic off-gases are generated (e.g., incineration or pyrolysis). Implementability: May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, metering of neutralizer, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally low-cost alternative.	No
		Chemical Oxidation	Chemical oxidation by mixing oxidizing agents such as hydrogen peroxide, sodium and potassium permanganate, ozone, sodium and potassium persulfate. Most organic contaminants are amenable to oxidation.	Effectiveness: Would reduce toxicity, mobility, and volume of organic COPC in groundwater. Ambient oxidant demands must be estimated, to develop a proper dosing regimen. Chemical oxidation would likely be an ancillary technology to another form of treatment as a component of an alternative. Implementability: Would be implemented with moderate difficulty using conventional containment and pumps, and potentially proprietary treatment agents. Bench scale testing and treatability/pilot study may be required during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, metering of oxidizer, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		Chemical Precipitation	Chemical precipitation is widely used for the removal of heavy metals wherein the chemical equilibrium of a waste is changed through the addition of an acid or alkali to reduce the solubility of the undesired components. This causes them to precipitate out of solution in the form of colloidal or solid particulates.	Effectiveness: The process is limited in that not all metals have a common pH at which they precipitate. Chelating and complexing agents can interfere with the precipitation process. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Implementability: May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, disposal of precipitate, and metering of neutralizer, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		Ultraviolet/Hydrogen Peroxide	Ultraviolet radiation is electromagnetic radiation that has a wavelength shorter than visible, but longer than x-ray radiation. Ultraviolet radiation causes the rearrangement of molecular structures resulting in the formation of new chemical compounds. Hydrogen peroxide is an unstable, highly reactive oxidizing agent which, when coupled with the ultraviolet radiation, has been shown to be successful in the degradation of certain organics.	Effectiveness: Ultraviolet/hydrogen peroxide is generally restricted to waters with a 1% or lower concentration of hazardous contaminants, or contaminants that are not easily oxidized by conventional methods. Implementability: May require pre-filtering to reduce turbidity. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, UV bulb maintenance, metering of hydrogen peroxide, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support, and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative. Does not offer benefits over other options for oxidation of site contaminants and requires use of a hazardous substance (hydrogen peroxide).	No
	Ex-Situ (Biological)	Suspended Growth - Activated Sludge	The activated sludge process only treats aqueous organic waste streams having less than a 1% suspended solids content. During the process, organic contaminants in the aqueous wastes are broken down through the activity of aerobic microorganisms which metabolize biodegradable organics. The treatment includes conventional activated sludge processes, as well as modifications such as sequencing batch reactors. The aeration process includes pumping the aqueous waste into an aeration tank where the biological treatment occurs. This is followed by the stream being sent to a clarifier where the treated aqueous waste is separated from the sludge biomass.	Effectiveness: Activated sludge processes are not suitable for removing highly chlorinated organics, aliphatics, amines, and aromatic compounds from an aqueous waste stream. Reduction of dissolved inorganics will require treatment via other physical or chemical processes. Some heavy metals and organic chemicals can be harmful to the microorganisms. The influent should contain a suitable ratio of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous. Generally requires a relatively large system due to long retention times (typically several hours). As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Implementability: Due to presence in groundwater of heavy metals (arsenic, lead, chromium) and aromatics (4-methylphenol) that may hinder activated sludge growth, and anticipated variability in influent contaminant and sodium chloride concentrations due to fluctuating water table from tidal influence, this option may require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, disposal of sludge, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	No

Table 4-3 gw Page 5 of 8

ENERAL ESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAINE
	Ex-Situ (Biological)	Fixed Film Growth - Rotating Biological Contactor, Trickling Filters.	Rotating biological contactors employ microorganisms attached to a fixed medium that is rotated through the aqueous waste stream in a closed reactor. In a trickling filter, the influent wastewater is distributed over fixed media that serve as a substrate for the microbes. The fixed film growth systems aerobically treat aqueous waste streams containing alcohols, phenols, phthalates, cyanide, and ammonia.	Effectiveness: The fixed film growth systems are essentially applicable to the same waste streams as the activated sludge treatment process. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. As indicated for removal technologies, pump and treat options would offer marginal improvement of groundwater quality and are not carried forward for detailed analysis. Implementability: Due to presence in groundwater of heavy metals (arsenic, lead, chromium) and aromatics (4-methylphenol) that may hinder activated sludge growth, and anticipated variability in influent contaminant and sodium chloride concentrations due to fluctuating water table from tidal influence, this option may require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, pumping, disposal of sludge, and monitoring of discharge. Requires regular O&M support and depending on flows and treatment complexity could be continuous (i.e., 24/7). Generally moderate-cost alternative.	No
	Ex-Situ (Thermal)	Liquid Injection Incineration	Liquid injection incinerators are usually cylindrical refractory secondary combustors for low-calorific material. Liquid wastes are introduced to the combustion chamber by means of specifically designed nozzles that mix with air and fuel as needed. The resulting gases, following combustion, are collected and treated to remove particulates and to neutralize acid gases. Pretreatment may be required for feeding some aqueous wastes to specific nozzles to provide efficient mixing with the oxygen source and to maintain a continuous waste flow.	Effectiveness: The burners are susceptible to clogging by particulates or caked material at the nozzles. Heavy metal wastes and wastes having high inorganic contents are not suitable for treatment. Implementability: Would be difficult to implement for Site groundwater due to specialty knowledge and equipment. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Off-gas treatment and permitting may be required. Relative cost: Requires significant energy input, pumping, pre-treatment solids removal, airborne particulate removal, acid gas neutralization, disposal of captured particulates and ash, and air monitoring. Requires continuous (i.e., 24/7) attendance and monitoring during operation. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally high-cost alternative.	No
		Pyrolysis	Pyrolysis is the chemical decomposition of wastes accomplished in an oxygen- deficient atmosphere. The system involves the use of two chambers. The separation of the volatile components from the nonvolatile components and ash is achieved in the primary chamber (pyrolyzer). In the secondary combustion chamber, volatile components are burned under proper operating conditions to destroy any remaining hazardous components. Temperatures in the pyrolyzer range from 1,000 to 1,300° F.	Effectiveness: Pyrolysis is only applicable to wastes containing pure organics. Systems are usually designed for specific wastes and are not readily adaptable to a variety of wastes. In addition, pyrolysis of chlorinated organics can lead to the formation of hazardous products of incomplete combustion (PICs). Implementability: Would be difficult to implement for Site groundwater due to specialty knowledge and equipment. Off-gas treatment and permitting may be required. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires significant energy input, pumping, pre-treatment solids removal, airborne particulate removal, acid gas neutralization, disposal of captured particulates and ash, and air monitoring. Requires continuous (i.e., 24/7) attendance and monitoring during operation. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally high-cost alternative.	No
		Wet Air Oxidation	Wet air oxidation uses high-temperature oxidation under controlled conditions to destroy dissolved or suspended organic waste constituents, oxidizable inorganics, and wastes not readily amenable to biological treatment. Aqueous phase oxidation of organic constituents is achieved at temperatures between 350 and 650°F and pressures ranging from 300 to 3,000 pounds per square inch (psi). Liquid wastes are pumped into the system and are mixed with compressed air or oxygen. The air-waste mixture then passes through a heat exchanger before entering the reactor, where the oxygen in the air reacts with organic constituents in the waste. The gas and liquid phase are separated following oxidation.	Effectiveness: Wet air oxidation is not suitable for inorganics or for wastes containing low concentrations of organics. Implementability: Off-gas treatment and permitting may be required. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires significant energy input, pumping, pre-treatment solids removal, airborne particulate removal, acid gas neutralization, disposal of captured particulates and ash, discharge and air monitoring. Requires continuous (i.e., 24/7) attendance and monitoring during operation. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally high-cost alternative.	No
	In-Situ (Biological)	Bioremediation	Bioremediation is a process used to treat contaminated groundwater by altering environmental conditions to stimulate growth of microorganisms that degrade the target contaminants. Most bioremediation processes involve oxidation-reduction reactions where either an electron acceptor is added to stimulate oxidation of a reduced contaminant (e.g. hydrocarbons) or an electron donor is added to reduce oxidized pollutants (e.g., chlorinated solvents). In both cases additional nutrients, and pH buffers may need to be added to optimize conditions for the microorganisms. In some cases, specialized microbial cultures are added (bioaugmentation) to further enhance biodegradation	Effectiveness: Aerobic and anaerobic bioremediation are well understood and documented. Would reduce volume, toxicity, and mobility of groundwater organic COPC. Amendments and deliverable methods are widely available. Relies on indigenous microorganisms. Implementability: Due to presence in groundwater of heavy metals (arsenic, lead, chromium) and aromatics (4-methylphenol) that may hinder biological growth, and anticipated variability in influent contaminant and sodium chloride concentrations due to fluctuating water table from tidal influence, this option may require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires groundwater monitoring and possibly periodic nutrient/pH buffer reinjection. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		Biosparging	Air is pumped at low rates through well points, to stimulate aerobic bioremediation.	Efficiency: Would reduce volume, toxicity, and mobility of groundwater organic COPC. The method is well understood, and tools and equipment are readily available. Efficacy is susceptible to site hydrogeologic conditions, such as air permeability and homogeneity. Relies on indigenous microorganisms Implementability: Due to presence in groundwater of heavy metals (arsenic, lead, chromium) and aromatics (4-methylphenol) that may hinder biological growth, and anticipated variability in influent contaminant and sodium chloride concentrations due to fluctuating water table from tidal influence, this option may require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous power source, aeration, well point maintenance, groundwater monitoring, and possibly periodic nutrient/pH buffer reinjection. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes

Table 4-3 gw Page 6 of 8

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAINED
Treatment	In-Situ (Physical)	Immobilization	Nano-scale activated carbon slurry is injected in the subsurface to provide binding sites for organic contaminants. This supports the development of biofilms and the enhanced biodegradation of organic contaminants	Effectiveness: This is an innovative technology with a good performance record. Would reduce mobility of groundwater COPC but would not reduce toxicity or volume. Implementability: Due to low absorption efficiency of some soluble inorganics in aqueous solution, assessment of Site geochemistry and mobility of inorganics may be required for design. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires groundwater monitoring, and possibly multiple slurry injections. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		Air Sparging	In-situ air sparging of the site groundwater would be conducted by constructing sparge points (wells) to the appropriate depths into the contaminated groundwater. Aeration would be provided at each sparge point by blowers/compressors and, as necessary, an aboveground header/distribution system. A soil vapor extraction system (SVE) (vents and vacuum blowers) with off-gas treatment could be used to attempt to capture VOC-laden air from the vadose zone above the sparge point system. Emissions controls (off gas treatment) would be required on the SVE exhaust.	Effectiveness: Air sparging is effective in removing VOCs from the groundwater. Efficacy is susceptible to site hydrogeologic conditions, such as air permeability and homogeneity. Due to high water table (i.e., 4 to 10 feet below ground surface) and corresponding thin vadose zone, short-circuiting of a vacuum recovery system (SVE) to the atmosphere is likely without an impermeable cover layer, leading to substantially reduced collection efficiency. In addition, due to fluctuating water table, vaporized contaminants in the vadose zone at low tide could re-enter the aqueous phase at high tide, reducing overall efficiency. Implementability: Air sparging would be readily implemented with conventional installation methods and equipment. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires maintenance of sparge (and extraction) points, a continuous source of energy, compressors (and blowers), groundwater monitoring, and possibly periodic nutrient/pH buffer reinjection. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		In-Well Vapor Stripping	In-well vapor stripping technology involves the creation of a groundwater circulation pattern and simultaneous aeration within the stripping well to volatilize VOCs from the circulating groundwater. Air-lift pumping is used to lift groundwater and strip it of contaminants. Contaminated vapors may be drawn off for aboveground treatment or released to the vadose zone for biodegradation. Partially treated groundwater is forced out of the well into the vadose zone where it reinfiltrates to the water table. Untreated groundwater enters the well at its base, replacing the water lifted through pumping. Eventually, the partially treated water is cycled back through the well until contaminant concentration levels are reduced.	Effectiveness: Would reduce volume, toxicity, and mobility of groundwater organic COPC. Applications of in-well stripping have generally involved chlorinated organic solvents (e.g., trichloroethene) and petroleum product contamination (e.g., benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene [BTEX], total petroleum hydrocarbon [TPH]). In-well stripping has been used in a variety of soil types from silty clay to sandy gravel. Efficacy is susceptible to site hydrogeologic conditions, especially mesoscale lithologic variability and preferential pathways. Due to high water table (i.e., 4 to 10 feet below ground surface) and corresponding thin vadose zone, there is little opportunity for vadose zone biodegradation. Also, short-circuiting of a vacuum recovery system (SVE) to the atmosphere is likely without an impermeable cover layer, leading to substantially reduced collection efficiency. Implementability: In well vapor stripping would be implemented with moderate difficulty. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires a continuous source of energy, pumping, maintenance of well screens, and groundwater monitoring, and possibly periodic nutrient/pH buffer reinjection. Ongoing operation and maintenance activities. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	No
	In-Situ (Chemical)	Treatment Walls	Treatment walls involve construction of permanent, semi-permanent, or replaceable units across the flow path of a contaminant plume. As the contaminated groundwater moves passively through the treatment wall, the contaminants are removed by physical, chemical, and/or biological processes, including precipitation, sorption, oxidation/reduction, fixation, or degradation. These simple mechanical barriers may contain metal-based catalysts, chelating agents, nutrients and oxygen, or other agents that are placed either in the path of the plumes to prevent further migration or immediately downgradient of the contaminant source to prevent plume formation.	Effectiveness: Would reduce mobility but may not reduce toxicity or volume. Treatment walls can be designed for the abatement of metals and VOCs. An important uncertainty in this option is the operating life of the in-situ removal technology (carbon adsorption and/or ion exchange and/or zero-valence metals) and the feasibility of replacing or regenerating this capacity when exhausted. Due to fluctuating water table and flow direction in response to tidal influence, impacted groundwater may not reach the wall without pumping to induce hydraulic gradient. Implementability: Would be implemented with moderate difficulty using conventional earthmoving equipment and possibly proprietary treatment agents. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires groundwater monitoring and possible replacement of treatment medium or biological amendments. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	No
		Chemical Precipitation	An array of injection wells or mechanical mixing is used to introduce iron sulfide or other fixative agent. Dissolved heavy metals then precipitate and substitute for iron within an iron sulfide lattice.	Effectiveness: The process is limited in that not all metals will chemically react with iron sulfide. Chelating and complexing agents can interfere with the precipitation process. Implementability: May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: May require multiple additions to achieve desired results. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		Funnel and Gate	The funnel-and-gate system for in-situ treatment of contaminated plumes consists of low hydraulic conductivity (e.g., 1x10-6 cm/s) cutoff walls with gaps that contain in-situ reaction zones. Cutoff walls (the funnel) modify flow patterns so that groundwater primarily flows through high conductivity gaps (the gates). The type of cutoff walls most likely to be used in the current practice are slurry walls, sheet piles, or soil admixtures applied by soil mixing or jet grouting.	Effectiveness: See above comments for subsurface barriers and treatment walls. Due to fluctuating water table and flow direction in response to tidal influence, impacted groundwater may not reach the gate without pumping to induce hydraulic gradient. Implementability: Would be implemented with moderate difficulty using conventional earthmoving equipment and potentially proprietary treatment agents. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires groundwater monitoring and possible replacement of treatment medium or biological amendments. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	No
		In-situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO)	An array of injection wells or direct push points is used to introduce oxidizing agents such as hydrogen peroxide, sodium and potassium permanganate, ozone, sodium and potassium persulfate. Most organic contaminants are amenable to oxidation.	Effectiveness: Would reduce toxicity, mobility, and volume of organic COPC in groundwater. A wide array of reagents and delivery tools are available. Ambient oxidant demands must be estimated, to develop a proper dosing regimen. Implementability: Would be implemented with moderate difficulty using conventional drilling or excavating equipment and potentially proprietary treatment agents. Bench scale testing and treatability/pilot study may be required during design. Relative cost: Requires groundwater monitoring and possibly multiple slurry injections. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		In-situ Chemical Reduction (ISCR)	Similar to ISCO, but a reductant, such as calcium polysulfide, is utilized to develop reducing geochemical conditions that favor the immobilization of certain multivalent metals, such as chromium.	Effectiveness: Would reduce mobility of certain inorganic COPC and decrease volume and toxicity of certain organic COPC in groundwater. Ambient oxidant demands must be estimated to develop a proper dosing regimen. Implementability: Would be implemented with moderate difficulty using conventional drilling or excavating equipment and potentially proprietary treatment agents. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires groundwater monitoring and possibly multiple slurry injections. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	Yes

Table 4-3 gw Page 7 of 8

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAINED		
Monitored Natural Attenuation	Monitoring can remove and/or attenuate migration of site contaminants. Natural attenuation differs from "no action" in that natural attenuation is implemented only if it can be demonstrated and proven that natural attenuation will reduce the contaminant levels to meet ARARs. Metals would be attenuated by, precipitated on, and/or adsorbed to, aquifer materials. VOCs would be adsorbed to aquifer materials or biodegraded. Due to the potential for migration of contaminants, a site-specific demonstration of its applicability is needed. This demonstration would involve periodic sampling and analyses on a monitoring well network (existing and supplemented with additional wells) for contaminants of concern as well as indicator parameters for natural attenuation. Appropriate modeling would be conducted to demonstrate		can remove and/or attenuate migration of site contaminants. Natural attenuation differs from "no action" in that natural attenuation is implemented only if it can be demonstrated and proven that natural attenuation will reduce the contaminant levels to meet ARARs. Metals would be attenuated by, precipitated on, and/or adsorbed to, aquifer materials. VOCs would be adsorbed to aquifer materials or biodegraded. Due to the potential for migration of contaminants, a site-specific demonstration of its applicability is needed. This demonstration would involve periodic sampling and analyses on a monitoring well network (existing and supplemented with additional wells) for contaminants of concern as well as indicator parameters for natural	bioremediation, ISCR, or ISCO. LNAPL has been identified in soil at one temporary well point. MNA will not apply to free-phase product or residual product, should they be identified in groundwater. Groundwater concentrations of some COPCs were lower for the last event than prior events. Natural attenuation may be a factor in this finding. Implementability: MNA would be readily implemented. Relative cost: Requires groundwater monitoring. Generally low-cost alternative.			
Disposal	Disposal (offsite)	sal (off- Discharge to Local POTW In this option, groundwater would be routed to a nearby POTW using the existing Site conveyance system following pretreatment as required to comply with the facility's pretreatment standards.		Effectiveness: At present, this option is feasible, assuming that the POTW's requirements (i.e., hydraulic and treatment capacity) can be met. Would be considered for temporary dewatering activities only. Implementability: Would require thorough water quality characterization for POTW approval. Relative cost: Requires discharge monitoring and usage fees. Generally low- to high-cost alternative.	Yes		
				Effectiveness: Would be effective for reducing mobility, toxicity, and volume of groundwater COPC. Locating an appropriate TSDF is required. Would be considered for temporary dewatering activities only. Implementability: Would require thorough water quality characterization for TSDF approval. Relative cost: Requires discharge monitoring and transport and usage fees. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	No		
	Disposal (on- site)	Discharge to Surface Water	In this disposal option, treated groundwater would be directly discharged to the active storm water conveyance system at the site.	Effectiveness: This option would not reduce toxicity, mobility, or volume of groundwater COPC without prior treatment, but could reduce potential exposure. This disposal option is feasible assuming that direct discharge effluent quality requirements can be met. Implementability: Direct discharge could be implemented through compliance with the substantive portions of the NPDES permitting process. Relative cost: Requires discharge monitoring. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	Yes		
		Reinjection	Reinjection involves recharge of treated groundwater to the subsurface for plume recovery.	Effectiveness: This option would not reduce toxicity, mobility, or volume of groundwater COPC without treatment. Reinjection for plume recovery must occur outside the plume boundaries to be effective. System design parameters are dependent upon site hydrogeologic conditions. Well performance may degrade with time. Due to thin vadose zone and possible upwelling of reinjected groundwater to the surface increasing potential for exposure, this option is not applicable. Implementability: Reinjection would be readily implementable with conventional drilling methods and available equipment. Relative cost: Requires discharge monitoring and injection well maintenance. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	No		

Table 4-3 gw Page 8 of 8

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, Relative Cost)	RETAINED
No Action	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Under this response action, no active response action will be taken to address concerns regarding soil gas. The no action alternative is required to be considered by the NCP to provide a baseline against which all other alternatives may be compared.	Effectiveness: The no action alternative would not meet ARARs or reduce unacceptable risks to human health or the environment. Implementability: Because no action would be taken, this option can be implemented. Relative cost: No capital, administrative, or O&M cost.	Yes
Institutional Controls	Use Restrictions	Deed Notice	File a Deed Notice (or similarly captioned covenant) whereby the owner agrees to subject the property to certain statutory and regulatory requirements that impose restrictions upon the use of the property, to restrict certain uses of the property, and to provide notice to subsequent owners, lessees and operators of the restrictions and the monitoring, maintenance, and biennial certification requirements are outlined in the Deed Notice.	Effectiveness: Institutional controls would not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants and would not reduce COPC concentrations to protective levels. These controls alone would not be protective of human health because soil contamination exists at concentrations greater than the PRGs. The Site is zoned as commercial, and a deed notice may be implemented to keep this designation in the future. The effectiveness of institutional controls depends on the reliability of their execution, which is most likely controlled by the local government Implementability: Deed notices have been established for some lots that bind the property owners to certain land use restrictions, notice requirements, and the obligation to inspect and maintain any engineering controls that prevent direct contact with historic fill/soil. Enhancement of existing deed notices may be feasible to allow elevated levels of contaminated soil to remain permanently on-site. Relative cost: Periodic reporting required. Generally low-cost alternative.	Yes
		Classification Exception Area	Submit to the NJDEP an application with the necessary information to establish a classification exception area, that gives notice of the fact that groundwater in the area does not meet designated use requirements.	Effectiveness: CEAs would not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants and would not reduce COPC concentrations to protective levels. These controls alone would not be protective of human health because contamination exists at concentrations greater than PRGs. CEAs have been established for some lots to prevent groundwater use for purposes other than monitoring. CEAs will remain in place as long as groundwater does not meet designated use standards. Implementability: CEAs have been established for some lots that bind the property owners to groundwater use restrictions and notice requirements. Designation of additional CEAs may be feasible. Relative cost: Groundwater monitoring and periodic reporting will be required as a component of the CEA. Generally low-cost alternative.	Yes
	Monitoring	Indoor Air Sampling	Conduct indoor air sampling in existing occupied buildings	Effectiveness: Air monitoring will be effective in protecting human health from potential exposure to vapor intrusion by monitoring air quality and triggering corrective action to prevent an unacceptable exposure. Implementability: Equipment is readily available, requires property owner cooperation and access. Relative cost: Periodic air monitoring and reporting. Generally low-cost alternative	Yes
Engineering Controls	Subsurface Barriers	Vapor Barrier	A passive barrier consisting of a synthetic membrane installed prior to foundation construction to reduce soil vapor migration.	Effectiveness: Vapor barriers would not reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants but could reduce COPC concentrations to protective levels in indoor air. Vapor barriers can be used with passive or active subsurface depressurization process options to help prevent indoor vapor intrusion. Vapor barriers are considered a supplement to extraction mitigation measures and may be sprayed on to existing building interiors or placed below foundations for new construction. Implementability: Vapor barriers are an easily implemented option for new construction with a variety of materials to choose from. Relative cost: No anticipated long-term maintenance. Generally low-cost alternative.	Yes
Removal	Subsurface Depressurization	Active Subsurface Depressurization System (Soil Vapor Extraction)	A negative pressure field (vacuum) is applied to the subsurface though a well network beneath and/or around a building to prevent VI into the building. Active subsurface depressurization systems use a fan or blower to create a negative pressure field (vacuum) below a slab or other barrier.	Effectiveness: Active depressurization would not reduce COPC toxicity or volume but would reduce mobility in the vadose zone. Preferred over a passive system, especially for existing buildings, due to higher success rate for VI mitigation. Implementability: Subsurface depressurization is readily implemented with conventional plumbing and electrical trades. Additional treatment of contaminants after collection may be required. The treatment system may require permitting. Relative cost: Requires continuous power source and maintenance of mechanical components. Periodic monitoring to confirm venting. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
	Subsurface Depressurization	Passive Subsurface Depressurization System	Natural temperature and barometric pressure fluctuations (e.g., wind) are relied on to induce pressure gradients in a vent stack to remove soil vapors from beneath and/or around a building.	Effectiveness: Depressurization would not reduce COPC toxicity or volume but would reduce mobility in the vadose zone. Vent pipe can be routed through a building to help heat the air from the subsurface for convective flow. Pressure gradient between the sub-slab and the atmosphere may induce advective flow during weather events. Wind over the vent reduces pressure for advective flow. Installation of a solar-powered wind turbine on the stack may be used to help induce a pressure gradient. Not as reliable as an active system. Not recommended without highly permeable sub-slab conditions, or where the seasonal high-water table is less than 5 feet below the building slab. Not retained for detailed analysis. Implementability: Subsurface depressurization is readily implemented with conventional plumbing and electrical trades. Additional treatment of contaminants after collection may be required. Relative cost: Periodic monitoring to confirm venting. Generally low-cost alternative.	
		Sub-Slab Ventilation System	A venting layer is placed below the slab (new construction) to allow for unimpeded movement of soil gas vapors laterally beyond the footprint of a building or to vent pipes placed in the venting layer. Perforated pipe is placed in the venting layer or at the perimeter of the venting material to assist with collecting and exhausting vapors.	Effectiveness: Would not reduce COPC toxicity, mobility, or volume but could reduce COPC concentrations to protective levels in indoor air. May be used in combination with a passive barrier. Not applicable for existing buildings. Would add marginal benefit to a passive barrier. Not retained for further analysis. Implementability: A venting layer would be easily implemented option for new construction with conventional earthmoving materials and equipment. Relative cost: Periodic monitoring to confirm venting. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	No

Table 4-4 Soil Gas final Page 1 of 2

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, Relative Cost)	RETAINED
Treatment	Ex-Situ Treatment (Physical)	Immobilization/Adsorption	Soil gas is treated with vapor-phase granular activated carbon (GAC), polymers, or zeolites to adsorb and remove volatile compounds.	Effectiveness: Would not reduce COPC toxicity or volume but would reduce mobility. GAC is effective for treating a wide range of volatile compounds and is less expensive than zeolites or polymers. Zeolites are better suited for treating volatile compounds with high polarity (e.g., alcohols and organic acids) or high vapor pressures (e.g., vinyl chloride, methyl tert-butyl ether, and methylene chloride). Implementability: A vapor-phase treatment system would be readily implemented with conventional plumbing and electrical trades and potentially proprietary treatment media. Relative cost: Requires replacement of spent adsorbent. Ongoing operation maintenance activities. Generally low-cost alternative.	Yes
		Photocatalytic Oxidation	Ultraviolet light is used with a semiconductor (e.g., titanium oxide) to generate protons and highly reactive hydroxyl radicals for oxidation of volatile compounds.	Effectiveness: Would reduce toxicity, mobility and volume of soil vapor. Effective for treating a wide range of halogenated and non-halogenated compounds, aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons, alcohols, ethers, ketones and aldehydes. Works best at flow rates of less than 100 standard cubic feet per minute. Not widely used for soil vapor treatment. Implementability: Would be implemented with moderate difficulty with specialized knowledge of treatment capabilities. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Ongoing operation maintenance activities. Would require a continuous ultraviolet light source. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
	Ex-Situ Treatment (Thermal)	Thermal Oxidation	Direct flame, flameless, or catalytic oxidizers are used to destroy non-halogenated volatile organic compounds, semivolatile organic compounds, and hydrocarbons at a wide range of concentrations.	Effectiveness: Capable of reducing toxicity, mobility and volume of specific classes of compounds including alcohols, aliphatics, aromatics, esters, and ketones that are generally not Site COPC. If halogenated compounds are present (i.e., chlorinated compounds), acid gases may be generated requiring additional treatment. Most cost-effective for treating concentrations of vapor contaminants greater than 500 parts per million by volume. Safeguards required if concentrations approach lower explosive limit. May produce dioxins and furans if improperly operated. Implementability: Would be implemented with moderate difficulty with specialized knowledge of treatment capabilities. May require bench scale/pilot studies during design. Relative cost: Requires supplemental energy at low concentrations. Ongoing operation maintenance activities. Generally a high-cost alternative. Would offer no additional benefit to less expensive treatment technologies.	No
	Ex-Situ Treatment (Biological)	Biofiltration	Live cultures are used to consume or metabolize chemicals in the off-gas.	Effectiveness: Would reduce toxicity, mobility, and volume of certain Site COPC. Best used for treating dilute (less than 1,500 parts per million by volume) concentrations of mono-aromatic hydrocarbons, alcohols, aldehydes and ketones. Sensitive to variations in operating parameters, such as moisture content, temperature, pH, and influent concentrations. Implementability: Fluctuating groundwater levels contributing to variations in moisture and concentrations of organic compounds would be problematic for this process option. Would require maintenance of substrate for biological culture. Relative cost: Ongoing operation maintenance activities. Generally moderate-cost alternative.	No

Table 4-4 Soil Gas final Page 2 of 2

GENERAL RESPONSE ACTION	REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGY	PROCESS OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	SCREENING COMMENTS (Effectiveness, Implementability, and Relative Cost)	RETAINED
No Action	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Under this response action, no active response action will be taken to address concerns regarding sewer water. The no action alternative is required to be considered by the NCP to provide a baseline against which all other alternatives may be compared.	Effectiveness: The no action alternative would not meet ARARs or reduce unacceptable risks to human health or the environment. Implementability: Because no action would be taken, this option can be implemented. Relative cost: No capital, administrative, or O&M cost.	Yes
Removal	Mechanical Transfer	Containerization or Transport Vehicle	Sewer water would be pumped or vacuumed into DOT-approved containers or transport vehicles.	Effectiveness: Removal would be ancillary to subsequent disposal and would thereby be effective in reducing mobility in the environment. No change of waste volume or toxicity would occur without subsequent treatment. Once water is removed from inactive sewers, associated sewer pipe and manholes would be closed in place by plugging/filling to prevent future buildup of water and solids in the manhole. Dewatering sewer removal or in-place closure may be required because the groundwater table is shallow (approximately 4 to 10 feet bgs) and sewers may be in contact with groundwater. Containerization of dewatering liquids for subsequent characterization is anticipated. Implementability: Implementation would require a contractors specialized in sewers. Dewatering is anticipated with collection of post-removal compliance soil samples above the water table. Relative cost: No maintenance is required if inactive sewers are closed/removed. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		Pumped	Sewer water would be pumped into active local POTW conveyance system.	Effectiveness: Removal would be ancillary to subsequent disposal and would thereby be effective in reducing mobility in the environment. No change of waste volume or toxicity would occur without subsequent treatment. Once water is removed from inactive sewers, associated sewer pipe and manholes would be closed in place by plugging/filling to prevent future buildup of water and solids in the manhole. Implementability: Implementation would require a contractors specialized in sewers. Relative cost: No maintenance is required if inactive sewers are closed/removed. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
Disposal	Disposal (off-site)	Discharge to Local POTW	Sewer water would be routed to a nearby POTW using the existing Site conveyance system following pretreatment as required to comply with the facility's pretreatment standards.	Effectiveness: Would be effective for reducing mobility, toxicity, and volume of sewer water COPC (assuming that material is treated prior to disposal). At present, this option is feasible, assuming that the POTW's requirements (i.e., hydraulic and treatment capacity) can be met. Implementability: Would require thorough water quality characterization for POTW approval. Would reduce the mobility of the sewer material; however, the toxicity and volume of the COCs in the sewer material would not be affected unless treated prior to disposal Relative cost: Requires discharge monitoring and usage fees. Generally low- to moderate-cost alternative.	Yes
		Disposal to Off-Site TSD facility	This option entails off-site hauling of sewer water treated to the levels necessary for acceptance at an approved off-site TSD facility.	Effectiveness: Would be effective for reducing mobility, toxicity, and volume of sewer water COPC (assuming that material is treated prior to disposal). Locating an appropriate TSD facility is required. Implementability: Would require thorough water quality characterization for TSD approval. Would reduce the mobility of the sewer material; however, the toxicity and volume of the COCs in the sewer material would not be affected unless treated prior to disposal Relative cost: Requires discharge monitoring and transport and usage fees. Generally moderate- to high-cost alternative.	Yes

Table 4-5 sewer final Page 1 of 1

Table 5-1 Preliminary Screening of Remedial Alternatives

Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

	Overall Effectiveness	Implement-ability	Cost	Screening Comments
Waste				
1 – No Action	Poor	Excellent	Low	Retained per NCP
2 – Removal and Off-Site Disposal	Excellent	Good	Medium	
Soil/Fill				
1 – No Action	Poor	Excellent	Low	Retained per NCP
2 – Institutional Controls and NAPL Removal	Poor-Fair	Excellent	Low	
3 – Institutional Controls, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal	Good	Good	Medium	
4 – Inst Controls, Engr Controls, Limited Removal, and NAPL Removal	Good-Excellent	Good	Medium-High	
5 – Inst Controls, Engr Controls, In-Situ Remediation and NAPL Removal	Good-Excellent	Poor-Fair	High	Retained, although implementability uncertain at this time
6 – Inst Controls, Removal/Off-Site Disposal, and NAPL Removal	Excellent	Poor	Very High	Not implementable (water management, offsets around underground utilities, and limited space between buildings)
7 – Inst, Ex-Situ Treatment/Replacement, Engr Controls and NAPL Removal	Good-Excellent	Poor	Very High	Not implementable (water management, offsets around underground utilities, and limited space between buildings)
Groundwater				
1 – No Action	Poor	Excellent	Low	Retained per NCP
2 – Institutional Controls, Containment at River, and Pump and Treat	Good	Good	High	
3 – Institutional Controls and In-Situ Remediation	Fair-Good	Good	Medium	
4 – Institutional Controls, P&T, and Targeted Periodic In-Situ Remediation	Good-Excellent	Good-Excellent	Medium	
5 – Inst. Controls, Containment at River, and Focused In-Situ Remediation	Fair	Poor	Medium	Not implemented (containment at river without a pumping system is not feasible and will not address site-wide ARARs)
6 - Institutional Controls and Site Containment	Poor	Poor	High	Not implementable (underground utilities/building proximity along western boundary)
7 – Institutional Controls, Containment at River, and MNA	Unknown	Poor	Medium	Not implemented (no MNA study conducted to determine if MNA is occurring at Site; containment at river without a pumping system is not feasible)
Sewer				
1 – No Action	Poor	Excellent	Low	Retained per NCP
2 – Removal and Off-Site Disposal	Excellent	Good	Low	
Soil Gas				
1 – No Action	Poor	Excellent	Low	Retained per NCP
2 – Inst Controls, Monitoring/Engr Controls, and Site-Wide Engr Controls	Good	Excellent	Low	
3 – Inst Controls, Site-Wide Engr Controls, and In-Situ Remediation	Good-Excellent	Poor-Fair	High	Retained, although implementability uncertain at this time
4 - Inst Controls, Site-Wide Engr Controls, and Removal/Disposal	Good	Poor	Very High	Not implementable (water management, offsets around underground utilities, and limited space between buildings)
5 - Inst Controls, Site-Wide Engr Controls, and Ex-Situ Treatment/Replacement	Good	Poor	Very High	Not implementable (water management, offsets around underground utilities, and limited space between buildings)

Table 6-1 Detailed Screening of Remedial Alternatives

Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

		0\	erall Effectiveness	3			
	Overall Protection of Human Health/ Environment	Compliance with ARARs	Long-term Effectiveness and Permanence	Reduction of Mobility/ Toxicity/Volume by Treatment	Short-term Effectiveness	Implement-ability	Cost
Waste							
1 – No Action	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	Low
2 – Removal and Off-Site Disposal	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Medium
Soil/Fill							
1 – No Action	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	Low
2 – Institutional Controls and NAPL Removal	Poor-Fair	Poor-Fair	Poor-Fair	Poor-Fair	Good-Excellent	Excellent	Low
3 – Institutional Controls, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal	Good	Good	Good	Fair	Good	Good	Medium
4 – Inst. Controls, Engineering Controls, Focused Removal, and NAPL Removal	Good-Excellent	Good-Excellent	Good-Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Medium-High
5 – Inst. Controls, In-Situ Remediation, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal	Good-Excellent	Good	Good-Excellent	Fair-Good	Fair	Poor-Fair	High
Groundwater				***************************************			***************************************
1 – No Action	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	Low
2 – Institutional Controls, Containment at River, and Pump and Treat	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	High
3 – Institutional Controls and In-Situ Remediation	Good	Good	Fair-Good	Fair	Fair	Good	Medium
4 – Institutional Controls, P&T, and Targeted Periodic In-Situ Remediation	Good-Excellent	Good-Excellent	Good-Excellent	Good	Good	Good-Excellent	Medium
Sewer							
1 – No Action	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	Low
2 – Removal and Off-Site Disposal	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Low
Soil Gas							
1 – No Action	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	Low
2 – Inst. Controls, Monitoring/Engineering Controls, and Site-Wide Engineering Controls	Good	Good	Good	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	Low
3 - Inst. Controls, Site-Wide Engineering Controls, and In-Situ Remediation	Good-Excellent	Good-Excellent	Good-Excellent	Good	Fair-Good	Poor-Fair	High

Table 6-2 Cost Summary of Remedial Alternatives Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

	Total Capital Cost	Annual O&M	Total Net Present Worth
Waste			
1 – No Action	\$0	\$0	\$0
2 – Removal and Off-Site Disposal	\$1,798,211	\$0	\$1,580,700
Soil/Fill		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
1 – No Action	\$0	\$0	\$0
2 – Institutional Controls and NAPL Removal	\$303,322	\$8,125	\$356,100
3 – Institutional Controls, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal	\$11,140,405	\$75,000	\$10,450,900
4 - Institutional Controls, Engineering Controls, Focused Removal with Off-Site Disposal of Lead, and NAPL Removal	\$13,623,160	\$75,000	\$12,633,300
5 - Institutional Controls, In-Situ Remediation, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal	\$15,222,505	\$68,750	\$13,971,400
Groundwater			
1 – No Action	\$0	\$0	\$0
2 – Institutional Controls, Site Containment and Pump and Treat	\$30,590,844	\$1,125,000	\$34,258,600
3 – Institutional Controls and In-Situ Remediation	\$28,459,770	\$131,250	\$20,844,800
4 - Institutional Controls, Pump and Treat, and Targeted Periodic In-Situ Remediation	\$12,831,750	\$1,500,000	\$24,234,400
Soil Gas			
1 – No Action	\$0	\$0	\$0
2 - Alternative 2 - Institutional Controls, Air Monitoring or Engineering Controls (existing occupied buildings) and Site-Wide Engineering Controls (future buildings)	\$123,525	\$31,500	\$449,800
3 – Institutional Controls, Site-Wide Engineering Controls (future buildings), and Air Monitoring or Engineering Controls and In-Situ Remediation of Soil/Fill (existing occupied buildings)	\$4,591,968	\$0	\$4,050,800
Sewer		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
1 – No Action	\$0	\$0	\$0
2 – Removal and Off-Site Disposal	\$27,981	\$0	\$24,900

[.]

¹ The vertical barrier component of this alternative contributes approximately \$1,178,000 to the total direct cost.

² The vertical barrier component of this alternative contributes approximately \$189,000 to the total direct cost.

³ Capital costs are based on 2020 dollars. PW assumes predesign investigations will be conducted in 2021 and construction in 2022 for all alternatives.

Table 6-3 Projected Durations of Remedial Alternatives Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

		Projected Duration	
	Pre-Design Investigation and/or Testing	Remedial Design	On-Site Remedial Action
Waste			
1 – No Action			
2 – Removal and Off-Site Disposal	2 -4 month	2 -4 month	1-2 months
Soil/Fill			
1 – No Action			
2 – Institutional Controls and NAPL Removal	1-2 months	2 -4 months	1 -2 months
3 – Institutional Controls, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal	6-8 months	6-8 months	6-10 months
4 - Institutional Controls, Engineering Controls, Focused Removal with Off-Site Disposal of Lead, and NAPL Removal	6-8 months	6-10 months	8-12 months
5 - Institutional Controls, In-Situ Remediation, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal	8-12 months	8-12 months	8-12 months
Groundwater			<u> </u>
1 – No Action			
2 – Institutional Controls, Site Containment and Pump and Treat	12-18 months	9-12 months	12-18 months
3 – Institutional Controls and In-Situ Remediation	6-10 months	6-8 months	9-12 months (first round of injections only)
4 - Institutional Controls, Pump and Treat, and Targeted Periodic In-Situ Remediation	12-18 months	9-12 months	8-10 months (not including targeted injections)
Soil Gas	***************************************		***************************************
1 – No Action			
2 – Alternative 2 - Institutional Controls, Air Monitoring or Engineering Controls (existing occupied buildings) and Site-Wide Engineering Controls (future buildings)	<1 month	1-2 months	1-2 months
3 – Institutional Controls, Site-Wide Engineering Controls (future buildings), and Air Monitoring or Engineering Controls and In-Situ Remediation of Soil/Fill (existing occupied buildings)	4 -6 months	4-6 months	4-6 months (initial round of injections)
Sewer			
1 – No Action			
2 – Removal and Off-Site Disposal	1 month	1-2 months	<1 month

Notes:

- 1. Repair of the bulkhead under the Soil/Fill Alternatives 4 and 5 contributes approximately \$3,600,000 to the total direct cost.
- 2. Schedule includes time for regulatory reviews and modifications
- 3. For Soil Gas Alternative 3, it is anticipated that additional rounds of injection would be delayed for 2 to 5 years depending on reagents.